

Kathleen Truesdell

From: Gregory Darwin <darwin@atmosphericdynamics.com>
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2010 3:59 PM
To: Kathleen Truesdell
Subject: RE: POC

Kathleen, in the interest of time, I would just keep the cost as the same as the 0.8 case to be conservative.

The base emissions at 0.7 tpy would be 5.5 tpy. At 1.4 ppm the base emissions would be 11 tpy resulting in a 5.5 tpy reduction.

Gregory Darwin
Atmospheric Dynamics, Inc.
Torres Street 3 SW of Mountain View
P.O. Box 5907
Carmel-by-the-Sea, CA 93921-5907
darwin@atmosphericdynamics.com
831.620.0481 (p)
831.620.0482 (f)

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathleen Truesdell [mailto:ktruesdell@baaqmd.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2010 12:53 PM
To: Gregory Darwin
Subject: RE: POC

When you respond to my cost-effectiveness spreadsheets, can you modify the costs and emissions down to 0.7 ppm POC? Since Warren County has a permit limit at 0.7, it'd be better to show the cost-effectiveness to that level.

Thanks,
Kathleen

From: Gregory Darwin [mailto:darwin@atmosphericdynamics.com]
Sent: Fri 10/15/2010 12:48 PM
To: Kathleen Truesdell
Subject: RE: POC

Hey. For 0.7 ppm, there would be a slight bump up in costs. Our POC guarantee is for 1.8 and 1.7 ppm. Looking at the quote, it appears that there is a 20% increase in cost based on the delta between the 1.8 and 1.7 ppm.

Gregory Darwin
Atmospheric Dynamics, Inc.
Torres Street 3 SW of Mountain View
P.O. Box 5907

Carmel-by-the-Sea, CA 93921-5907

darwin@atmosphericdynamics.com

831.620.0481 (p)

831.620.0482 (f)

-----Original Message-----

From: Kathleen Truesdell [mailto:ktruesdell@baaqmd.gov]

Sent: Friday, October 15, 2010 11:08 AM

To: Gregory Darwin

Subject: POC

Greg,

Would the ox cat costs be valid for a POC limit of 0.7 ppm or is 0.8 specified in the vendor quotes?

Thanks,
Kathleen