

AGENDA

East Oakland AB 617 Community Steering Committee (CSC) Meeting #7

Date & Time: Thursday, April 13th, 2023, 6:00 pm to 8:02 pm PDT

Virtual Facilitator: Aiyahna Johnson and Charles Reed, CSC Co-Chairs

Note-taker: Amy Chu, Just Cities (JC)

Co-Chairs: Aiyahna Johnson and Charles Reed

CBE: Communities for a Better Environment (CBE)

Air District: Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD)

Location: Virtual Zoom Meeting <https://us06web.zoom.us/j/85787941453>

Website with Meeting Materials and Meeting Video Recording:

<https://www.baaqmd.gov/community-health/community-health-protection-program/east-oakland-community-emissions-reduction-plan>

Time	Topic
6:00 pm	Welcome, Interpretation, Agenda (10 mins; 6:00-6:09 PM) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Interpretation and Welcome (7 mins)• Initial Meeting Agreement (1 mins)• Updates (2 mins)
6:10 pm	East Oakland Community Emissions Reduction Plan Timeline Extension (39 mins; 6:10-6:48PM) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Proposal to request additional time for the East Oakland CERP process (6 min)• Presentation and background from California Air Resources Board (CARB) (33 min)
6:49 pm	Short Break (3 mins; 6:49-6:51 PM)
6:52 pm	Vision and Principles (58 mins; 6:52-7:49 PM) <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Presentation (8 mins)• Activity with Breakout Sessions (50 mins)
7:50 pm	East Oakland EJ Announcements and Updates (12 mins; 7:50-8:01 PM)
8:02 pm	Next Steps and Close out

MEETING NOTES

East Oakland AB 617 Community Steering Committee Meeting

Thursday, April 13th, 2023, 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm PDT

Time	Topic	Transcribed Meeting Minutes/Summary
6:00 PM	Welcome, Interpretation, Agenda (10 mins; 6:00-6:09 PM) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interpretation and Welcome (7 mins) • Initial Meeting Agreement (1 mins) • Updates (2 mins) 	<p>VIDEO TIME STAMP: 00:00:00 - 00:07:13</p> <p>Steering Committee Members Present: 21</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aiyahna Johnson • Andrea Pineda • Andria Blackmon • Carol Corr • Charles Reed • Colleen Liang • Cynthia Gutierrez • Danny Scott • Erika Pascual • Gabrielle Sloane-Law • Jamie Schecter • Jasmine Gonzalez • Khalilha Haynes • Marina Muñoz • Merika Reagan • Mykela Patton • Njeri McGee-Tyner • Shamar Theus • Susan Goolsby • William Crotinger • Kimi Watkins-Tartt / Tram Nguyen <p>CSC members introduced themselves in the zoom chat with their name, pronouns, and organization.</p> <p>Keta Price and Lujain Al-Saleh, CBE Co-leads, covered CSC updates, including the importance of building consensus in the committee, CBE conducting voluntary check-ins between CSC meetings, and charter revisions and next steps.</p>
6:10 pm	East Oakland Community Emissions Reduction Plan Timeline Extension (39 mins; 6:10-6:48PM) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal to request additional time for 	<p>VIDEO TIME STAMP: 00:07:14 - 00:45:35</p> <p>Lujain Al-Saleh discussed the timeline of the CERP process and CBE's involvement before the East Oakland CSC was formed.</p>

	<p>the East Oakland CERP process (6 min)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Presentation and background from California Air Resources Board (CARB) (33 min) 	<p>Alesia Hsiao, from the Air District, reviewed the East Oakland CERP process timeline and the proposal to request additional time for the CERP process.</p> <p>Julia Luongo from the California Air Resources Board (CARB), presented the CERP timeline and the role of CARB in the CERP process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Julia covered the topic of a CERP timeline extension and the next necessary steps to request an extension past the 1-year mark. AB 1749 law states that CERP development can extend to 2 years when the Air District, community partner and CSC come to an agreement on the timeline extension and submit a formal letter to CARB. ● A CSC member asked how many communities are in the CERP process and how many communities surpass a 2-year timeline. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Julia Luongo responded that most communities do not surpass a 2-year timeline because the initial CERP process required 1-year timelines; however, the processes are District-led. It is more common now for communities to surpass one or two years as long as there is an agreement between the Air District, CSC, and CARB. Julia stated that 19 total communities were selected in the CERP process and more than 10 CERPs have been completed, with less than half CERPs going beyond the statutory requirement. ● A CSC member asked what is the purpose of the CERP extension letter and if it was primarily for CARB documentation purposes. The CSC member asked if there is further flexibility in the extended deadline after the extension request letter is approved, potentially beyond summer 2024. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Julia Luongo stated that the major purposes for the extension letter request are to document agreement between involved parties and for transparency. CARB has been flexible in the past when communities want to extend their timelines in the process of creating a more impactful CERP.
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● A CSC member asked what are the consequences of going over a 2-year CERP timeline. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Julia Luongo responded that the risk is low. Legal consequences would only occur with a lawsuit, which has not happened with any CERPs to date. ● A CSC member asked who is responsible for sending the timeline extension request and its deadline. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Julia stated the extension request letter should be sent by the Air District with community support. The letter should be sent after year 1; there is flexibility with the deadline, though sooner is best. Sufficient evidence for community support would include a CSC meeting recording where CSC members approve the timeline extension. ● A CSC member asked about the CERP process growing organically and if there is anything in the written CARB timeline on community engagement and power-building. The CSC member asked what were the success rates of CERPs to date and what demonstrates success. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Julia responded that the actual law includes that the Air Districts should consult with the community and agencies, but there is little detail on community engagement details – so it is in the hands of the CSC and District. ○ Julia stated that there is an annual reporting requirement in which every CERP is required to give targets for their strategy (e.g., By Year 5, we will reach X emission reductions). Year 5 is a milestone year in which the CERP has to initiate everything and there should be a report of actual reductions by Year 5. <p>Julia Luongo walked through the CARB organizational structure, including the Community Air Protection Team on the Statewide, Regional, and Community levels.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Julia presented on the Office of Community Air Protection (OCAP) staff and indicated that she is a major point of contact as the Liaison to Bay Area communities. ● A CSC member asked where the City of Oakland fits into the Community Air Protection Team.
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Julia Luongo stated that the law includes ‘consult with local’ in the language but does not mandate local agency involvement. ○ For City Council and City Staff <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Charles Reed, CSC co-chair, stated that the co-chairs sent out invites to East Oakland City Council members and expressed the importance of City staff presence. ■ A CSC member stated they will be meeting with District 6 Councilmember Jenkins soon and will invite him to CSC meetings. ■ Haley Hester from Councilmember Treva Reid’s Office in District 7 stated her attendance and expressed support for the CSC process and further City involvement. She stated that she is willing to connect to City Directors and agencies outside of Oakland ■ Khalilha Haynes, CSC member, stated that she is from the City of Oakland Planning & Building Department representing the City. ● A CSC member asked how CARB and BAAQMD can work collaboratively with stakeholders like the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), BART, the City of Oakland, and other agencies. The member asked what pressures can be put on the agencies to ensure collaborative solutions if their involvement is not mandated. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ A CSC member stated that AC Transit, BART, MTC, and Parks and Recreation Department should be at CSC meetings as well. ○ Anna Lee, from the Air District, stated that the District also wants to work collaboratively with government agencies which will be important in CERP strategy implementation. ○ A CSC member agreed that transportation agencies and the City of Oakland should be involved in the process as well as agencies involved in trash and waste collection.
--	--	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Julia Luongo stated that CARB will assist in recruiting partner agencies as needed. CARB can particularly support connections with statewide agencies such as the Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC). ● CSC members asked if the Port of Oakland is involved in the CERP process. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Lujain Al-Saleh affirmed that there is a Port of Oakland non-voting member on the CSC. ○ Colleen Liang, Port of Oakland representative on the CSC, stated her attendance as a non-voting CSC member. ○ A CSC member stated their support for Port of Oakland involvement due to Port-related water pollution.
6:49 pm	Short Break (3 mins; 6:49-6:51 PM)	VIDEO TIME STAMP: 00:45:36 - 00:50:12
6:52 pm	Vision and Principles (58 mins; 6:52-7:49 PM) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Presentation (8 mins) ● Activity with Breakout Sessions (50 mins) 	VIDEO TIME STAMP: 00:50:13 - 01:45:40 Alesia Hsiao, from the Air District, and Lujain Al-Saleh, from CBE, present on the CERP vision and principles. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Alesia provided background and definitions of visions and principles and covered the case study of the Richmond San Pablo Path to Clean Air Vision and Principles. ● A CSC member stated that they were hearing about reductions but not mitigation. The member asked if the Air District agreed that mitigation is as important as reductions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Alesia Hsiao, from the Air District, stated that the umbrella of strategy development for emission reductions includes mitigation efforts and will be considered in the CERP strategic process. ○ A CSC member mentioned an example with North Richmond and Chevron in which they focused more on reduction due to existing mitigation efforts. <p>Lujain Al-Saleh introduced the vision and principles activity and breakout rooms.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 4 breakout rooms, CSC members and members of the public share their perspectives with each other and the Co-leads compile ideas via Jamboard. After a period of discussion and synthesis, everyone returns to the main room to share takeaways from each breakout room.
7:50 pm	East Oakland EJ Announcements and Updates (12 mins; 7:50-8:01 PM)	<p>VIDEO TIME STAMP: 01:45:41 - 01:57:56</p> <p>Aiyahna Johnson and Charles Reed, CSC Co-Chairs, presented on <i>East Oakland Environmental Justice (EJ) Announcements and Updates</i></p> <p>Following the CSC meetings, all EJ announcements will be included in the EJ updates and announcements document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> English: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Sc6RGIFWuNkZpK3oqgVCB8-MyTSchBtY7KFnClnjaAo/edit?usp=sharing Spanish: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1c8FDBovySq0kdSTazi1wefEisUwZjZnXsxoTIZ8PgHc/edit?usp=sharing
8:02 pm	Next Steps and Close Out	<p>VIDEO TIME STAMP: 01:57:57 - 01:59:18</p> <p>Next CSC meeting will be Thursday, May 11, 2023 from 6-8pm.</p>