

Challenges to Interpretation of New Air Sensor Data: What Does it Mean?



John Vandenberg, PhD

National Program Director

Human Health Risk Assessment Program

National Center for Environmental Assessment


U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

My Air Quality: Using Sensors to Know What's in Your Air

Diamond Bar, CA

November 21, 2014

Disclaimer: This presentation does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.



© 2003 Sidney Harris. Reprinted with permission from Sidney Harris. All rights reserved.

Challenges to Interpretation of New Air Sensor Data: What Does it Mean?

Data itself is not “information”: Interpretation required

- For an individual:
 - What does a reading mean for me, my family?
 - Is my home safe? Where should I exercise?
- For a community:
 - What neighborhoods are impacted the most?
- For State and Local officials:
 - How do I respond to citizen inquiries?

Air Sensors Health Group (ASHG) formed to support data interpretation

- Includes EPA Program offices and Regional representatives
 - Office of Research and Development (several programs)
 - Office of Air and Radiation
 - EPA Regional Offices
- Includes other Federal Agencies:
 - National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences
 - National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
 - Centers for Disease Control
 - National Library of Medicine

ASHG Goals

- To help the state/local agencies and regions on the front lines of answering phone calls from concerned citizens
- To help consumers understand how to interpret the readings from their sensors
- To help guide sensor developers to produce instruments with meaningful information or translation

Initial ASHG Approaches

- Consider available reference values
- Consider what is “normal” air quality

Understanding Reference Values

Values vary due to assumptions that depend on target population and intended exposure scenario

Occupational values:

- 8-hour work shift TWA or 15-minute STEL

- Healthy workers

- 40-year exposure duration

- Safety factors

Emergency response values:

- Degrees of severity – all include some level of effect

- Aid in evacuation/Take-shelter decisions

- Assume “once in a lifetime” exposure scenario, not routine excursions

Extrapolation factors may not account for general population, sensitive subpopulations, or dosimetry

Air Reference Value Evaluation




EPA/600/R-09/061

Graphical Arrays of Chemical-Specific Health Effect Reference Values for Inhalation Exposures



Acrolein: Comparison of Reference Values



* Indicates an occupational value; expert judgment necessary prior to applying these values to the general public.

Figure 2.1. Comparison of Available Health Effect Reference Values for Inhalation Exposure to Acrolein

Table 2-1. Summary of Available Inhalation Reference Values for 24 Chemicals

	Emergency Response			Occupational						General Public					
	AEGL	ERPG	TEEL	IDLH	TLV	PEL	REL	CDC WPL	STEL	Ceiling	RfC	MRL	CA-REL	CDC GPL	WHO Air Quality Guideline
Acrolein	X	X		X		X	X		X	X	X	X	X		
Ammonia	X	X		X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X		
Arsine (SA)*	X	X		X	X	X				X	X		X		
Chlorine*	X	X		X	X				X	X	X	X	X		
Chromium VI			X	X	X	X	X				X	X	X		
Cyanogen Chloride*		X								X					
Ethylene Glycol Methyl Ether			X	X	X	X	X				X		X		
Ethylene Oxide	X	X		X	X	X	X			X		X	X		
Formaldehyde	X	X		X		X	X		X	X		X	X		X
Soman (GD) + Cyclosarin (GF)*	X			X					X						
Hydrogen Cyanide (AC)*	X	X		X		X			X	X	X		X		
Hydrogen Fluoride	X	X		X	X	X	X		X			X	X		
Hydrogen Sulfide	X	X		X	X				X	X					
Lewisite (L)*	X							X						X	
Mercury	X	X		X	X		X			X	X	X	X		
Methylene Chloride	X	X		X	X	X			X			X	X		X
Perchloroethylene	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X		X	X		
Phosgene (CG)*	X	X		X	X	X	X			X	X		X		
Phosphine*	X	X		X	X	X	X		X		X		X		
Sarin (GB)*	X			X				X	X					X	
Styrene	X	X		X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X		X
Sulfur Mustard (HD)*	X			X				X	X			X		X	
Tabun (GA)*	X			X				X	X					X	
VX*	X			X				X	X					X	10

* indicates a chemical warfare agent

Reference Values?

- Consider available reference values
- Consider what is “normal” air quality

- National Ambient Air Quality Standards: 4 components
 - Indicator (e.g., ozone)
 - Level (e.g., 75 ppb)
 - Averaging time (8 hour daily maximum) **
 - Form (4th highest average across 3 years) **

** = short-term exposure data (minutes, hour) does not match up with standard
e.g., a one minute reading of 85 ppb does not mean the standard has been exceeded

What is “Normal” Air Quality?


- Examine one year of data (2013) at two contrasting sites near San Francisco, California (“higher concentration” vs. “lower concentration”)
 - Results should not be generalized. Relationships and patterns likely vary for other geographic locations, monitoring equipment, etc.
 - 1-minute data provided by Mark Stoelting, Bay Area Air Quality Management District

Santa Rosa

(lower concentration)

Daily Max 8-hour Ozone Concentrations from 01/01/13 to 12/31/13

Parameter: Ozone (Applicable standard is .075 ppm)
CBSA: Santa Rosa-Petaluma, CA
County: Sonoma
State: California
AQS Site ID: 06-097-0003, poc 1




Source: U.S. EPA AirData <<http://www.epa.gov/airdata>>
Generated: April 8, 2014

Livermore

(higher concentration)


Daily Max 8-hour Ozone Concentrations from 01/01/13 to 12/31/13

Parameter: Ozone (Applicable standard is .075 ppm)
CBSA: San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont, CA
County: Alameda
State: California
AQS Site ID: 06-001-0007, poc 1




Source: U.S. EPA AirData <<http://www.epa.gov/airdata>>
Generated: April 8, 2014

Profile of 1-minute Ozone Concentrations
SantaRosa (AQS site id: 060970003)
Anomalous data removed



An Advantage to the initial ASGH focus on gaseous criteria pollutants is the large network of monitors




Messaging for PM_{2.5} is also under development

Monitoring data is limited for most Hazardous Air Pollutants, i.e. what is “normal” more difficult to evaluate



Conclusions

- Lack of short-term health reference values for general population exposure
- Lack of short-term health effects studies
- Short-term new sensor data does NOT compare to National Ambient Air Quality Standards
- Short-term (minute-by-minute) air monitoring available for some criteria air pollutants, which can be used to communicate what is “normal”
- Major challenge is effective and appropriate communication
- ASHG is working to develop information to support interpretation of new air sensor data



© 2003 Sidney Harris. Reprinted with permission from Sidney Harris. All rights reserved.