

Bayview Hunters Point/Southeast San Francisco AB 617, Community Steering Committee Meeting #13 Summary

Date: Tuesday, February 18, 5:00 pm to 7:30 pm PDT

Location: Southeast Community Center, Visitacion Valley Room 1550 Evans Ave, San Francisco, CA 94124

Facilitator: Marsha Maloof

Note-taker: En2Action, summarized by AD

Co-Leads: Marie Harrison Community Foundation, Bayview Hunters Point Community Advocates, & Bay Area Air Quality Management District

Co-Chairs: Nina Omomo and Chalam Tubati

[Webpage with Meeting Materials and Slide Presentations](#)

1. Meeting Agenda

a. Welcome and Introductions

i. Summary

1. Co-Chair Nina Omomo welcomed everyone into the meeting and reviewed the agenda.
2. Nina also went over the group's purpose, which is to develop a CERP.
3. Lily Wu from OEHHA wants to hear the concerns of the Bayview community and stated that she is here as a resource.
4. Michelle Pierce announced the Block-by-Block Ground Truthing initiative, which is open to the entire community. However, they have a dedicated project specifically for CSC members and will be providing stipends. It will be happening on Saturday mornings at 8 AM.

ii. Decisions (if applicable)

1. N/A

iii. Action items/follow-up

1. N/A

b. Extension Letter

i. Summary

1. Co-Chair Chalam Tubati reviewed the final extension letter to be sent to CARB.
2. He discussed that through the process of drafting the extension letter he realized the importance of some of the work the CSC has done such as mapping, and its role in the CERP.
3. Chalam discussed how the extension letter was important to sign because it would allow the CSC to continue their activities towards writing a CERP by July 2026.
4. CSC member Jun Zhong asked what happens if the CERP is not completed by July 2026 and is there a possibility to extend their timeline again?
 - a. Kevin Olp from CARB answered that funding is guaranteed for a certain amount of time, and after that period it may not be available to continue the process.

5. CSC Member Tacora Hollins asked when the group will start pivoting from technical meetings and start testing soil?
 - a. Other CSC members also wanted an answer to that, and Lilly Wu from OEHHA shared that she can be a resource for this type of work or data surrounding it.
6. Co-lead Michelle Pierce shared how at this point in the process, we are starting to pivot from technical presentations to discussing community concern or “highlight” areas, strategies, and actions.
7. CSC member Uzuri Pease-Greene proposed a motion to approve the co-chairs and co-leads signing the extension letter on behalf of the CSC.
8. CSC member Jun Zhong seconded the motion.
- ii. Decisions (if applicable)
 1. CSC members approved the co-chairs and co-leads signing the extension letter on behalf of the CSC.
- iii. Action items/follow-up
 1. N/A
- c. Group Agreements
 - i. Summary
 1. Co-Chair Chalam reviewed the guiding principles in the charter and the group agreements being proposed. He discussed how these agreements, some, all, or none would be added to the charter if adopted and approved
 2. He discussed how some of the agreements seemed unnecessary or like they would stifle the process.
 3. CSC member Uzuri mentioned how the group agreements were not necessary because everyone is an adult and knows how to conduct themselves
 - a. Other members of the CSC agreed.
 - ii. Decisions (if applicable)
 1. CSC members decided to not approve these agreements and instead keep it open for continued discussion.
 - iii. Action items/follow-up
 1. N/A
- d. Q&A Review Breakout Activity
 - i. Summary
 1. Air District staff led breakout groups on the following community concern areas, gathered from the reflection activity in January’s meeting:
 - a. Land Use and Built Environment
 - b. Data and Public Health
 - c. Transportation and Mobile Sources
 - d. Commercial and Industrial Sources, Waste and Water Treatment
 2. In the breakout groups CSC members discussed the concern areas and asked questions related to the concern areas, regulations related to concerns, and the Air District’s role.
 3. Technical Advisory Group members assisted Air District staff in their breakout groups to help answer questions from different agency perspectives.
 - ii. Decisions (if applicable)
 1. N/A

- iii. Action Items:
 - 1. The Air District team will review the notes from the activity and to start finalizing community concern areas and potential strategies.
- e. CERP Elements and Timeline
 - i. Summary
 - 1. David Ralston from the Air District discussed the eight main chapters in the CERP and the work that fits into those chapters.
 - 2. He then led an activity where CSC members placed placards with types of work (such as mapping) next to the chapters it fit into.
 - 3. This activity allowed CSC members to see where their efforts are going, and also visualize how far they have come
 - 4. After the activity, David showed the CSC members a timeline of the next 13 months to draft a CERP and complete the chapters. He also mentioned that future presentations to receive more information will be scheduled in the timeline.
 - 5. David asked if there was consensus on the proposed timeline.
 - 6. He also asked CSC members if they would be interested in a sub-committee dedicated to strategies and actions
 - ii. Decisions
 - 1. CSC members agreed on the proposed timeline.
 - iii. Action Items:
 - 1. CSC members and Air District staff will consider setting up a new sub-committee once the SEDG committee sunsets.
- f. Wrap up, Action Items, and Next Steps
 - i. Announcements:
 - 1. CSC member Chalam announced that there would be a report out from SEDG on the past mapping activities.
 - 2. CSC member Nau announced a town hall meeting for, SALT, A Pacific Islander Association next Thursday at their office regarding the Pacific Islander Cultural District.