



Overview

- Refinery Rulemaking History
- Overview of Draft Rule 12-16
- Overview of Draft Rule 13-1
- Overview of Draft Rule 11-18
- Schedule / Next Steps

Refinery Rulemaking History Board Resolution 2014-07

In October 2014, Board provided direction to staff

- Continue work on Rule 12-15 to monitor refinery emissions
- Develop Rule 12-16 to prevent increases in refinery emissions
- Develop additional rules to reduce refinery emissions by 20% by 2020, or as much as feasible

In 2015 and 2016, the first suite of emission reduction rules were approved. These rules will reduce refinery emissions by more than 15%

Refinery Rulemaking History Progress

On track towards Refinery Strategy goals

- Six rules adopted
- Criteria pollutant emissions reductions of over 15%

Rule	Addresses	Adopted	
6-5	Reduces PM from FCCUs		
8-18	Reduces VOC from equipment leaks	Dec. 2015	
11-10	Reduces VOC and toxics from cooling towers		
9-14	Reduces SO ₂ from coke calcining operations		
12-15	Tracks crude slate changes and emissions	Apr. 2016	
2-5	New Source Review for Toxics	Dec. 2016	

Refinery Rulemaking History Work on Rule 12-16

2015

OCT

First draft of Rule 12-16 released. Significant number of comments received. Staff responded by evaluating alternative approaches.

2016

Staff presents four options to address refinery GHGs

- Focus on methane
- Refinery-wide combustion emissions
- BARCT on refinery processes
- Numeric emissions cap (CBE's proposal)

JUN (

JUL

Board directs staff to analyze CBE's proposal (as Rule 12-16) and staff proposal (Rule 11-18) in one EIR.



Draft Rule 12-16

Limits refinery GHG & criteria pollutant emissions

- Affects five refineries and three associated facilities
- Caps GHG, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₂ and NO_X emissions
- Limits set at 7% above each refinery's five-year max

Has significant issues

- Conflicts with state and federal law on permitting
- Court would likely find it to be arbitrary and capricious
- May cause gasoline shortages if consumption increases

Draft Rule 13-1 First Rule of Combustion Strategy

Limits refinery GHGs by focusing on carbon intensity

- Caps GHG emissions at each refinery's current, actual capacity operation
 - Accounts for GHGs from all power and H₂ inputs
 - Requires execution of cost-effective efficiency projects
- Does not interfere with Cap-and-Trade or the gasoline market
- Prevents refineries from re-tooling to process tar sands crude

Carbon Intensity Limit = $\frac{\text{Annual GHG Emissions (CO}_{2}\text{e})}{\text{Annual Crude Volume (barrels)}}$

Comparison of Rule 12-16 & Rule 13-1

	Rule 12-16	Rule 13-1
Goals / Objectives		
Prevents Significant Increases in PM Emissions	Yes	Yes
Prevents Significant GHG Emission Increases	Yes	Yes
Reduces Toxic Emissions	No	No
Harmony with		
Health and Safety Code	No	Yes
AB 32 Cap-and-Trade Program	No	Yes
New Source Review	No	Yes



Draft Rule 13-1 and Draft Rule 12-16

- Staff believes that draft Rule 13-1 meets the GHGrelated goals of draft Rule 12-16, but more analysis and consultation with stakeholders is still required.
- Draft Rule 13-1 will be evaluated as an alternative to draft Rule 12-16 in the EIR for 12-16.
- Analysis of draft Rule 13-1 will not impact the schedule for the analysis of draft Rule 12-16.



Draft Rule 11-18

Reduces public's exposure to localized health risks

- Hundreds of facilities will be evaluated, including refineries
- Health Risk Assessments (HRAs) conducted by Air District staff using latest OEHHA guidelines
- Threshold for facilities to develop and execute Districtapproved Risk Reduction Plans reduced from 100 per million (100/M) to 10/M
- Refineries have among highest priority for HRAs (Phase 1)
- Rule 11-18 ensures public transparency and continuous improvement



Schedule / Next Steps

On track with 12-16/11-18 rulemaking process

- MAR 2017 Second round of workshops / hearing package published
- MAY 17, 2017 Board hearing

Proposed schedule for Rule 13-1

- FEB 2017 Workshop package published
- MAR 2017 Outreach in refinery communities
- APR 2017 Update to Stationary Source Committee
- MAY 2017 Hearing package published
- AUG 2017 Board hearing

Rule 11-18 Planned Implementation Approach

- 1. Prioritize Facilities
- 2. Conduct Health Risk Assessments
 - Setup Model
 - Validate Model
 - Conduct Health Risk Assessments
- 3. Public Comment on HRAs
- Publish HRA Results to Air District website & email subscription list
- 5. Risk Reduction Plan
 - Publish Requirement, Submission and Implementation Status to Air District website & email subscription list
 - 3-year implementation timeline

Rule 11-18 Planned Implementation Phases

- Phase 1 (Prioritization Score > 250)
 - Health Risk Assessments (2017-2018) 1 Year to complete
 - Risk Reduction Plan Development and Approval (2018-2019) ~ 9 months
 - Risk Reduction Plan Implementation (2019-2022) 3 years
- Phase 2 (Prioritization Score > 10)
 - Mixed Source Facilities (2019-2025)
- Phase 3
 - Diesel Internal Combustion Engines (2021-2027)
- Phase 4
 - Retail Gas Stations (2023-2028)

AGENDA: 12

Summary of Ozone Seasons

Year	National 8-Hour	State 1-Hour	State 8-Hour
2013*	3	3	3
2014*	5	3	10
2015*	5	4	11
2016	15	5	15

Spare the Air Alerts: 6/2, 6/3, 6/4, 6/21, 6/28, 7/13, 7/14, 7/15, 7/25, 7/26, 7/27, 7/28, 7/29, 8/11, 8/12, 8/13, 8/18, 8/19, 9/7, 9/17, 9/18, 9/19, 9/25, 9/26, 9/27, 10/8, 10/9

Days > 0.070 ppm 8-hour NAAQS: 6/2, 6/3, 6/4, 6/30, 7/14, 7/15, 7/25, 7/26, 7/27, 8/12, 8/13, 8/17, 9/26, 10/8, 10/9

^{*}Based on NAAQS of 0.075 ppm that was in place during those years

Winter PM_{2.5} Seasons

Year	Days > 35 µg/m³	Winter Spare the Air Alerts
2013/2014	15	30
2014/2015	6	23
2015/2016	0	1
2016/2017	0	7

- Spare the Air Alert Called for: 12/19/16, 12/20/16, 12/21/16, 12/22/16, 1/17/17, 1/30/2017, 2/1/2017
- Days > 35 µg/m³ 24-hr NAAQS: