

## Brian Lusher

---

**From:** Jeff Valmus [jvalmus@emerachem.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 08, 2008 1:36 PM  
**To:** Weyman Lee  
**Cc:** Bob Nishimura; Brian Lusher; jcole@hydrogen.la  
**Subject:** RE: Request for EMx cost information



App\_8



BACT economic  
analysis (final)...



SF BACT LM6000  
Emissions Calcula...



GE 7FA  
Emissions.pdf

Weyman,

Please find our attached BACT analysis for both the LM-6000 and 7FA (180MW combined cycle) plants. Sorry for the delay but as you can expect it was a great undertaking. All of the economics have been updated to current day pricing (these numbers are actual quotes we have provided customers recently). I have attached some of the supporting detail. Please let me know what else you need.

Sincerely,

Jeff Valmus  
Vice President of Business Development  
EmeraChem Power  
O: 949-496-5802  
C: 949-355-0320  
F: 949-496-2696

-----Original Message-----

From: Weyman Lee [mailto:Weyman@baaqmd.gov]  
Sent: Friday, August 29, 2008 12:47 PM  
To: Jeff Valmus  
Cc: Bob Nishimura; Brian Lusher  
Subject: RE: Request for EMx cost information

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeff Valmus [mailto:jvalmus@emerachem.com]  
Sent: Fri 8/29/2008 6:53 AM  
To: Weyman Lee  
Cc: jcole@hydrogen.la  
Subject: RE: Request for EMx cost information

I am working on these and would expect to be able to get something to you next week.

Sincerely,

Jeff Valmus  
Vice President of Business Development  
EmeraChem Power  
O: 949-496-5802  
C: 949-355-0320  
F: 949-496-2696

-----Original Message-----

From: Weyman Lee [mailto:Weyman@baaqmd.gov]  
Sent: Monday, August 25, 2008 11:03 AM  
To: jcole@hydrogen.la; Jeff Valmus  
Subject: FW: Request for EMx cost information

Correction - please do analysis for 170 MW turbine, not 200 MW.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Weyman Lee  
> Sent: Monday, August 25, 2008 10:33 AM  
> To: '  
> Cc: Bob Nishimura; Brian Lusher  
> Subject: Request for EMx cost information

>  
> Jerald-

> I understand that you are completing a top-down BACT analysis with cost effectiveness calculations to compare EMx and SCR systems for a LM6000 turbine. This is timely because the District is in the process of updating the BACT analysis for combustion gas turbines. I would like to request the BACT analysis for the LM6000 and also request that the analysis be scaled up for a 200 MW combined cycle turbine. I will be faxing you a copy of a 1999 SCR cost analysis to show the cost information the District is interested in. I would like to have the analyses (for both the LM6000 and 200 MW turbine) by end of this week on 8/29. If this is not possible, please let me know the earliest date you can provide the requested information. Thank you.

>  
> Weyman Lee  
> (415) 749-4796  
>  
>

**SCR Analysis**

Turbine Model	50 MW Class	Flow	180MW	Flow
Turbine Output	GE LM6000	1,100,000	GE 7FA Combined Cycle	3,400,000
	47.5 MW		120MW	
			Scaling Factor	3.090909
Direct Capital Costs (DC)				
Purchased Equip. Cost (PE)		<u>Source</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Scaled Up Cost</u>
Basic Equipment (A)	SCR System	EC Power-Canyon Quote	\$ 1,753,336	\$ 5,419,402
	Ammonia injection skid	Included		
	NH3 Tank and Unloading Station	Vector Systems-Canyon	\$ 607,332	\$ 1,877,208
	Instrumentation	Included		
	Taxes and freight	0.08 A x B	\$ 188,853	\$ 583,729
PE Total			\$ 2,549,521	\$ 7,880,339
Direct Installation Costs (DI)				
	Foundation & supports	0.08 x PE	\$ 203,962	\$ 630,427
	Handling and erection	0.14 x PE	\$ 356,933	\$ 1,103,247
	Electrical	0.04 x PE	\$ 101,981	\$ 315,214
	Piping	0.02 x PE	\$ 50,990	\$ 157,607
	Insulation	0.01 x PE	\$ 25,495	\$ 78,803
	Painting	0.01 x PE	\$ 25,495	\$ 78,803
	DI Total		\$ 764,856	\$ 2,364,102
DC Total			\$ 3,314,378	\$ 10,244,441
Indirect Costs (IC)				
	Engineering:	0.10*PE	\$ 254,952	\$ 788,034
	Construction and field expenses	0.05*PE	\$ 127,476	\$ 394,017
	Contractor fees	0.10*PE	\$ 254,952	\$ 788,034
	Start-up	0.02*PE	\$ 50,990	\$ 157,607
	Performance testing	0.01*PE	\$ 25,495	\$ 78,803
	Contingencies	0.03*PE	\$ 76,486	\$ 236,410
	IC Total		\$ 790,352	\$ 2,442,905
Total Capital Investment (TCI = DC + IC)			\$ 4,104,730	\$ 12,687,346
Direct Annual Costs (DAC)				
Operating Costs (O)	24 hrs/day, 7 days/wk, 50 wks/yr			
	Operator	0.5 hrs/shift \$25/hr	\$ 13,125	\$ 13,125
	Supervisor	15% of Operator	\$ 1,969	\$ 1,969
Maintenance Costs (M)				
	Labor	0.5 hrs/shift \$25/hr	\$ 13,125	\$ 13,125
	Material	100% of labor cost	\$ 13,125	\$ 13,125
Utility Costs				
	Perf Loss	0.50%		
	Electricity cost	0.10 (\$/kwh) performance penalty variable	\$ 607,781	\$ 1,878,596
	Water and Air	Carter Burgess	\$ 80,114	\$ 247,624
	Catalyst replacement	5 year life	\$ 70,000	\$ 216,364
	Catalyst disposal	1 time every 5 years	\$ 16,000	\$ 49,455
	Ammonia	Carter Burgess	\$ 91,341	\$ 282,328
	NH3 injection skid	Carter Burgess	\$ 34,000	\$ 105,091
Total DAC			\$ 940,580	\$ 2,779,458
Indirect Annual Costs (IAC)				
	Overhead	60% of O&M	\$ 24,806	\$ 24,806
	Administrative	0.02 x TCI	\$ 82,095	\$ 253,747
	Insurance	0.01 x TCI	\$ 41,047	\$ 126,873
	Property tax	0.01 x TCI	\$ 41,047	\$ 126,873
	Capital recovery 10% interest rate, 15 yr period	0.13 x TCI	\$ 533,615	\$ 1,649,355
Total IAC			\$ 722,610	\$ 2,181,655
Total Annual Cost (DAC + IAC)			\$ 1,663,190	\$ 4,961,113
Steady State NOx Emission Rate (tons/year)	25 ppm	88.2		9ppm
Start-up and Shutdown Emissions (tons/yr)	250 per year	10		100 per year
Total NOx Emissions		98.2		199.9
NOx Removed (TPY) at 2.5 ppm	90 percent removal at SS. Zero control during S/U	79.4	kWh	178.1
Cost Effectiveness	\$/ton	\$ 20,952	Annual hrs	7884 \$ 27,854
Utility Cost Impact	c/kWh	0.500	kWh /yr	188,000,000
				1,419,120,000
				0.20

**Carter Burgess Utility Cost Analysis UCSD Titan**

Exhaust Flow	395,180	
Power, Steam, dP losses	#####	
Water	\$ 15,000	
Air	\$ 14,811	
Urea Use	\$ 33,989	
Total	#####	

**Scale up to LM6000PC Sprint**

Exhaust Flow	1,062,000	
Power, Steam, dP losses	\$ 607,781	
Water	\$ 40,311	
Air	\$ 39,803	
Urea Use	\$ 91,341	
Total	\$ 779,236	

Figure 8.1E-2  
**EMx Cost Effectiveness Calculation**  
 2008 EC Power Analysis

50 MW Class				180 MW Class			
Turbine Model	GE LM6000	Flow		GE FA 120MW		Combine Cycle	Flow 3,400,000
Turbine Output	47.5 MW	1,100,000	<u>Source</u>	<u>Cost</u>			
Direct Capital Costs (DC)							
Purchased Equip. Cost (PE)							
	EMx Reactor Housing and components	A	EC Power quote	\$2,940,000	PB Power Anaheim Quote	\$6,500,000	
	Regeneration Gas System	B	EC Power quote	\$874,073	PB Power Anaheim Quote	\$0	
	EMx Non Precious Metal Catalyst	C	EC Power quote	\$1,942,028	PB Power Anaheim Quote	\$3,938,775	
	Precious Metals	D	EC Power quote	\$2,578,917	PB Power Anaheim Quote	\$6,883,193	
	Taxes and freight	0.08 (A+ B+C+D)	OAQPS	\$666,801		\$1,385,757	
	PE Total			\$9,001,819		\$18,707,725	
NPMPE		Non PM PE Total (A+B+C)		\$5,756,101	No Tax & Freight	\$10,438,775	
Direct Installation Costs (DI)							
	Foundation & supports	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 203,962		\$630,427	
	Handling and erection	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 356,933		\$1,103,247	
	Electrical	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 101,981		\$315,214	
	Piping	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 50,990		\$157,607	
	Insulation	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 25,495		\$78,803	
	Painting	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 25,495		\$78,803	
DI Total				\$ 764,856		\$2,364,102	
DC Total				\$6,520,957		\$12,802,877	
Indirect Costs (IC)							
	Engineering:	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$200,000		\$ 788,034	
	Construction and field expenses	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 127,476		\$ 394,017	
	Contractor fees	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 254,952		\$ 788,034	
	Start-up	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 50,990		\$ 157,607	
	Performance testing	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$ 25,495		\$ 78,803	
	Contingencies	0.05*NPMPE	OAQPS	\$ 76,486		\$ 521,939	
	IC Total			\$735,400		\$ 2,728,434	
Total Capital Investment (TCI = DC + IC)				\$7,256,357		\$15,531,310	
Direct Annual Costs (DAC)							
Operating Costs (O) 24/7/50							
	Operator 0.5 hrs/shift 25/hr	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$13,125		\$13,125	
	Supervisor 15% of Operator	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$1,969		\$1,969	
Maintenance Costs (M)							
	Labor 0.5 hrs/shift 25/hr	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$13,125		\$13,125	
	Material 100% of labor cost	Same Costs as SCR	OAQPS	\$13,125		\$13,125	
Utility Costs							
	Perf Loss 0.50%					\$2,224,760	
	Electricity cost 0.10 /kwh		EC Power	\$ 15,968		\$193,027	
	Water and Air		Carter Burgess	\$ 464		\$6,365	
	Catalyst replacement	15%PM+NPM every 10 years	EC Power	\$ 232,887		\$10,582	
	Catalyst disposal	PM recovery: 85 percent reclaim/10 years	EC Power	\$ (219,208)		\$ 709,106	
	Catalyst Cleaning	Once per year	EC Power	\$ 15,000		\$ (585,071)	
Total DAC				\$ 86,454		\$ 2,600,112	
Indirect Annual Costs (IAC)							
	Overhead 60% of O&M			\$24,806		\$ 24,806	
	Administrative Same as SCR			\$82,095		\$ 310,626	
	Insurance 0.01 x TCI			\$72,564		\$ 155,313	
	Property tax 0.01 x TCI			\$72,564		\$ 155,313	
	Capital recovery 10% interest rate, 15 yr period	0.13 x TCI		\$943,326		\$ 2,019,070	
Total IAC				\$1,195,354		\$ 2,665,129	
Total Annual Cost (DAC + IAC)				\$1,281,809		\$ 5,265,241	
Steady State NOx Emission Rate (tons/year)	25 ppm		88.2			197.9	
Start-up and Shutdown Emissions (tons/yr)	250 per year		10			2	
Total NOx Emissions			98.2	47,000	180,000	199.9	
NOx Removed (TPY) at 1.0 ppm	96 percent removal at SS. 50% during S/U and S/D		89.7	4,000	7,884	191.0	
Cost Effectiveness \$/ton		\$	14,294	188,000,000	1,419,120,000	\$ 27,569	
Electricity Cost Impact c/kWh			0.008			0.18	

EC Power Utility Cost Analysis UCSD (same H2 gas requirement of 3 Titan 130)

	<u>HGM3000</u>	<u>Carrier Steam</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	
Power, Steam, dP losses	\$	130,656 \$	669,987 \$	15,968
Water	\$	918 \$	2,215 \$	-
Air	\$	625 \$	- \$	464
Urea Use	\$	- \$	- \$	-
			\$	-
Total	\$	132,199 \$	672,202 \$	16,432

# EMx Utility Requirements

1 Units operating at 100% load

## H2 Reformer

Natural Gas Usage	scfh	2512
Power Usage	kw	0
RO/DI Water	gpm	0
Air	scfh	0

NG (HHV) 1026 BTU/cf NG  
 Operating hours 7884

Commodity Costs		
Power	\$/kWh	\$ 0.12
Fuel	\$/MMBTU	\$ 10.00
Fuel	\$/1000scf	9.75
Compressed Air	\$/1000 SCF	\$ 0.35
RO/DI Water	\$/1000gallons	\$ 3.00

## Annual Usage

Natural Gas Usage	scf	19,804,608
Power Usage	kw	-
RO/DI Water	gal	-
Air	scf	-

## Annual Costs

Natural Gas Usage	\$	193,027
Power Usage	\$	-
RO/DI Water	\$	-
Air	\$	-

**Total \$ 193,027**

## CARRIER STEAM

Superheated Steam@850F	lbs/hr	20925
Condensate Return	lbs/hr	18833
Makeup Required	lbs/hr	2093

Condensate Return 90% percent  
 Enthalpy superheated @ 850F 1457.59 BTU/lb  
 Enthalpy of water @ 180°F 147.99 BTU/lb  
 Enthalpy of water @ 60°F 28.08 BTU/lb

## Annual Usage

Superheated Steam@850F	lbs/yr	164,972,700
Condensate Return	lbs/yr	148,475,430
Makeup Required	lbs/yr	16,497,270

## Heat Input required to make carrier steam

Condensate Return	BTU/yr	194,443,423,128	194,443.42	MMBTU/yr
Makeup Required	BTU/yr	23,583,012,438	23,583.01	MMBTU/yr
Total Requirement	BTU/yr	218,026,435,566	218,026.44	MMBTU/yr

## Cost to make carrier steam

Natural Gas Costs	\$	2,224,760
Makeup Water Costs	\$	5,934

Thermal Efficiency of tubes 98% percent  
 HRSG per CMI

## Valve and Blower Costs

# of times operated	per yr	283,824
Time operated	min/yr	94,608
Air usage	scf	1,229,904
Costs per Year	\$/yr	\$ 430

Air valves operate for 20 seconds every 5 minutes  
 Rate when valves operating 13 scfm

Blower power requirement	bhp	15
Blowers	#	1
Total power rate	bhp-hr	15
Total power rate	kwh	11
Annual Power usage	kw	88,186
Annual costs	\$	\$ 10,582

Conversion 1 BHP 745.7 watts

## CLEANINGS

Catalyst Cleaning	\$	20,000
-------------------	----	--------

**Total Operating Costs \$ 2,454,734**