



BAY AREA
AIR QUALITY
MANAGEMENT
DISTRICT

February 23, 2011

Request for Proposals # 2011-001

Audit of TFCA for Projects and Programs

Closed in Fiscal Year 2009-2010

SECTION I – SUMMARY	1
SECTION II – BACKGROUND	3
SECTION III – INTERVIEWS	7
SECTION IV – SCOPE OF WORK	7
SECTION V – PROPOSAL EVALUATION	9
SECTION VI – SAMPLE CONTRACT	9

SECTION I – SUMMARY

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (“District”) is the regional governmental agency responsible for the control of air pollution from stationary sources in the Bay Area Air Basin, which encompasses the counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, the western half of Solano, and the southern half of Sonoma. The District is governed by a twenty-three (23) member Board of Directors as established in California Health and Safety Code Sections 40220, *et seq.* The Board of Directors includes representatives from all the counties within the District’s jurisdiction. The Air Pollution Control Officer (Chief Executive Officer), District Legal Counsel and the Clerk of the Board are appointed by the Board of Directors. All other employees serve under the authority of the Air Pollution Control Officer, a position currently held by Jack Broadbent.

The District is also the program administrator for Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) funds derived from Assembly Bill 434 (AB 434). TFCA funding comes from a \$4 surcharge on motor vehicles registered within the District’s jurisdiction. TFCA funding may be used only to fund eligible projects that reduce motor vehicle emissions and support the implementation of the transportation and mobile source control measures in the adopted Clean Air Plan. All projects must fall within the categories listed in California Health and Safety Code Section 44241 (“section 44241”).

California Health and Safety Code Section 44242 requires that any agency receiving fee revenues from the District shall be subject, at least once every two years, to an audit of each funded program or project. The audit is to be conducted by an independent auditor selected by the District in accordance with Division 2 (commencing with Section 1100) of the California Public Contract Code. The audit results report shall be made available to the public and to the affected project sponsor upon request. If, after reviewing the audit results, the District determines that TFCA revenues have been spent inappropriately, the District shall provide an adequate opportunity for explanation by the affected project

sponsor, including a public hearing. The District may then, if deemed necessary, withhold distribution of future fee revenues in an amount equal to those fees spent contrary to the intent of State law.

The Finance Department administers the District's financial activities for the General Ledger, Accounts Payable, Accounts Receivable, Budgeting and Treasury Management. The Finance Department is responsible for ensuring the compliance of District operations with best business practices and generally accepted standards of internal control for all funds expended under the TFCA program. The TFCA program is part of the Strategic Incentives Division. TFCA staff is responsible for performing outreach and soliciting grant applications from eligible project sponsors, reviewing grant applications and recommending to the District's Board of Directors the allocation of grant funds.

The District's fund structure includes the General Fund and one Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund contains subprograms that account for specific District activities. The District has no proprietary or fiduciary funds. The District's financial statements for fiscal year 2009-10 were prepared in conformance with GASB 34 and were audited by Gilbert Associates, Certified Public Accountants. We are soliciting proposals at this time as per District policy. The District uses J.D. Edwards software to process and account for its financial activity.

To respond to this RFP, an interested company should submit an electronic (PDF) copy of its proposal via email to:

**Tom Flannigan, Administrative Analyst
tflannigan@baaqmd.gov**

**Proposals must be received electronically
via email by 4:00 PM on Monday, March 14, 2011.**

**A return email will be provided shortly after submission as receipt of proposal.
Late proposals will not be considered.**

Proposals must address all information requested in this RFP. A proposal may add information not requested in this RFP, but the information should be in addition to, not instead of, the requested information and format. Minority business enterprises, women's business enterprises, and Certified Green Businesses are encouraged to submit proposals.

Any and all questions regarding this RFP should be directed to Tom Flannigan via email: tflannigan@baaqmd.gov.

SECTION II – BACKGROUND

A. TFCA Audit Fiscal Year 2009-2010

The District is requesting proposals from qualified firms of certified public accountants to audit TFCA projects and programs that closed in fiscal year 2009-10. The District may choose to extend this audit engagement for an additional two years at its discretion. The audit is to be performed on all of the project grants in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards; the standards set forth for financial and compliance audits in the U.S. General Accounting Office's Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities, and Functions; the provisions of the Single Audit Act of 1984, as amended in 1996; U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133; and Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Pronouncements.

B. DISTRICT RESPONSIBILITIES

The District will provide required records, documentation and supporting information within its possession, as well as provide a listing of sponsors and contacts for awarded project funding as applicable.

The District will provide office space, desks, tables, chairs, and phones at the District's offices. The auditors will also be furnished access to facsimile machines, and photocopying machines.

C. REQUIRED QUALIFICATIONS

1. The firm must have a background and experience in providing financial and compliance auditing services to governmental organizations. In addition, the firm must be familiar with the California Clean Air Act of 1988 and environmental regulations, in general.
2. Individuals assigned to the audit should be qualified to perform an audit of a government unit and be familiar with environmental regulations.
3. The firm must be qualified according to rules and regulations of the State of California and the Comptroller of the Currency to issue the reports as described in Section IIA.
4. The firm must be independent and free of conflicts of interest in discharging professional responsibilities. Independent is specifically defined in Rule 101 of the Code of Professional Conduct issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

D. RESPONSE SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

1. Independence

The firm should provide an affirmative statement that it is independent of the District as defined by generally accepted auditing standards. Moreover, the firm must have no conflict of interest with regard to any other work performed for the District. It is understood that the services performed by the auditors are in the

capacity of independent contractors and not as an officer, agent, or employee of the District.

2. License to Practice in California

An affirmative statement should be included indicating that the firm and all assigned key professional staff are properly licensed to practice in the State of California.

3. Firm Qualifications and Experience

The proposal should state the size of the firm, the size of the firm's governmental audit staff, the location of the office from which the work on this engagement is to be performed, and the number and nature of the staff to be assigned to the engagement. Please indicate whether any members of the audit team assigned to the District are reviewers in the Government Finance Officers Association Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting program.

The firm is also required to submit a copy of the report on its most recent external quality control review, with a statement as to whether that quality control review included a review of specific government engagements.

The firm will provide information on the results of any federal or State desk reviews or field reviews of its audits during the past three (3) years. In addition, the firm shall provide information on the circumstances and status of any disciplinary action taken or pending against the firm during the past three (3) years with State regulatory bodies or professional organizations.

For the firm's office that will be assigned responsibility for the audit, list the most significant engagements (maximum of 10) performed in the last five years that are similar to the engagement outlined in this request for proposals. The firm shall state experience with clients issuing Financial Statements under GASB 34. Indicate the scope of work, date, engagement partners, total hours, and the name and telephone number of the principal client contact.

4. Partner, Supervisory and Staff Qualifications and Experience

The firm shall identify the principal supervisory and management staff, including engagement partners, managers, other supervisors and specialists, who would be assigned to the engagement and indicate whether each such person is licensed to practice as a certified public accountant in the State of California. The firm also should provide information on their governmental auditing experience, including the scope of audit services requested by the District, and for each person, information on relevant continuing professional education for the past three (3) years and membership in professional organizations relevant to the performance of this audit.

The firm should provide as much information as possible, including resumes, regarding the number, qualifications, experience and training of the specific staff to be assigned to this engagement. The firm also should indicate how the quality of staff over the term of the agreement would be assured.

Engagement partners, managers, other supervisory staff, and specialists may be changed if those personnel leave the firm, are promoted, or are assigned to another office. These personnel may also be changed for other reasons with the express prior written permission of the District. However, in either case, the District retains the right to approve or reject replacements.

Consultants and firm specialists mentioned in response to this request for proposals can only be changed with the express prior written permission of the District, which retains the right to approve or reject replacements.

Other audit personnel may be changed at the discretion of the proposer provided that replacements have substantially the same or better qualifications or experience.

5. Specific Audit Approach

The proposal should set forth a work plan, including an explanation of the audit methodology to be followed in performing the services required in Section II of this request for proposals.

6. Identification of Anticipated Potential Audit Problems

The proposal should identify and describe any anticipated potential audit problems, the firm's approach to resolving these problems and any special assistance that will be requested from the District.

7. Total All-Inclusive Maximum Price

The bid should contain all pricing information relative to performing the audit engagement as described in this request for proposals. The total all-inclusive maximum price to be bid is to contain all direct and indirect costs including all out-of-pocket expenses.

8. Rates by Partner, Specialist, Supervisory and Staff Level Times Hours Anticipated for Each

The bid should include a schedule of professional fees and expenses that supports the total all-inclusive maximum price.

E. Ownership of District-Related Documents

All property rights, including publication rights of all reports produced by proposer in connection with services performed under this agreement shall be vested in the District. The proposer selected shall not publish or release any of the results of the engagement without express written permission.

F. Acceptance of Proposal Contents

After auditors are selected by the District, the contents of the submitted proposal will become a contractual obligation. The successful proposer will be required to execute a

standard consultant agreement with the District (See Appendix A). Failure of the selected firm to agree to include the proposal as part of the contractual agreement may result in cancellation of the award. The District reserves the right to reject those parts that do not meet with the approval of the District.

G. Acceptance or Rejection and Negotiation of Proposals

The District reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, to waive non-material irregularities or information in the request for proposal, and to accept or reject any item or combination of items. By requesting proposals, the District is in no way obligated to award a contract or to pay expenses of the proposing firms in connection with the preparation or submission of a proposal. Furthermore, the District reserves the right to reject any and all proposals prior to the execution of the contract(s), with no penalty to the District. If the District elects to reject all proposals, it reserves the right to continue with its current services arrangement.

H. Cost Proposal Guidelines - The Cost/Price format for the proposal must be as follows:

Tasks / Price:

1. Individual Audit Reports for all audited TFCA projects and programs \$
2. Summary Audit Report \$
3. TOTAL COST OF PROPOSAL \$

In addition, list the fully burdened hourly labor rates and the total number of hours estimated for each level of professional staff to be used to perform each segment.

All proposals must be submitted according to specifications set forth in this section. Failure to adhere to these specifications may be cause for the rejection of the proposal. All proposals must be signed by an authorized representative of the bidder.

I. Grounds for Rejection - A proposal may be immediately rejected if it is received at any time after the deadline; if it is not in the prescribed format; or if it is not signed by an individual authorized to represent the firm.

J. Disposition of Proposals - The District reserves the right to reject any or all proposals. All responses become the property of the District. One copy of the proposal shall be retained for District files. Additional copies and materials will be returned only if requested and at the bidder's expense.

K. Modification or Withdrawal - Once submitted, proposals, including the composition of the contracting team cannot be altered without the prior written consent of the District. All proposals shall constitute firm offers and may not be withdrawn following the last day to accept proposals.

L. Public Records - All responses to this RFP become property of the District and will be kept confidential until a recommendation for award of a contract has been announced. Thereafter, submittals are subject to public inspection and disclosure under the California Public Records Act. If a respondent believes that any portion of its submittal is exempt from public disclosure, it may mark that portion "confidential." The District will use reasonable means to ensure that such confidential information is safeguarded, but

will not be held liable for inadvertent disclosure of the information. Proposals marked “confidential” in their entirety will not be honored, and the District will not deny public disclosure of any portion of submittals so marked.

By submitting a proposal with portions marked “confidential,” a respondent represents it has a good faith belief that such portions are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act and agrees to reimburse the District for, and to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the District, its officers, employees, and agents, from and against any and all claims, damages, losses, liabilities, suits, judgments, fines, penalties, costs, and expenses, including without limitation, attorneys’ fees, expenses, and court costs of any nature whatsoever, arising from or relating to the District’s non-disclosure of any such designated portions of a proposal.

SECTION III – INTERVIEWS

- A. The District, at its option, may interview bidders. The interviews will be for the purpose of clarifying the proposals.
- B. Submittal of new proposal material at an interview will not be permitted.

SECTION IV – SCOPE OF WORK

A. OBJECTIVES

The selected audit firm shall:

- 1. Provide a Summary Report on whether TFCA revenues awarded by the Air District to other public agencies were spent on the reduction of air pollution from motor vehicles, in accordance with the transportation control measures adopted pursuant to Sections 40233 and 40717 of the California Health and Safety Code, and that fee revenues supported projects as specified in Section 44241 of the same code. The said report shall reflect a determination of the propriety of the expenditures incurred, not the degree of efficacy in reducing air pollution. Attachment 1 provides a sample list of the projects to be audited under this contract.
- 2. Submit to the District the individual Audit Reports for TFCA projects and programs described in this Section.

B. WORK STATEMENT

The selected audit firm shall perform a compliance and financial statement audit on each of the projects audited. Audit guidelines and other requirements are described in Paragraphs 1 through 4 below.

1. Parts of the TFCA

The auditor will audit both parts of the TFCA—the TFCA Regional Fund and the TFCA County Program Manager Fund.

2. Estimated number of projects and site visits

The estimated number of projects for the Regional Fund is 100 projects, with an estimated 50 to 60 site visits, as some grantees have multiple projects.

The estimated number of County Program Manager projects is 150, with site visits to each of the nine (9) County Program Manager agencies.

3. Compliance and Financial Audit Requirements

- a) Compliance Audit - The selected audit firm shall conduct a compliance audit as required in Section IV.A. Paragraphs 1 and 2 above, subject to Government Auditing Standards, and shall prepare a report on the recipient's compliance with the terms of the applicable project funding agreement and the provisions of the TFCA (California Health and Safety Code Sections 44220 through 44242). The report must make specific reference to tests for compliance with the applicable laws and regulations in accordance with *Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) Number 74: Compliance Auditing Applicable to Governmental Entities and Other Recipients of Governmental Financial Assistance (SAS-74)*.

The test for compliance must emphasize the following requirements of the project funding agreement and the TFCA:

- the use of the money for the reduction of emissions from motor vehicles,
- the adoption of appropriate resolutions authorizing the grant application, or, where applicable, an authorizing letter of commitment,
- the expenditure of funds within two (2) years unless a longer period was approved in writing by the District, and
- confirmation of reporting and monitoring requirements; verification of the use of the District-approved logo for all TFCA projects implemented.

- b) Financial Statement Audit - The examination of financial statements shall be conducted in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). GAAP standards include the Statements of Auditing Standards issued by the Auditing Standards Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the *Governmental Auditing Standard: Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities, and Functions* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

The test for financial compliance must emphasize the following requirements of the project funding agreement and TFCA:

- use of indirect cost rates that are consistent with District Guidelines, and
- that no more than five percent (5%) of the TFCA revenues were expended on TFCA administrative costs.

4. Other Areas of Concern

The selected audit firm shall itemize any other areas of concern with respect to the contractual, legal, administrative, or financial aspects of the grantee's implementation of the TFCA project, as such concerns may emerge during the course of the audit. The audit firm shall also provide recommendations for resolution of those concerns, to the extent that the firm has information or

experience to do so.

5. District Support

- a) The District will assist the selected audit firm in locating required records, documentation, and supporting information within its possession. The selected firm will be responsible for scheduling times to review material in possession of project sponsors.
- b) The District will provide the selected audit firm with a final list of projects and the contact person(s) for each project.
- c) The District will work to ensure that the selected firm receives the cooperation of the Project Sponsors and County Program Managers in the conduct of the audits.

The Finance Manager and District Grant Programs Manager will be responsible for coordinating the audit process for the District. The auditors will meet on a bi-weekly basis during the field work process with the Finance and District Grant Programs Manager to discuss preliminary audit findings and management recommendations. Prior to issuing their final reports, the auditors will meet with the Deputy Air Pollution Control Officer and the Finance and District Grant Programs Manager. All audit reports will be addressed to the Board of Directors.

The auditors may be consulted occasionally throughout the year as an information resource. The auditors may be asked to provide guidance on the implementation of Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) requirements and specifics of federal and state regulations as they may affect District accounting, and may be asked to assist with the implementation of new pronouncements.

SECTION V – PROPOSAL EVALUATION

A panel of Air District staff will evaluate all proposals. The panel will recommend the selection of the contractor to the Air Pollution Control Officer (APCO), who will, in turn, make a recommendation to the District Board of Directors. The District Board of Directors must approve the contract to carry out the work described in this RFP. An example of a typical contract for professional services used by the District is included in Section VI.

Proposals will be evaluated on the following criteria:

Technical expertise, size and structure of the firm and personnel assigned to RFP tasks; firm's ability to perform and complete the work in a professional and timely manner.	25%
Past experience of the firm and, in particular, experience of the team working on projects of similar scope for other governmental agencies.	15%
Responsiveness of the proposal, based upon a clear understanding of the work to be performed.	25%
Cost	25%
References of the firm, local business/Green Business*	10%

- * The District gives preferences to local businesses and those that are certified as green businesses by a government agency or independent private rating organization.

The District reserves the right to reject any and all proposals submitted and/or request additional information. During the selection process, the District's evaluation panel may interview bidders. The interviews will be for clarification only. The submittal of new material will not be permitted at that time.

If two or more proposals receive the same number of points, the District will accept the lower cost offer.

SECTION VI – SAMPLE CONTRACT

A sample contract to carry out the work described in this RFP is available on the District's website at:

<http://www.baaqmd.gov/~media/Files/Administration/RFP%20RFQ/Standard%20Contract%20March%202007.ashx>

Attachment 1

Sample List of Projects to be Audited in TFCA Audit #13

As of Feb. 4, 2010

This list is a sample only, and is subject to change prior to contract.

Project Number	Sponsor / Program Manager	Project Title	TFCA\$ Awarded
06R15	County of Contra Costa	North Richmond Area Bikeway Project	\$65,000.00
06R20	University of California, San Francisco	UCSF/Mt. Zion Medical Center Bike & Ride - Secure Bicycle Parking	\$39,999.00
06R32	San Francisco International Airport	Purchase Fourteen (14) Compressed Natural Gas Heavy-duty Shuttle Buses	\$198,000.00
06R40	Solano Garbage Company/Bay Leasing	Purchase Two (2) Compressed Natural Gas Solid Waste Collection Vehicles	\$68,452.00
06R41	Tri Ced Community Recycling	Purchase Ten (10) Compressed Natural Gas Recycling Trucks	\$500,000.00
06R42	Waste Management of Alameda County, Inc.	Purchase Fourteen (14) Liquefied Natural Gas Recycling Trucks	\$500,000.00
06R44	Milpitas Unified School District	Repower Two (2) Heavy-duty Diesel School Buses	\$95,326.00
06R46	River Delta Unified School	Repower 2 heavy-duty diesel school buses with cleaner diesel engines.	\$95,326.00
06R48	San Francisco International Airport	Retrofit Twenty-seven (27) Diesel Shuttle Vehicles	\$609,711.00
06R51	Coach 21	Retrofit Ten (10) Diesel Buses	\$240,909.00
06R54	CUSA FL LLC	Retrofit Eighteen (18) Diesel Buses	\$430,619.00
06R58	Marin Airporter	Retrofit Fifteen (15) Diesel Buses	\$122,341.05
06R61	North Bay Corporation	Retrofit Fifteen (15) Heavy-duty Diesel Trucks	\$288,849.00
06R65	Sheedy Drayage	Retrofit Six (6) Heavy-duty Diesel Trucks	\$53,107.74
06R67	Blue Line Transfer, Inc.	Retrofit Three (3) Diesel Transfer Trucks	\$68,501.00
06R69	Sysco Food Service	Retrofit Twenty-one (21) Heavy-duty Diesel Delivery Trucks	\$374,588.00
06R83	Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board	Caltrain Weekday Shuttle Bus Service	\$1,034,355.00
06R86	Presidio Trust	PresidiGo Downtown Shuttle Service	\$125,000.00

TFCA Audit Fiscal Year 2009-2010 RFP 2011-001
February 23, 2011

06R87	San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission	Shuttle Bus Service - Pleasanton ACE to Stoneridge Business Park	\$36,439.00
06R88	San Joaquin Regional Rail Commission	Shuttle Bus Service - Pleasanton ACE and Dublin/Pleasanton BART Stations	\$50,000.00
06R89	San Jose State University - Associated Students	Trip Reduction Program	\$100,000.00
07BFP02	Alameda County	East Lewelling Boulevard Class II Bikeway Improvement Project	\$59,500.00
07BFP12	City of Redwood City	Middlefield Road Bike Lane Striping Project	\$12,000.00
07BFP14	City of Daly City	Callan Boulevard and Southgate Avenue Bicycle Route Improvements	\$15,000.00
07BFP21	Sonoma County Junior College District	Secured Bicycle Facilities Program Phase I	\$50,000.00
07BFP22	San Francisco State University	Bicycle U - Bike Parking at SF State	\$12,000.00
07BFP25	Marin County Public Works Department	Alameda Del Prado Class II Project	\$42,500.00
07R03	BAAQMD	Spare The Air	\$1,761,961.00
07R60	San Francisco International Airport	Purchase 27 CNG Vans	\$198,450.00
07R70	Cooper Crane & Rigging Inc.	Repower 2 Heavy Duty Trucks - Reconditioned Engines	\$62,456.00
08R01	BAAQMD	Smoking Vehicle Program	\$1,041,097.00
08R03	BAAQMD	Spare The Air	\$1,402,345.00
08R21	Rodgers Trucking Company	Retrofit Six Heavy Duty Vehicles - Level 3 Devices	\$66,357.00
08R25	Challenge Dairy Products, Inc.	Retrofit Thirteen Heavy Duty Vehicles	\$172,107.00
08R36	Hansen Transport, Inc.	Retrofit Two Heavy Duty Vehicles	\$31,955.00
08R37	Independent Construction	Retrofit 11 Heavy Duty Vehicles	\$177,597.87
08R56	Cooper Crane & Rigging	Repower 3 heavy-duty vehicles	\$176,898.00
05ALA01	ALA	Electronic Bike Lockers	\$50,000.00
07ALA05	ALA	Class 3 and Class 2 Bikeways: Various Locations	\$95,400.00
07ALA08	ALA	ACE Shuttle Services-Route 54	\$36,883.00
07ALA07	ALA	Alameda County: Guaranteed Ride Home Program	\$270,000.00
05CC07	CC	Countywide Clean Fuel Vehicle Program	\$10,000.00
06CC02	CC	Countywide Guaranteed Ride Home Program	\$165,300.00

TFCA Audit Fiscal Year 2009-2010 RFP 2011-001
February 23, 2011

06CC01	CC	West Contra Costa Employer Based Trip Reduction	\$113,515.00
06CC10	CC	511 South Contra Costa County School Transit Ticket Program	\$67,250.00
06CC08	CC	511 Contra Costa Countywide Vanpool Incentive Program	\$49,200.00
06CC03	CC	I-80 Corridor Transit Program	\$85,214.00
06CC09	CC	511 South Contra Costa County Employer Program	\$72,090.00
07CC05	CC	Countywide Comprehensive Incentive Program	\$682,248.00
07CC04	CC	Central/East County Employer Outreach Program	\$110,000.00
07CC08	CC	511 South Contra Costa County School Transit Ticket Program/On-Line Ride matching Program	\$92,482.00
08CC00	CC	Program Administration Cost	\$64,561.56
05MAR08	MAR	Manor Circle Safe Routes to School	\$159,037.00
05MAR01	MAR	Class 1 Bike Path - Olema-Bolinas and Mesa Roads	\$40,000.00
05MAR07	MAR	Los Ranchitos Road Class II Bikeway	\$160,000.00
08MAR00	MAR	Program Administration Cost	\$18,062.00
06NAP05	NAP	Commuter Incentives and Guaranteed Ride Home	\$25,000.00
06NAP07	NAP	Hybrid Transit Buses	\$112,828.00
07NAP02	NAP	15 Light-Duty Hybrid Vehicle Purchase	\$30,000.00
05SC01	SC	Blair Avenue Traffic Calming	\$90,000.00
06SC09	SC	Light Rail Transit Controller Upgrade Project	\$600,000.00
06SC05	SC	Stevens Creek Bicycle Trail Reach 4	\$275,000.00
07SC01	SC	Convert ground transportation, taxis and vans, to CNG	\$79,709.00
07SC08	SC	West Llagas Creek Trail Phase II	\$48,101.00
07SC05	SC	County Expressway Weekend Signal Timing	\$135,000.00
07SC06	SC	VTA light rail shuttle program	\$383,000.00
07SC02	SC	Upgrade to airport's CNG fueling station	\$526,684.00
08SC01	SC	Light Rail Shuttle Program	\$458,000.00
08SC00	SC	Program Administration Cost	\$123,020.00
05SF03	SF	Guaranteed Ride Home Program	\$34,000.00
06SF14	SF	CNG Hotel Shuttles	\$204,000.00
07SF15	SF	CNG Taxicab Program	\$132,000.00

TFCA Audit Fiscal Year 2009-2010 RFP 2011-001
February 23, 2011

07SF03	SF	Bicycle Fleet Program	\$26,678.17
07SF05	SF	Enforcement Bicycle Patrol Fleet	\$20,972.62
08SF02	SF	Clean-Air Taxis (Fifty-Two)	\$109,200.00
08SF05	SF	PresidiGo Shuttle CNG Fleet Addition	\$46,884.00
08SF00	SF	Program Administration Cost	\$36,827.00
09SF06	SF	Diesel Tow Truck Engine Repower	\$15,000.00
08SM00	SM	Program Administration Cost	\$48,320.00
08SM01	SM	County -Wide Voluntary Trip Reduction Program	\$500,000.00
08SM02	SM	Sam Trans Shuttle Bus Program	\$636,000.00
05SOL02	SOL	Smart Growth - E. 5th Street Corridor	\$125,000.00
05SOL03	SOL	McCoy Creek Multi-Use Path	\$35,000.00
05SOL04	SOL	Transit Center Pedestrian Access	\$25,000.00
07SOL04	SOL	SNCI Incentives & Outreach Program	\$222,247.00
07SOL03	SOL	Union Ave./Suisun Train Station Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project	\$87,248.00
08SOL00	SOL	Program Administration Cost	\$15,608.00
05SON07	SON	Bodway Parkway Bike Lanes	\$40,000.00
05SON01	SON	Windsor Intermodal Facility / Park & Ride	\$34,548.00
05SON02	SON	Petaluma Transit Mall	\$153,266.00
06SON07	SON	Student/Youth Pass Subsidy	\$80,000.00
06SON08	SON	Voluntary Trip Reduction Program	\$154,507.00
06SON04	SON	Redwood Drive Class II Bicycle Lanes	\$14,500.00
06SON11	SON	Arata Lane & Hembree Lane Bicycle Lanes	\$30,000.00
06SON03	SON	Multi-Agency Bus Stop Information Project	\$30,000.00
06SON10	SON	Roundabout at McDowell Blvd South and Baywood Drive	\$195,000.00
06SON01	SON	Transit Marketing Program	\$124,055.00
06SON05	SON	Rohnert Park Expressway Class II Bicycle Lanes	\$142,000.00
07SON02	SON	FY2007 Voluntary Trip Reduction Program	\$144,901.00
07SON05	SON	Old Redwood Highway Bicycle Lane	\$79,964.00
07SON03	SON	FY2007 Youth Pass Program	\$88,000.00
07SON01	SON	Transit Marketing Program	\$158,609.00
08SON00	SON	Program Administration Cost	\$31,570.70

Abbreviations:

Abbrev.	Program Manager
ALA	ALAMEDA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
CC	CONTRA COSTA TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
MAR	TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY OF MARIN
NAP	NAPA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AND PLANNING AGENCY
SC	SANTA CLARA VALLEY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
SF	SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
SM	CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
SOL	SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
SON	SONOMA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY