

RUSSELL CITY ENERGY CENTER.txt

From: Edward Page
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2009 11:55 AM
To: Weyman Lee
Cc: Jack Broadbent
Subject: RUSSELL CITY ENERGY CENTER

Weyman Lee
Bay Area Air Quality Management District
939 Ellis Street
San Francisco, California 94109

RE: RUSSELL CITY ENERGY CENTER

Dear Mr. Lee,

We are 32 year residents of Hayward and the air quality has always been good. We cannot believe that the Russell City Energy Center will improve our air quality. We do not have a power plant here now in our city, so how on earth could a new power plant improve our air quality.

Are we supposed to think that by putting a Calpine plant along our shore and closing a plant in San Francisco will improve the quality of the air in Hayward?

If you want to close an outdated plant in San Francisco, replace it with a new one in San Francisco. If San Mateo needs more power, put the plant in San Mateo.

Millions of dollars have been spent by EBMUD Parks and HARD to restore the Bay shoreline and to build an Interpretive Center and walking trails to access this area. It would be a shame to have this area spoiled by an unneeded Calpine plant spewing tons of contamination per year into the air.

Also, maps from the USGS show this area to be prone to liquifaction during a large earthquake on the Hayward Fault, which is way overdue for a large quake. Even a large quake on the San Andreas Fault caused devastating damage in the East Bay in 1989.

PLEASE DO NOT ISSUE A PERMIT FOR THE RUSSELL CITY ENERGY CENTER!

Edward R. Page, Jr.

Elizabeth J. Page