EIT VOC Control Email Diamond Gneration Mariposa Energy.txt From: Prasad Raju 6332 Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 2:05 PM To: Bo Buchynsky; 'Gary Normoyle'; 'Jerry. Salamy@CH2M. com' Cc: Gregg Harwood 6360; Whitney Mereness 6342; Harry Hall 6238 Subject: FW: Diamond Gneration, Mariposa Energy Based on this morning conference call, we have obtained the following information from Express (EIT) on VOC reduction from 3ppmvd to 1ppmvd. Express confirmation is based on backup calculations performed with BASF oxidation catalyst. Trust this answers your query. Regards Prasad Raju POWER Engineers Inc Boi se, I daho Tel No: 208 288 6332 From: Brian Crockett [mailto: bcrockett@expresstechtulsa.com] Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 1:51 PM To: Prasad Raju 6332 Cc: 'Phil Childers' Subject: Diamond Gneration, Mariposa Energy Based on a CO out of 1.5 ppmvd@15% 02; a VOC reduction from a inlet of 3 ppmvd@15% 02 to an outlet of 1 ppmvd@15% 02 is achievable with the following capital and operating cost impact: An increase in initial capital costs of \$50,000.00 per unit with no 1) change in replacement period. An increase in total system pressure loss of less than 0.2" WC. An increase in tempering air fan power consumption of 0.32 KW. 2) 3) Brian Crockett Product Manager Express Integrated Technologies LLC

Office 918-622-1420 Direct 918-728-7738 Cell 918-859-4445

## TABLE 3 BAAQMD Mariposa Energy Project

## POC Catalyst Control Costs Base Case 3 PPMVD to 1 PPMVD CAPITAL COST SUMMARY

## Average/Total Cost Effectiveness analysis DIRECT CAPITAL COSTS (2009 \$) Explanation of Cost Estimates per Turbine Base Cost 1. Purchased Equipment: A) Pollution Control Equipment \$50,000 EIT Email dated May 18, 2010. B) Instrumentation & Controls(No CEMS) \$0 EPA1998 10% of Base Cost (assumed \$0 for incremental assessment) C) Freight & Taxes \$0 8% Taxes; 5% Freight; on 1A & 1B Total Purchased Equip. Costs (TEC): \$50,000 Sum 1A,1B,1C 2. Installation Costs: A) Foundation & Supports \$0 EPA1998 8% of TEC B) Erection and Handling \$0 EPA1998 14% of TEC (assumed \$0 for incremental assessment) C) Electrical \$0 EPA1998 4% of TEC D) Piping \$0 EPA1998 2% of TEC E) Insulation \$0 1% of TEC F) Painting \$0 EPA1998 1% of TEC G) Site Preparation \$0 0% of TEC \$0 Sum 2A,2B,2C,2D,2E,2F,2G Total Installation Costs (TINC): Total Direct Capital Costs (TDCC): \$50,000 Sum TEC, TINC INDIRECT CAPITAL COSTS 1. Engineering & Supervision \$5,000 EPA1998 10% of TEC 2. Construction and Field Exp. \$2,500 OAQPS 5% of TEC 3. Contractor Fees \$5,000 OAQPS 10% of TEC 4. Start-up \$1,000 OAQPS 2% of TEC \$500 OAQPS 1% of TEC 5. Performance Testing Total Indirect Capital Costs (TICC): \$14,000 Sum 1,2,3,4,5 **Total Direct & Indirect Capital** \$64,000 Sum TDCC,TICC Costs (TDICC): Contingency (@12%): \$7,680 12% TDICC (std engineering accuracy) TOTAL CAPITAL COSTS (TCC): \$71,680 Sum TDICC,Contingency

## TABLE 3 ANNUAL OPERATING COST SUMMARY

DIRECT OPERATING COSTS (2003 \$)	Explanation of Cost Estimates
<ol> <li>Operating Labor</li> <li>Supervisory Labor</li> <li>Maintenance Labor &amp; Materials</li> </ol>	\$15,148 EPA1998 3 hr/day, @41.50 hr \$2,272 OAQPS 15% Operating Labor \$7,574 2 hr/day, \$41.50/hr, + 100% materials (estimated at \$0)
<ol> <li>Electricity Expense (\$0.0527/kWh)</li> <li>Catalyst Cost (replace)</li> <li>Fuel Penalty (\$0.0041/scf gas)</li> <li>Annual Catalyst Cost</li> <li>Total Direct Operating Costs (TDOC):</li> </ol>	\$0 NA \$2,243 0.15% fuel increse/inch wc, assumed 1.0" bp \$0 Initial Catalyst will last 15 year period \$27,236 Sum 1 through 7
INDIRECT OPERATING COSTS	
1. Overhead	\$9,089 OAQPS 60% Total Labor
Total Indirect Operating Costs (TIOC):	\$9,089 Sum 1
CAPITAL CHARGES COSTS	
<ol> <li>Property Tax</li> <li>Insurance</li> <li>General Administrative</li> <li>Capital Recovery Cost (7%, 15 years)</li> </ol>	\$717 OAQPS 1% TCC \$717 OAQPS 1% TCC \$1,434 OAQPS 2% TCC \$7,870 10.98%, TCC
Total Capital Charges Costs (TCCC):	\$10,738 Sum 1,2,3,4
TOTAL ANNUALIZED OPERATING COSTS:	\$47,062 Sum TDOC,TIOC,TCCC
Base Uncontrolled Case Annual Emission Rate	Per Turbine 3.0 ppm (GE Guarantee) 3.5 TPY (3.74 Lb POC/Hr * 3.0 ppm POC/6.4 ppm POC * 4000 hr/yr * 2000 lb/ton)
Controlled Case Emissions	
POC Concentration Annual Emission Rate: POC Reduction from Uncontrolled Case: Control Cost Effectiveness:	1.0 ppm (3-hour) 1.2 TPY (3.5 TPY * 1 ppm POC /3 ppm POC) 2.34 tpy <b>\$20,134</b> per ton

References:

OAQPS - OAQPS Cost Control Manual, 5th ED., February 1996.

EPA1998 - Cost Effectiveness fo Oxidation Catalyst Control of HAP Emissions from Stationary Combustion Turbines, \* EPA memo dated 12-30-99, Emissions Stds Division, Docket A-95-51, and May 14, 1999 memo on Stationary CT control cost options.