

The District has prepared the following responses to the comments contained in this letter.

Each comment consists of 1) a suggestion for action or change, and 2) the argument, if any, supporting the suggestion.

The comments identified by the District have been numbered. Refer to the attached copy of the original comment letter for the comment numbers.

	<b><u>Response</u></b>
1.	No change has been made to the permit based on this comment. The District is only responding to comments that pertain to changes proposed in Revision 1. The statement incorporating comments by the other Bay Area refineries that pertain to this permit is too vague to understand. The District is responding separately to comments submitted by WSPA.
2.	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
3.	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
4.	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
5.	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
6.	No change has been made to the permit. Chevron deleted comment.
7.	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
8.	The change has been made to the permit based upon the argument made in the comment. The permit condition number has been added to the application number as the basis for the throughput limit.
9.	The change has been made to the permit based upon the argument made in the comment. The throughput reporting limit of grandfathered Tank S-1687 has been deleted. The source has been added to the list of sources subject to Condition #21237, which requires that the owner/operator notify the district in writing of any proposed changes in piping and/or pumping associated with S-1514 and/or S-3101.
10.	No change has been made to the permit. A condition similar to Condition #21237 has been added to the other refinery permits.
11.	The mistake has been corrected in the final permit.
12.	The change has been made to the permit as requested by the commenter.
13.	The change has been made to the permit as requested by the commenter.
14.	The change has been made to the permit as requested by the commenter.
15.	The change has been made to the permit as requested by the commenter.
16.	No change has been made to the permit. The sources are part of the Rule 9-10 NOx Control Plan, therefore, they are subject to either CEM or NOx Box.
17.	This comment will be responded to in a separate letter to WSPA.
18.	This comment will be responded to in a separate letter to WSPA.
19.	This comment will be responded to in a separate letter to WSPA.
20.	The change has been made to the permit as requested by the commenter.
21.	This comment will be responded to in a separate letter to WSPA.
22.	This comment will be responded to in a separate letter to WSPA.
23.	This comment will be responded to in a separate letter to WSPA.

Response to Chevron comments of 4/14/04

24.	This comment will be responded to in a separate letter to WSPA.
25.	This comment will be responded to in a separate letter to WSPA.
26.	Changes to the permit have been made as requested by the commenter, except for one. Conditions 16698, 16650, 17675, 18166, 18172, 18400, and 19586 have been modified for consistency with the permit conditions for other sources covered by Rule 9-10. No change has been made to Condition 16679 because Condition 21232 does not address SCR abated combustion devices.
27.	No change has been made to the permit. The actions taken to address Comment 26 effectively sunsets the conditions listed in this comment, except for Condition 16679, which should not be sunset.
28.	No change has been made to the permit. The permit condition is clearer and should be complied with and Table VII is too abbreviated to be enforceable.
29.	No change has been made to the permit. The permit condition is clear and will become clearer once populated with the specific NOx Boxes. The permit condition is the enforceable reference as opposed to Table VII.
30.	The change has been made to the permit, based on the argument made in the comment.
31.	No change has been made to the permit. The permit condition is clear and will become clearer once populated with the specific NOx Boxes. The permit condition is the enforceable reference as opposed to Table VII.
32.	No change has been made to the permit. The permit condition is clear and will become clearer once populated with the specific NOx Boxes. The permit condition is the enforceable reference as opposed to Table VII.
33.	The change has been made to the permit, based on the argument made in the comment.
34.	No change has been made to the permit. The District will incorporate the change showing that all sources within this table now have NOx CEMs in Revision 2.
35.	No change has been made to the permit. The District will incorporate the change showing that all sources within this table now have NOx CEMs in Revision 2.
36.	The change has been made to the permit, based on the argument made in the comment.
37.	<p>No change has been made to the permit to Permit Condition 18656, Parts 3 and 4. The proposed condition changes are not necessary to provide the flexibility requested.</p> <p>No change has been made to the permit to Permit Condition 18656, Parts 4.b.ii or 7, since the distance within condition #18656 is based on Method 22, Section 11.1, which specifies that the maximum distance allowed is 0.25 miles, and S-6015 will be included in Revision 2.</p>