THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED UNDER PROCEDURES AUTHORIZED BY ASSEMBLY BILL 361 (RIVAS 2021) ALLOWING REMOTE MEETINGS. THIS MEETING WILL BE ACCESSIBLE VIA WEBCAST, TELECONFERENCE, AND ZOOM. A ZOOM PANELIST LINK WILL BE SENT SEPARATELY TO COMMITTEE OR BOARD MEMBERS

• THE PUBLIC MAY OBSERVE THIS MEETING THROUGH THE WEBCAST BY CLICKING THE LINK AVAILABLE ON THE AIR DISTRICT’S AGENDA WEBPAGE AT

www.baaqmd.gov/about-the-air-district/community-advisory-council/agendasreports

• THE PUBLIC MAY PARTICIPATE REMOTELY VIA ZOOM AT THE FOLLOWING LINK OR BY PHONE

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83947947823

(669) 900 6833

WEBINAR ID: 839 4794 7823

• THOSE PARTICIPATING BY PHONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT CAN USE THE “RAISE HAND” FEATURE BY DIALING “#9”. IN ORDER TO RECEIVE THE FULL ZOOM EXPERIENCE, PLEASE MAKE SURE YOUR APPLICATION IS UP TO DATE
COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
AGENDA

THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 2022
6:00 PM

1. Call to Order - Roll Call

2. Public Meeting Procedure

The Council Co-Chairs shall call the meeting to order and the Clerk of the Boards shall take roll of the Council members.

Public Comment on Agenda Items: The public may comment on each item on the agenda as the item is taken up. Members of the public who wish to speak on matters on the agenda for the meeting, will have three minutes each to address the Council. Members of the public who wish to speak on matters on the agenda for the meeting, and who are speaking through an interpreter, will have six minutes each to address the Council. No speaker who has already spoken on that item will be entitled to speak to that item again.

CONSENT CALENDAR (Item 3)

3. Approval of the Minutes of June 30, 2022

The Council will consider approving the draft minutes of the Community Advisory Council meeting of June 30, 2022.

REGULAR AGENDA (Items 4 - 10)

4. Selection of an Environmental Justice Policy Ad Hoc Committee

This is an action item for the Council to consider selecting members for an ad-hoc committee to develop the Environmental Justice policy for consideration by the Community, Equity, Health, and Justice Committee of the Board. This item will be presented by Vernice Miller-Travis of Metropolitan Group and Suma Peesapati, Environmental Justice and Community Engagement Officer.
5. Revised Community Advisory Council Meeting Land Acknowledgement or Alternative Statement in Lieu of Pledge of Allegiance

This is an action item for the Council to consider approving a revised Land Acknowledgement or an alternative Mission and Equity Statement in lieu of the Pledge of Allegiance. This item will be presented by Council member Kevin G. Ruano Hernandez and Council member Ken Szutu.

6. Air District’s Services to Address Community-Identified Air Quality Concerns – Overview of the Air Quality Complaint Program and Investigation Process

Air District staff will present on the agency’s process when community submits complaints concerning odor, soil, or air quality issues. This is an informational item only and will be presented by Damian Breen, Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Operations.

7. Update on Community Air Quality Concerns at the Alice Griffith Housing Development in Bayview Hunters Point, San Francisco

This is an informational item only and will be presented by Damian Breen, Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Operations.

8. Approval of Panelists to Interview the Air District’s Air Pollution Control Officer Candidates

This is an action item for the Council to consider approving the list of Council members selected to participate in the Executive Officer / Air Pollution Control Officer interview panel.

9. Work Plan Ad Hoc Update

The Council and the public will receive an update from the Work Plan Ad Hoc Committee.

10. Governance Ad Hoc Update

The Council and the public will receive updates from the Governance Ad Hoc Committee.
OTHER BUSINESS

11. Report of the Executive Officer/APCO

12. Public Comment on Non-Agenda Matters

*Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.3*

*Members of the public who wish to speak on matters not on the agenda for the meeting, will have three minutes each to address the Council.*

13. Time and Place of Next Meeting

*At the Call of the Co-Chairs.*

14. Council Member Comments / Other Business

*Any member of the Council, on his or her own initiative or in response to questions posed by the public, may: ask a question for clarification, make a brief announcement or report on his or her own activities, provide a reference to staff regarding factual information, request staff to report back at a subsequent meeting concerning any matter or take action to direct staff to place a matter of business on a future agenda.*

15. Adjournment

*The Council meeting shall be adjourned by the facilitator.*
CONTACT:
MANAGER, EXECUTIVE OPERATIONS  
375 BEALE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105  
vjohnson@baaqmd.gov
(415) 749-4941
FAX: (415) 928-8560
BAAQMD homepage:  
www.baaqmd.gov

- Any writing relating to an open session item on this Agenda that is distributed to all, or a majority of all, members of the body to which this Agenda relates shall be made available at the Air District’s offices at 375 Beale Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94105, at the time such writing is made available to all, or a majority of all, members of that body.

Accessibility and Non-Discrimination Policy

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District) does not discriminate on the basis of race, national origin, ethnic group identification, ancestry, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, color, genetic information, medical condition, or mental or physical disability, or any other attribute or belief protected by law.

It is the Air District’s policy to provide fair and equal access to the benefits of a program or activity administered by Air District. The Air District will not tolerate discrimination against any person(s) seeking to participate in, or receive the benefits of, any program or activity offered or conducted by the Air District. Members of the public who believe they or others were unlawfully denied full and equal access to an Air District program or activity may file a discrimination complaint under this policy. This non-discrimination policy also applies to other people or entities affiliated with Air District, including contractors or grantees that the Air District utilizes to provide benefits and services to members of the public.

Auxiliary aids and services including, for example, qualified interpreters and/or listening devices, to individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, and to other individuals as necessary to ensure effective communication or an equal opportunity to participate fully in the benefits, activities, programs and services will be provided by the Air District in a timely manner and in such a way as to protect the privacy and independence of the individual. Please contact the Non-Discrimination Coordinator identified below at least three days in advance of a meeting so that arrangements can be made accordingly.

If you believe discrimination has occurred with respect to an Air District program or activity, you may contact the Non-Discrimination Coordinator identified below or visit our website at www.baaqmd.gov/accessibility to learn how and where to file a complaint of discrimination.

Questions regarding this Policy should be directed to the Air District’s Non-Discrimination Coordinator, Suma Peesapati, at (415) 749-4967 or by email at speesapati@baaqmd.gov.
## EXECUTIVE OFFICE:
### MONTHLY CALENDAR OF AIR DISTRICT MEETINGS

### SEPTEMBER 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF MEETING</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>ROOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Community Equity, Health and Justice Committee - CANCELLED</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AND RESCHEDULED TO THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2022, AT 9:30 A.M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Meeting</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Advisory Council Mtg.</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advisory Council Meeting</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Legislative Committee - CANCELLED</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Community Equity, Health and Justice Committee</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Stationary Source and Climate Impacts Committee</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path to Clean Air Community Emissions Reduction Plan Steering Committee</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Meeting</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Administration Committee</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Mobile Source and Climate Impacts Committee - CANCELLED</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Budget and Finance Committee - CANCELLED</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPE OF MEETING</td>
<td>DAY</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>TIME</td>
<td>ROOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Legislative Committee</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Meeting</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Community Equity, Health and Justice Committee</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Legislative Committee—CANCELLED AND RESCHEDULED TO MONDAY,</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER 3, 2022 AT 1:00 P.M.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Implementation Office (TIO) Steering Committee</td>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Stationary Source and Climate Impacts Committee</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path to Clean Air Community Emissions Reduction Plan Steering Committee</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Meeting</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Administration Committee</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Budget and Finance Committee</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Directors Mobile Source and Climate Impacts Committee</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Webcast only pursuant to Assembly Bill 361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HL 8/30/22 – 11:40 a.m.  
G/Board/Executive Office/Moncal
AGENDA: 3.

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Community Advisory Council

From: Sharon L. Landers
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Date: September 8, 2022

Re: Approval of the Minutes of June 30, 2022

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the draft minutes of the Community Advisory Committee Meeting of June 30, 2022.

BACKGROUND

None.

DISCUSSION

Attached for your review and approval are the draft minutes of the Community Advisory Committee Meeting of June 30, 2022.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

Respectfully submitted,

Sharon L. Landers
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Prepared by: Brian Butler
Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Draft Minutes of the Community Advisory Committee Meeting of June 30, 2022
This meeting was conducted pursuant to procedures in accordance with Assembly Bill 361. Members of the Council participated by teleconference.

1. CALL TO ORDER - ROLL CALL

Randolph Belle of Randolph Belle, Artist (RBA) Creative, started the meeting at 6:01pm as the meeting facilitator. Mr. Belle introduced one of the Community Advisory Council’s (Council) three Co-Chairpersons, Latasha Washington. Co-Chair Latasha Washington called the meeting to order and set ground rules for the Council’s meeting regarding preparation, communication, distractions, timing, and facilitation.


Absent: None.

2. PUBLIC MEETING PROCEDURE

The Public Meeting Procedure video was played.

3. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF MARCH 30, 2022

The Council members requested no edits to the Meeting Minutes of March 30, 2022.

Public Comments

No requests received.

Council Comments

No requests received.
Council Action

Dr. Ritterman made a motion, seconded by Co-Chair Jefferson to approve the Meeting Minutes of March 30, 2022, and the motion carried by the following vote of the Council:

AYES: Dr. Juan Aguilera, Fernando Campos, William Goodwin, Arieann Harrison, Kevin John Jefferson, Cecilia Mejia, Hana Mendoza, Rio Molina, Mayra Pelagio, Charles Reed, Dr. Jeff Ritterman, Kevin G. Ruano Hernandez, Violet Saena, Ken Szutu, Latasha Washington.

NOES: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: Ms. Margaret Gordon, Joy Massey.

4. COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IN LIEU OF PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

NOTED PRESENT: Council member Massey was noted present at 6:15 p.m., and Council member Szutu was noted present at 6:19 p.m.

Mr. Belle introduced Council member Ruano Hernandez, who gave the presentation Community Advisory Council Meeting Land Acknowledgement In Lieu of Pledge of Allegiance, including Requested Action, What is a Land Acknowledgment?, Why is it important?, Option #1 Land Acknowledgement, Option #2 Land Acknowledgment, and Land Acknowledgment Resources.

Council Comments

Council member Molina asked that the land acknowledgment include all tribes within the Bay Area, and not only the Ohlone.

Co-Chair Jefferson agreed to investigate the different tribes that were here before us and acknowledge them as well.

Council member Goodwin suggested providing information for donations for tribes or organizations that support tribal movements.

Council member Szutu asked why only Native Americans are being acknowledged and not other groups of people.

Co-Chair Jefferson suggested showing a map of the indigenous land.

Council member Szutu agreed with modifying the Pledge of Allegiance, but raised a question because of the phrase, “in lieu of.”
Miriam Torres, Air District Senior Advanced Projects Advisor, explained that the Land Acknowledgement does not only recognize the Ohlone people but other communities, as well.

Council member Szutu stated that Asian people were enslaved as well, specifically as slave labor.

**Public Comment**

No requests received.

**Council Action**

No vote taken at this time, as Co-Chair Jefferson and Council Members Molina and Ruano Hernandez will work on suggested revisions to the proposed language and bring it back to the Council for consideration of adoption.

7. **OVERVIEW OF WILDFIRE MITIGATION AND HOME AIR FILTRATION PROGRAM**

Mr. Belle introduced Joshua Abraham, Senior Staff Specialist, to present the *Overview of Wildfire Mitigation and Home Air Filtration Program*, including Requested Action, Wildfire Air Quality Response Program, Clean Air Filtration (CAF) Program Overview, Program Scope, Impact Prioritized Communities in the Bay Area, Existing Programs, Consultation with EJ Leaders, Proposal, Local Health Centers & Community Partners, Community Based Organization Partnership Additional Parameters, Request for Allocation from Wildfire Mitigation Fund, and Next Steps.

**Public Comment**

Lonnie Mason, First Generation Environmental Health, and Economic Development, noted that First Generation was responsible for bringing this issue of filters to the public prior to the media becoming involved, and inquired as to why First Generation was not acknowledged or communicated with during the project planning. Mr. Mason shared his frustrations regarding a requested meeting with the Air District for over a year to discuss air filters within Bayview Hunter’s Point.

Dr. Raymond Tompkins asked whether the filtration units will be able to remove dust particles, and whether they will remove Particulate Matter$_{2.5}$ or Particulate Matter$_{10}$.

Damian Breen, Air District Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Operations, stated that the filtration units will be “high efficiency particulate air” (HEPA) filters that remove Particulate Matter$_{2.5}$.

Dr. Tompkins asked whether the filtration units will be able to be used at high volume and operate for 24 hours consistently. He noted that filters are not effective if they are not changed regularly, for high-risk homes. Staff noted that the Air District will investigate this and supply additional filters to homes who require 24-hour usage.
In response to Mr. Mason’s previous comments, LaDonna Williams, All Positives Possible, clarified that she did not obtain air filters through the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Ms. Williams stated that the filters were supplied to All Positives Possible from the Air District, to be distributed to Solano County residents.

Council Comments

Council member Harrison also raised a suggestion in the Zoom chat feature to recognize Asians in the Land Acknowledgement and asked about skipping Item #6 in the agenda. Mr. Belle responded in the Zoom chat feature that Item 6 was delayed to allow Air District Board Chairperson, John Bauters, to arrive.

Co-Chair Gordon raised a question about the lack of metrics within Item #7, such as measuring less hospital visits for those who received air filters and requested concrete data about positive impacts.

Council member Pelagio shared her experience as part of groups interviewed, noting that she understands why the program is limited to non-profit organizations, and suggested that the program be expanded to the unhoused. Council member Pelagio asked if the Air District will identify communities in need that are not represented by non-profit organizations. Council member Pelagio thanked staff for a quick turnaround before the wildfire season begins.

Council member Molina asked what oversight powers the Council would have to shape the program criteria.

Council member Harrison shared excitement for the filters, which are in high demand in District 10 of the City of San Francisco. Council member Harrison echoed others’ concerns about how to involve small, neighborhood-level groups, she plans to sub-contract and educate them on how to become non-profit organizations.

Council member Goodwin asked whether program awardees must be medically diagnosed with severe asthma.

Council member Mejia asked whether the Air District sent surveys to those who have already received air filters, noting that it can be difficult to obtain physician referrals for home visits.

Council member Reed affirmed Ms. Williams’ comment about keeping community programs at grassroots, community level and not taking away from that expertise.

Co-Chair Gordon asked if this program includes the City of Oakland, and whether schools are scheduled to be closed during the installation of the filtration units.

Council member Saena asked whether the cost of filter replacements is also included in the budget, as they are expensive. Staff stated that there is room in the budget for filter replacements.
Council member Mejia asked whether applicants for the James Cary Smith Community Grant Program will need to show proof of Assembly Bill 617 designation or proof of being low-income. Staff stated that the Air District will assist those who qualify as low-income with the application process.

Council member Szutu wanted to be sure that the Regional Asthma Mitigation and Prevention Program (RAMP) is available to all people in all Bay Area Counties, specifically Vallejo. Staff stated that RAMP does not presently cover every part of the Bay Area.

Co-Chair Gordon asked whether the filters are only for patients who have asthma and respiratory problems, or whether those with low-income zip codes who are in “hot spots” can also qualify. Staff stated that the Air District aims to target those with respiratory issues and those who are at risk by living/working in “hot spots.”

Co-Chair Gordon stated that this language should be clearly included in the presentation and reiterated the importance of providing data to the public.

Council member Molina asked how health centers can determine whether patients qualify for this program. Co-Chair Jefferson suggested bringing all of the various community partners together and discussing ways to expand and synergize this program.

Council member Goodwin asked whether only Medi-Cal recipients can qualify for the program. Council member Goodwin also recommended that the Air District partners with schools to ensure greater outreach. Staff stated that the program is not limited to Medi-Cal recipients only.

**Council Action**

Co-Chair Washington made a motion, seconded by Council Member Massey, to approve and recommend the proposed program strategy for the $1 million Wildfire Mitigation Designation to the Board of Directors, and the motion carried by the following vote of the Council:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOES:</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSTAIN:</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSENT:</td>
<td>None.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. **SELECTION OF AD-HOC COMMITTEE TO DEVELOP THE COMMUNITY ADVISORY COUNCIL (CAC) WORKPLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2023**

Mr. Belle gave the presentation *Selection of Ad-Hoc Committee to Develop The Community Advisory Council (CAC) Workplan For Fiscal Year (FY) 2023*, including Requested Action, Work Plan Ad-hoc Committee, and Ad-Hoc Committee Selection.

Mr. Belle asked for volunteers for this new ad-hoc committee, and those who volunteered were Council members: Campos, Goodwin, Harrison, Molina, Pelagio, and Szutu.

**Public Comments**

No requests received.

**Council Comments**

None.

**Council Action**

Co-Chair Washington made a motion, seconded by Council member Ruano-Hernandez, to create an Ad-Hoc Committee to Develop the Community Advisory Council (CAC) Workplan for Fiscal Year (FY) 2023, and the motion carried by the following vote of the Council:

- **AYES:** Dr. Juan Aguilera, William Goodwin, Ms. Margaret Gordon, Arieann Harrison, Kevin John Jefferson, Cecilia Mejia, Hana Mendoza, Rio Molina, Mayra Pelagio, Dr. Jeff Ritterman, Kevin G. Ruano Hernandez, Violet Saena, and Latasha Washington.
- **NOES:** None.
- **ABSTAIN:** None.
- **ABSENT:** Joy Massey, Charles Reed.

5. **UPDATE ON THE SELECTION OF THE AIR DISTRICT’S AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER (APCO)**

Mr. Belle introduced the Mayor of Emeryville, John Bauters, Chairperson of the Air District’s Board of Directors, who gave the presentation *Update on the Selection of the Air District's Air Pollution Control Officer*, including Presentation Outcome and Air Pollution Control Officer (APCO) Hiring Process. Belmont City Council member and Board Vice Chairperson, Davina Hurt, assisted Chair Bauters with this presentation.
Chair Bauters highlighted the three primary phases of the proposed process. During the first phase, the Council will discuss and vote upon its desired qualifications of the APCO, submitting this information to the Board of Directors in writing no later than August 15, 2022. This item is to be presented to the Air District’s Board of Directors by September 2022.

Council Comments:

Council member Ruano Hernandez asked to know more about Chair Bauters and Vice Chair Hurt. Council member Molina asked to see the prior job description for the APCO position.

Chair Bauters said that he would find examples for similar job descriptions, but that the prior description for this role was created over 20 years ago and is not updated to reflect current laws.

Council member Mendoza stated that seeing job descriptions from other agencies would be helpful.

Council member Jefferson asked whether the class code for APCO (1B101) has been revised since 2008.

District Counsel, Alexander Crockett, will look into this question.

Public Comment:

Ms. Williams asked for clarification regarding the position and wants to ensure that the Air District is looking into the person’s background to see their ability to respect the public’s opinion and work with the public to bring benefits to the communities.

Council Comments Continued:

Council member Mendoza asked whether the CAC may be included in the review of the interview questions and job announcement before it is finalized.

Chair Bauters stated that there will be discussions with a consultant to develop interview questions.

Council Action

None; Receive and file.
6. **DISCUSS AND DEVELOP A LIST OF DESIRED QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE AIR DISTRICT’S EXECUTIVE OFFICER / AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER**

Mr. Belle introduced Co-chair Washington, who gave the presentation *Discuss and Develop a List of Desired Qualifications for the Air District’s Executive Officer Air Pollution Control Officer*, including Requested Action and Process. Co-chair Washington shared that this is an action item for the Council to develop a list of qualifications for the Air District Board of Directors to consider including in the job description for the Executive Officer / APCO.

Co-chair Washington shared that the list the Council develops will be voted on by the Council, and then presented to the Board of Directors in the form of a letter for the Board’s consideration. Co-chair Washington reminded Council members to please avoid qualifications that could discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy, sexual orientation, or gender identity), national origin, age, or disability.

Council members utilized the virtual platform “Jamboard” to develop their collective desired qualifications of the APCO. Some of the Jamboard-noted qualifications, included integrity, lack of arrogance, experience working with non-profit agencies, knows the difference between equity and equality, high level communication skills, experience working with a CAC, visionary, anti-racist, familiar with Bay Area environmental justice, ability to lead transformative regulatory efforts, knowledge of air quality regulatory and incentive programs, previous experience working with underserved communities and ethnic minorities, lived experience and prioritizing social and racial justice, dynamic and articulate, results-oriented leader, acknowledge racism, understands urgency to prioritize adaptation measures to climate change, track record of hiring, retaining and promoting staff of color, anticipate issues and policy questions, approachable and receptive to new ideas, high degree of inventiveness, imagination and innovation, uses analytical tools, familiar with diversity, inclusion and equity planning & implementation, acknowledge that white privilege exists, etc.

Staff summarized the Jamboard comments into a list to be voted on by the council.

**Public Comments**

Ms. Williams asked who has decision making power should staff and CAC recommendations not align.

**Council Questions**

None.

**Council Comments**

Interim APCO, Sharon L. Landers, shared suggestions to the Council, stating that “people of color” might be a phrase that triggers legal consequences.
Council member Mendoza shared her concern about “people of color” as a trigger word and asked clarification from the APCO.

Mr. Crockett noted that “people of color” is not suggesting that any race or ethnicity should be promoted over others.

Council member Mendoza made a motion, seconded by Co-Chair Gordon, to approve the Council’s collaborative list of desired qualifications for the Executive Officer / APCO, to be included in a letter signed by the Community Advisory Council Co-Chairs and submitted to the Air District Board of Directors, and the motion carried by the following vote of the Council:


NOES: None.

ABSTAIN: None.

ABSENT: Joy Massey, Charles Reed.

9. UPDATE ON COMMUNITY AIR QUALITY CONCERNS AT THE ALICE GRIFFITH HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN BAYVIEW HUNTERS POINT, SAN FRANCISCO

This item is to be continued until the next meeting.

10. AIR DISTRICT’S SERVICES TO ADDRESS COMMUNITY-IDENTIFIED AIR QUALITY CONCERNS – OVERVIEW OF THE AIR QUALITY COMPLAINT PROGRAM AND INVESTIGATION PROCESS

This item is to be continued until the next meeting.

11. REPORT OF THE INTERIM EXECUTIVE OFFICER/APCO

This item is to be continued until the next meeting.

12. PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Public Comments:

None.
13. **COUNCIL MEMBER COMMENTS / OTHER BUSINESS**

None.

14. **TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING**

At the end of the meeting, the time and place of the next meeting was at the call of the Chairpersons. After the meeting adjourned, the next meeting was scheduled for Thursday, September 8, 2022, at 6:00 p.m., via webcast, teleconference, or Zoom, pursuant to procedures in accordance with Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas 2021).

15. **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 10:37 p.m.

Briana Turk, InterEthnica
Reviewed by: Marcy Hiratzka, Clerk of the Boards
BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Memorandum

To: Members of the Community Advisory Council

From: Sharon L. Landers
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Date: September 8, 2022

Re: Selection of an Environmental Justice Policy Ad Hoc Committee

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Select Council members for an ad-hoc committee to develop an Environmental Justice (EJ) Policy for consideration by the Community, Equity, Health, and Justice Committee of the Board.

BACKGROUND

This is an action item for the Council to create the Environmental Justice Policy Ad Hoc Committee and select members to discuss and develop an Environmental Justice policy. The Environmental Justice Policy Ad Hoc Committee is anticipated to convene from October 2022 to October 2023.

DISCUSSION

The Council will have the opportunity to vote to establish an ad hoc committee to develop an Environmental Justice policy for consideration by the Community, Equity, Health, and Justice Committee of the Board. The Council will develop an Environmental Justice policy the Air District can implement to promote equity and Environmental Justice in all of the agency’s work. In compliance with the Brown Act, the Council will be able to select up to 8 Council members to serve on a workplan ad hoc committee.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None. Stipends for the work of the Community Advisory Council members selected to participate in the ad-hoc committee are included in the fiscal year ending 2022 and fiscal year ending 2023 budgets.
Respectfully submitted,

Sharon L. Landers  
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Prepared by: Lisa Flores  
Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

1. US EPA Environmental Justice, Civil Rights, & Permitting Policy  
2. California Environmental Protection Agency’s February 2020 Enforcement Memo  
3. New Jersey Environmental Justice Law  
4. New York Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act  
5. Vermont’s EJ Policy, Senate Bill148
This document discusses a variety of federal statutory and regulatory provisions, but does not itself have legal effect, and is not a substitute for those provisions and any legally binding requirements that they may impose. It does not expressly or implicitly create, expand, or limit any legal rights, obligations, responsibilities, expectations or benefits to any person. To the extent there is any inconsistency between this document and any statutes, regulations or guidance, the latter take precedence. EPA retains discretion to use or deviate from this document as appropriate.
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Introduction

These Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) provide information to federal, state, and local environmental permitting programs to help them meet their responsibilities to integrate environmental justice (EJ) and civil rights into relevant environmental permitting processes. They do not change obligations to comply with applicable environmental and civil rights laws or create any new legal rights or responsibilities.¹ This is a “living document” that EPA will update and refine as the practice of integrating EJ and civil rights into permitting advances.

1 Why is it important for permitting programs to ensure consideration of environmental justice and comply with federal civil rights laws, including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as well as state civil rights and environmental justice laws?²

EPA’s mission is to protect human health and the environment. EPA is committed to achieving our mission for all people in the United States, regardless of race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency [LEP] status), disability, age, sex, or income. For decades, many people of color, as well as low-income and indigenous populations, have been disproportionately burdened by pollution and denied equal access to a healthy environment.³ This legacy of environmental injustice represents a systemic deficit in public health and environmental protection. Finding solutions is not only the right thing to do; it is also our collective obligation.

Federal environmental justice policy directs EPA to address environmental injustices to the full extent authorized by law.⁴ This policy was based, in part, on the nation’s civil rights laws, which were enacted

---

¹ EPA is committed to issuing additional guidance in the near future to update and clarify information about investigative and legal standards applicable to external civil rights claims, including those concerning permitting.

² As discussed below, Title VI and EPA Title VI implementing regulations do not apply to the federal government itself. Moreover, these FAQs provide general information about integrating environmental justice and civil rights obligations, where applicable, recognizing that the implementation of these principles by permitting programs will vary depending on their statutory and regulatory authority. See generally EPA Legal Tools to Advance Environmental Justice (2022), https://www.epa.gov/ogc/epa-legal-tools-advance-environmental-justice.


to address all forms of discrimination. To date, most federal enforcement action in the civil rights arena has focused on other sectors, e.g., education, employment, housing, and transportation—and not on environmental protection. EPA recognizes that it is time to use the full extent of its enforcement authority under federal civil rights laws, including Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

EPA also recognizes that it does not and need not stand alone in pursuing environmental justice. More than 40 states and the District of Columbia have laws, policies, or programs pertaining to environmental justice. Several states (e.g., California, Minnesota, and New Jersey) and municipalities have enacted laws to address cumulative and disproportionate impacts in the permitting context, and others are considering such legislation. In addition, most states and many local jurisdictions also have civil rights laws. These state and local laws may provide independent authority to advance environmental justice and ensure protection of civil rights. EPA greatly values the contribution of its partners in this critical effort.

Historically, industrial facilities have been sited, have expanded, and have added to the pollution burden in already vulnerable communities without due consideration of whether, either intentionally or in effect, the decisions allowing such outcomes are discriminatory under civil rights law or unfair under environmental justice policies. By considering the principles of environmental justice, complying with federal civil rights laws, and complying with applicable state environmental justice and civil rights policies and laws, environmental permitting programs can better identify and address discriminatory or unfair permitting processes and outcomes. EPA intends these FAQs to help permitting programs consider these critical issues.

2. What are EPA’s responsibilities under federal environmental justice policy, including with respect to permitting?

Three Executive Orders (E.O.s) establish federal policy on equity and environmental justice:

- **E.O. 12898 Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (1994)** lays the foundation of EPA’s EJ policy. It directs each listed federal agency, including EPA, to "make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations.” Agencies must do this to the “greatest extent practicable and permitted.

---


by law.” The Presidential memorandum accompanying E.O. 12898 notes that existing environmental and civil rights statutes provide many opportunities to ensure that all communities and persons live in a safe and healthful environment.

- **E.O. 14008** Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad (2021) reaffirms the importance of environmental justice and makes explicit that agencies should address “climate-related and other cumulative impacts on disadvantaged communities, as well as the accompanying economic challenges of such impacts.” It also establishes a federal policy “to secure environmental justice and spur economic opportunity for disadvantaged communities that have been historically marginalized and overburdened by pollution and underinvestment in housing, transportation, water and wastewater infrastructure, and health care.”

- **E.O. 13985** Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government (2021) establishes a whole-of-government equity agenda to address entrenched disparities in our laws and policies and to promote equal opportunity for underserved communities that have been denied fair, just, and impartial treatment.

### What responsibilities do EPA staff and managers with permit issuance and review responsibilities have to ensure compliance with civil rights laws by recipients of EPA financial assistance?

As a federal agency, EPA is responsible for civil rights enforcement. EPA is also committed to carrying out its permitting processes in a nondiscriminatory manner and improving the accessibility of its programs and activities to ensure meaningful access for persons with disabilities and persons with limited English proficiency.7

As discussed below, EPA civil rights regulations prohibit state, local, or other entities that receive federal financial assistance, either directly or indirectly from EPA (“recipients”), from taking actions that are intentionally discriminatory as well as practices that have an unjustified discriminatory effect, including on the basis of race, color, or national origin. Two provisions of EPA’s civil rights regulations are particularly relevant to recipients’ permitting processes:

“A recipient shall not use criteria or methods of administering its program or activity which have the effect of subjecting individuals to discrimination because of their race, color, national origin, ...or have the effect of defeating or substantially impairing

---

7 Title VI is inapplicable to EPA actions because it only applies to programs and activities of recipients of federal financial assistance, not to federal agencies. Nonetheless, EPA is committed to a policy of nondiscrimination in its own permitting programs. The equal protection guarantee in the Due Process Clause of the U.S. Constitution prohibits the federal government from engaging in intentional discrimination. Moreover, section 2–2 of Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, directs federal agencies to ensure, in part, that federal actions substantially affecting human health or the environment do not have discriminatory effects based on race, color, or national origin. See 40 C.F.R. Part 12: “NONDISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF HANDICAP IN PROGRAMS OR ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED BY THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY; Executive Order 13166, Improving Access to Services for Persons With Limited English Proficiency, https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2000-08-16/pdf/00-20938.pdf; EPA LEP Guidance and Materials, https://www.epa.gov/ogc/assisting-people-limited-english-proficiency.
accomplishment of the objectives of the program or activity with respect to individuals of a particular race, color, [or] national origin ...”

“A recipient shall not choose a site or location of a facility that has the purpose or effect of excluding individuals from, denying them the benefits of, or subjecting them to discrimination under any program or activity to which this part applies on the grounds of race, color, or national origin...; or with the purpose or effect of defeating or substantially impairing the accomplishment of the objectives of this subpart.”

When accepting assistance, recipients of EPA funding acknowledge that they have an affirmative obligation “to implement effective Title VI compliance programs” and to ensure that their actions “do not involve discriminatory treatment and do not have discriminatory effects even when facially neutral.” When reviewing environmental permits issued by states and other recipients, EPA staff and managers with permit review responsibilities have authority and are encouraged to work with their servicing legal office as needed to provide comments on environmental justice and civil rights issues raised by such permits, including the potential for adverse and disproportionate impacts from a permit decision, as well as issues regarding meaningful involvement and fair treatment of any population adversely and disproportionately affected by a permit. EPA also offers technical assistance on civil rights compliance.

Environmental justice and civil rights compliance are complementary. Integrating environmental justice in decision-making and ensuring compliance with civil rights laws can together address the strong correlation between the distribution of environmental burdens and benefits and the racial and ethnic composition, as well as income level, of communities.

EPA defines environmental justice as the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. Environmental justice policies and laws, provisions requiring that cumulative impacts be identified and addressed in a permit decision, and many other measures that ensure fair treatment and empower communities affected by government decisions all represent pathways to fairer distribution of environmental burdens and benefits. Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, establishes executive branch policy on environmental justice on the federal level.

---

8 40 C.F.R. § 7.35(b).
9 40 C.F.R. § 7.35(c).
For recipients of federal financial assistance, civil rights compliance is mandatory—and it is a critical tool for achieving environmental justice when a permitting action is likely to have an adverse and disproportionate impact, particularly on the basis of race, color, or national origin. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin by recipients of federal funds, is the civil rights law that is most frequently invoked in the permitting context. It applies to public and private entities that receive federal financial assistance but does not apply to the federal government itself. It covers all of the operations of programs or activities that receive federal financial assistance without regard to whether specific portions of the program or activity are federally funded. The term “program or activity” means all of the operations of a department, agency, or the entity to which federal financial assistance is extended.\(^{11}\) Title VI covers both intentional discrimination and acts that have an unjustified disparate impact on the basis of race, color, or national origin. The disparate impact analysis under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and EPA regulations includes not only an assessment of whether a permit will have a disproportionate impact on the basis of race, color, or national origin, but also whether there is a substantial and legitimate justification for any such disproportionate impact, as well as whether there is a less discriminatory alternative. See FAQ \#11.

As discussed at more length below, environmental justice and civil rights analyses undertaken by permitting authorities may overlap substantially. Environmental justice policies and laws and civil rights laws generally incorporate procedural requirements, and EPA has long recognized the value of “early, inclusive and meaningful public involvement throughout the entire permitting process.”\(^{12}\) See FAQ \#15.

As noted above, both environmental justice policies at the federal level—and, in many cases, at state and local levels—and civil rights laws call on decision-makers to identify and address whether programs and activities, including permitting decisions, have adverse disproportionate impacts on the basis of race, color and national origin (including LEP status). At the federal level, Executive Order 12898 and environmental justice policies more generally also address disproportionate impacts on the basis of income. In both contexts, decision-makers should consider the potential impacts of a permitted activity in light of cumulative impacts in overburdened communities. Methodologies for conducting environmental justice analyses, such as health impact assessments (HIAs), create opportunities for considering a range of mitigations that can be pursued if appropriate under federal or state environmental and environmental justice laws and can also be relevant to consideration of civil rights compliance. See FAQ \#10.


\(^{12}\) Title VI Public Involvement Guidance, 71 Fed. Reg. at 14210 (discussing belief that meaningful public involvement will help ensure compliance with Title VI and EPA’s Title VI implementing regulations); see also EPA, Learn About Environmental Justice, [https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/learn-about-environmental-justice](https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/learn-about-environmental-justice) (defining “meaningful involvement”).
Does an entity’s full compliance with the federal environmental laws in carrying out its permitting programs and decisions equate to compliance with the federal civil rights laws?

State, local, and other recipients of federal financial assistance have an independent obligation to comply with federal civil rights laws with respect to all of their programs and activities, including environmental permitting programs.13

A recipient’s compliance with the requirements of federal environmental laws with respect to permitting activities and decisions does not necessarily mean that the recipient is complying with federal civil rights laws. Federal civil rights laws prohibit recipients of federal financial assistance from taking actions that discriminate based on race, color, national origin, disability, age, and sex. Enforcement of federal civil rights laws and implementation of environmental laws are complementary. Used together, these laws help to ensure the non-discriminatory protection of human health and the environment.

How could a permitting decision raise a statutory civil rights compliance concern about intentional discrimination, or have a discriminatory effect?

Intentional discrimination can occur when a recipient makes a permitting decision or takes an action that deliberately treats individuals differently or otherwise knowingly causes them harm because of their race, color, national origin (including LEP status), disability, age, or sex. Evidence of intentional discrimination can be direct, such as a comment by a decision-maker that expresses a discriminatory motive. A claim of intentional discrimination can also be shown with different types of indirect or circumstantial evidence that, taken together, allow an inference that the recipient acted, at least in part, because of race, color, national origin (including LEP status), disability, age, or sex.

For example, intentional discrimination may be present in the following scenario: a recipient decides to hold public hearings about a proposed permit for a facility in a town that has racially identifiable neighborhoods. The facility is to be sited in the west section of a town, which has a population that is predominantly Black. The east section of town is predominantly White. The recipient holds two hearings in the east section of town and provides opportunities to participate in both the daytime and in the evening after work hours. By contrast, the recipient holds only one daytime hearing in the west section of town—and that hearing is shorter. Armed security officers also attend the west section hearing. The differences in the time for community comment, when the hearings are scheduled, and how the

hearings are staffed in the predominantly White community compared to the predominantly Black community raise different treatment concerns.\textsuperscript{14}

Discrimination may also occur under Title VI and EPA’s implementing regulation when a recipient’s permitting decision has an adverse and disproportionate impact based on race, color, or national origin (including LEP status). The focus in a “disparate impact” case of discrimination\textsuperscript{15} is on whether the consequences of the recipient’s permitting policies, decisions, and actions, or failure to act, has had or will have the effect of subjecting persons to discrimination, regardless of the recipient’s intent. For example, a recipient approves a Clean Air Act permit for a power plant. The population living in proximity to the plant ("residents") is disproportionately Black, as compared to the rest of the town, county, or state. If those residents have reason to believe that the recipient’s permitting of the power plant will cause them to suffer adverse health and/or non-health impacts, such as odor, noise, or decrease in property values, at comparatively higher rates as compared to the larger population of persons not adversely impacted, then this may potentially raise a viable disparate impact claim and provide a reason to file a federal civil rights complaint.\textsuperscript{16} As discussed in FAQ \#11, the question of whether there is a disparate impact is not the end of the inquiry in evaluating whether the permit approval might violate civil rights law.

Specific provisions of the nation’s environmental statutes authorize and may require consideration of environmental justice in permitting, including the National Environmental Policy Act and state policy review laws, Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, Safe Drinking Water Act, and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. EPA’s 2022 Legal Tools to Advance Environmental Justice provides an overview of these authorities, among others.\textsuperscript{17}

As noted at FAQ \#1, many states and municipalities have also enacted laws that support consideration of environmental justice, including in the environmental permitting process. Permitting programs should carefully review applicable authorities for opportunities to incorporate environmental justice considerations and to ensure that such considerations are adequately and appropriately incorporated into permitting decisions.

\textsuperscript{14} See EPA ECRCO’s Toolkit Chapter I at p. 4, supra note 13. If a prima facie case of disparate treatment is established, the recipient then has the burden of producing a legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for the challenged policy or decision and the different treatment. If the recipient articulates such a reason, EPA must then determine if there is evidence that the proffered reason is false, i.e., that the nondiscriminatory reason(s) the defendant gives for its actions are a pretext for discriminatory intent. See DOI, supra note 11.

\textsuperscript{15} The terms “disparate impact” and “discriminatory effect” are used interchangeably in this document.

\textsuperscript{16} See generally DOI, supra note 11.

States and other recipients administering environmental permitting programs can adopt a routine process of screening for EJ and civil rights concerns early in the permitting process. If a permit applicant initiates pre-application discussions, knowledge gained from conducting an early EJScreen can make early discussions more meaningful and productive and add predictability and efficiency to the permitting process.

This type of screening will indicate whether a permitting decision has the potential to cause or contribute to significant public health or environmental impacts, whether the community may be particularly vulnerable to any adverse effects of the proposed permitting action, and whether the community is already disproportionately bearing public health or environmental burdens. A sound screening practice will also provide important information to states and other recipients as to whether there are residents of the affected community who could be disproportionately subjected to adverse health, environmental, and/or quality of life impacts on the basis of race, color, or national origin (including LEP status).

This screening process will also provide valuable information for the development of plans to meaningfully involve the affected community. For example, demographic information gathered during this screening process will help inform action to ensure meaningful access for persons with limited English proficiency, persons with disabilities, persons of different ages, and persons who are low-income who may lack access to the internet or necessary equipment. For more information about meaningful community engagement, see FAQ #15.

Finally, and critically, screening may inform recipients as to whether a more extensive analysis of potential disproportionate impacts would aid them in avoiding a violation of Title VI. See FAQs #9-10.

**Best Practices for Screening:**

- Geographic Information System (GIS) tools such as EPA’s EJScreen or state EJ mapping tools can be used as a starting point to assess whether the permitting action raises environmental justice or civil rights concerns, using indicators of community characteristics and existing conditions in the potentially affected community. Considered together with readily available information on community concerns, these tools can help the permitting program quickly assess and document the extent of community vulnerability and pollution burden and the associated potential for disproportionate impacts. They can also support consistent approaches by using standard benchmarks to characterize the potential for disproportionate impacts. EJScreen

18 The term “EJ concerns” is used to indicate the “actual or potential lack of fair treatment or meaningful involvement of minority populations, low-income populations, tribes, and indigenous peoples in the development, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies.” [https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-06/documents/considering-ej-in-rulemaking-guide-final.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-06/documents/considering-ej-in-rulemaking-guide-final.pdf) at p. 9.


20 A number of state EJ mapping tools are linked at [https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen/additional-resources-and-tools-related-ejscreen#other-maps](https://www.epa.gov/ejscreen/additional-resources-and-tools-related-ejscreen#other-maps).
indices simplify the use of benchmarks for initial screening by highlighting indices at the 80th, 90th, and 95th percentiles in terms of the potential for disproportionate impacts relative to state, regional, and national averages.

- Identify and record responses to key questions such as: is there the potential that the affected population already experiences disproportionate impacts? How likely are the potential impacts of the permit under consideration to cause or contribute to disproportionate impacts?

- Especially when GIS tools or known community concerns suggest a potential for disproportionate impacts, review other readily available data. For example, EJScreen makes a range of demographic and environmental data layers readily available for review. It also identifies additional resources and tools for further analysis.\(^2\) State databases or GIS tools may also include additional data. Other information relevant to screening for disproportionate impacts includes:
  - Other permitted facilities in the area, including whether these facilities are major or minor sources of pollution and contribute to community risk. An area with an above average number of sources, especially if those sources are large or close to people in the area, is a sign of concern.\(^2\)
  - Applicant compliance record.
  - Demographic data including race and national origin,\(^2\) age (percent less than 5 years, older than 64 years), percent non-English speakers, income, and education.\(^4\)
  - Environmental data that reflects pollutant measurements (e.g., ambient concentrations, total loadings in waterbody, etc.), presence of other significant emissions sources (e.g., woodstoves, ports, freight facilities, highways), facilities handling hazardous materials, etc.
  - Health data such as mortality rates, asthma, incidence of infant mortality, and incidence of low birth weight. Data on unhoused populations and healthcare access.

- Local knowledge and information from past community engagement are important components of the screening process for potential environmental justice or civil rights concerns. This is best

\(^{21}\) Id.

\(^{22}\) EPA’s ECHO mapping tool (https://echo.epa.gov/) can be used to identify all regulated facilities in a given area together with information on their permits and compliance monitoring and enforcement history.

\(^{23}\) EJSCREEN defines “people of color” as people “who list their racial status as a race other than white alone and/or list their ethnicity as Hispanic or Latino” in the U.S. Census. The Census Bureau provides the following choices for people to self-identify racial status: American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, White, or “Some Other Race.” People may report multiple races. For ethnicity, the Census Bureau, based on the Office of Management and Budget standards, classifies individuals in one of two categories: “Hispanic or Latino” or “Not Hispanic or Latino.” The Census Bureau uses the term “Hispanic or Latino” interchangeably with the term “Hispanic,” and also refers to this concept as “ethnicity.” See https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/decade/2020/planning-management/release/faqs-race-ethnicity.html.

\(^{24}\) The Centers for Disease Control also considers factors such as the experience of racism to be “social determinants of health.” See CDC, NCHHSTP Social Determinants of Health, https://www.cdc.gov/nchhstp/socialdeterminants/index.html. “The social determinants of health are the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age as well as the complex, interrelated social structures and economic systems that shape these conditions. Social determinants of health include aspects of the social environment (e.g., discrimination, income, education level, marital status), the physical environment (e.g., place of residence, crowding conditions, built environment [i.e., buildings, spaces, transportation systems, and products that are created or modified by people]), and health services (e.g., access to and quality of care, insurance status)” (citations omitted).
accomplished by establishing early and ongoing relationships in a community, particularly those with a history of EJ and civil rights concerns. Such relationships assist in the trust and communication needed to gain input from impacted residents, stakeholders, local agencies, tribal governments, and others. For more information about community engagement, see FAQ #15.

- Relevant information may be found in public complaints to federal, state, tribal, and local authorities; media reports; and national, state, or local environmental or health data. Complaints may directly relate to the permitting action at issue (e.g., anticipated facility traffic or emissions) or reflect conditions in the community (e.g., high rates of asthma, unemployment, or elderly populations).

The screening analysis identified in FAQ #8 may identify EJ concerns and possible issues of civil rights compliance, i.e., questions about whether a state’s or other recipient’s permitting decision may violate Title VI and EPA implementing regulations by disproportionately subjecting persons to adverse health, environmental, and/or quality of life impacts on the basis of race, color, or national origin (including LEP status). In such cases, states and other recipients can consider conducting additional analysis.

In the EJ context, EPA has generally referred to this additional consideration as an EJ analysis. Although they may overlap, conducting an EJ analysis will not satisfy Title VI requirements. In the civil rights context, the analysis used to evaluate whether a recipient’s action has an adverse and disproportionate impact on the basis of race or national origin is generally referred to as a disparate impact analysis. In many respects, the line of inquiry is similar to the environmental justice analysis: Who is being affected by the action? How, and how much? Compared to whom? Can we and how do we mitigate the effects? There are, however, several particular considerations in the civil rights context. In FAQs #11-13, we explain these unique considerations.

When a screening analysis identifies potential EJ or civil rights concerns, the permitting program can consider the following steps:

- Conducting an appropriately scoped EJ analysis or disparate impact analysis as needed to further evaluate and address adverse and disproportionate impacts, and to inform and support enhanced community engagement – see FAQ #15;

- Exercising relevant statutory and regulatory authority and discretion under federal, state, and local environmental laws, as well as applicable environmental justice and civil rights laws, to prevent or mitigate any adverse disproportionate impacts that would otherwise violate Title VI; and
• To the extent mitigation included in the permit is not sufficient to address adverse and disproportionate impacts that would otherwise violate Title VI; consider implementing mitigation outside the context of the permit, coordinating across agency programs, state agencies, community organizations, NGOs, etc. See FAQ #14.

10 What are promising practices in conducting an EJ analysis?

There is a significant body of practice, policy, and caselaw about EJ analysis in permitting upon which permitting programs can draw when developing and conducting an EJ analysis.

First, additional EJ analysis should be tailored to the specific permitting decision. The scope may depend on several factors, including but not limited to the potential for adverse and disproportionate impacts associated with a given facility, community concerns, and potential cumulative impacts. EPA recognizes that permits vary widely in purpose and effect, and that there is no "one size fits all" approach to EJ analysis. Appropriately scoped, additional EJ analysis should accomplish two purposes: (1) it should address the principle of fair treatment by further evaluating adverse and disproportionate impacts beyond the screening results and identifying ways to prevent or mitigate such impacts; and (2) it should address the principle of meaningful involvement by fostering enhanced community engagement in the permitting decision.

One promising practice for conducting EJ analyses is the Health Impact Assessment (HIA), which systematically evaluates how a proposed action may impact health and well-being. HIAs explicitly consider potential distributive effects (e.g., whether there will be disproportionate impacts) and inform decision-makers of potential outcomes before the decision is made. HIAs generally:

• Determine the potential effects of a proposed decision on the health of a population and the distribution of those effects within the population;
• Consider input from stakeholders, including those impacted by the decision;
• Use different types of qualitative and quantitative evidence and analytical methods;
• Are flexible based on available time and resources; and
• Provide evidence and recommendations to decision-makers in a timely manner.26


A permitting program may find it helpful to organize an EJ analysis by applying HIA practice standards and elements, including by adapting the six key steps that guide the HIA process:

1. **Screening.** Determines the need for and value of an HIA. (See FAQ #8 for application to an EJ analysis.)

2. **Scoping.** Identifies the project partners, health and social impacts requiring assessment, methodology for the analysis, and a work plan.

3. **Assessment.** Provides an analysis of existing conditions; an assessment of the policy, plan, project, or program under study; and an evaluation of the potential impacts of the policy, plan, project, or program on existing conditions.

4. **Recommendations.** Develops a set of recommendations for maximizing health outcomes.

5. **Reporting.** Develops a report and communicates findings and recommendations.

6. **Monitoring.** Tracks the impact of the HIA on the proposed policy, plan, project, or program and the impacts of the final policy, plan, project, or program on existing conditions.²⁷

---

### 11 What is a disparate impact analysis under Title VI?

Title VI disparate impact regulations ensure that federal financial assistance is not spent in any fashion which encourages, entrenches, subsidizes, or results in racial discrimination. Recipients are prohibited from practices having a discriminatory effect on members of a group identified by race, color, or national origin, even if the actions or practices are not intentionally discriminatory. The disparate impact analysis under Title VI examines a number of critical questions to evaluate whether a recipient’s policy or practice has an unjustified disparate impact prohibited by Title VI.²⁸

- **Disparate impact:** Does a recipient’s criteria or method of administering its program or activities adversely and disparately affect members of a group identified by race, color, or national origin?
  - **Adverse Impacts:** Is there an adverse impact of the policy or practice? Adverse impacts could include harmful health effects, odor, noise, decrease in property values, etc.

---


²⁸ Courts have developed analytical frameworks to assess disparate impact claims in litigation that inform agencies’ investigative process. See DOJ, *supra* note 11. The disparate impact analysis described in FAQ #11 is used not only by EPA, but also by twenty-five other federal agencies that also have Title VI regulations that include provisions addressing the discriminatory effects/impacts standard.
Disproportionality: Is a disproportionate share of the adversity borne based on race, color, or national origin (including LEP status)? Disparity is a fact-specific inquiry that involves identifying an appropriate measure.29

Causation: Is there a causal link between the recipient’s policy or practice and the disparate impact?30

- Justification: If so, is there a substantial legitimate justification for the policy or practice? This question is unique to a disparate impact analysis. See FAQ #13.

- Less discriminatory alternative: Even if there is a substantial legitimate justification for the policy or practice causing the disparate impact, is there an alternative practice that may be comparably effective with less disparate impact?31

Questions about the disparate impact and less discriminatory alternative may have been evaluated, at least in part, during the EJ analysis. See FAQs #9-10. The “less discriminatory alternative” inquiry, however, may go beyond mitigation measures usually examined in an EJ analysis. See FAQ #13.

12 How would EPA consider “cumulative impacts” within the Title VI disparate impact analysis?

In the context of Title VI investigations, EPA considers cumulative impacts when evaluating whether there is an adverse impact from the recipient’s policy or practice.32 That is, EPA considers whether any adverse impact caused by the permitting decision—and borne disproportionately by persons on the basis of race, color, or national origin (including LEP status)—may be even greater considering cumulative impacts from other chemical and non-chemical stressors.

As EPA notes in guidance on considering cumulative impacts in the NEPA context, “cumulative impacts result when the effects of an action are added to or interact with other effects in a particular place and within a particular time.”33 EPA’s Office of Research and Development recently offered an operational


30 Texas Dep’t of Hour. & Cmty. Affairs v. Inclusive Communities, 135 S. Ct. 2507, 2523 (citing Wards Cove, 490 U.S. at 653); See also U.S. DOJ, supra note 11.

31 Id. See also ERCRO’s Toolkit Chapter I and FAQ, supra note 13; see also U.S. DOJ, supra note 11.


definition of “cumulative impacts” based on definitions developed by various state and federal agencies, as follows:

“Cumulative impacts” refersto the total burden – positive, neutral, or negative – from chemical and non-chemical stressors and their interactions that affect the health, well-being, and quality of life of an individual, community, or population at a given point in time or over a period of time. Cumulative impacts include contemporary exposures in various environments where individuals spend time and past exposures that have lingering effects. Total burden encompasses direct health effects and indirect effects to people through impacts on resources and the environment that affect human health and well-being. Cumulative impacts provide context for characterizing the potential state of vulnerability or resilience of the community, i.e., their ability to withstand or recover from additional exposures under consideration.34

What if a Title VI disparate impact analysis by a permitting program concludes that the permit decision will have adverse disparate impacts on the basis of race, color, or national origin (including LEP status)?

If the permitting action will have a disparate impact on the basis of race, color, or national origin (including LEP status) (i.e., it raises a possible violation of Title VI), then the next steps in a civil rights disparate impact framework discussed in FAQs #9 and #11-12 include:

- Identify a substantial legitimate justification for the challenged policy or practice.35 That is, can the recipient show that the challenged policy was “necessary to meet a goal that was legitimate, important, and integral to the [recipient’s] institutional mission” in order to establish a “substantial legitimate justification”?36

- Even if the recipient identifies a substantial legitimate justification, a sufficient Title VI analysis evaluates whether there are any comparably effective alternative practices that would achieve the same legitimate objective but with a less discriminatory effect. That is, is there a comparably effective alternative decision or action that would result in less adverse impact? For example, can the recipient prevent any adverse and disproportionate effects by requiring that the facility be operated in a manner that would eliminate or mitigate its disproportionate impact, e.g., by

---

34 Cumulative Impacts Recommendation for ORD Research EXTERNAL REVIEW DRAFT at p. 6, January 2022.

35 ECRCO’s Toolkit Chapter I and FAQs at pp. 9-10, supra note 13.

36 EPA will evaluate whether the policy was “necessary” by requiring that the justification bear a “manifest demonstrable relationship” to the challenged policy. As part of its assessment, EPA will generally consider not only the recipient’s perspective, but the views of the affected community in its assessment of whether a permitted facility, for example, will provide direct, economic benefits to that community. ECRCO’s Toolkit Chapter I and FAQs, supra note 13. See also U.S. DOJ, supra note 11.
modifying permit operating conditions, employing practicable mitigation measures to lessen or eliminate the demonstrated adverse impacts, or by not renewing the permit?³⁷

• If there are no mitigation measures the permitting authority can take, whether within or outside the permitting program, that can address the disparate impacts, and there is no legally sufficient justification for the disparate impacts, denial of the permit may be the only way to avoid a Title VI violation. Whether denial of a permit is required to avoid a Title VI violation is a fact-specific determination that would take into account an array of circumstances, including whether the facility will have an unjustified racially disproportionate impact, as well as the less discriminatory alternatives available.³⁸

14 What are some examples of measures that a permitting program may be able to take to mitigate adverse and disproportionate impacts and/or develop and implement less discriminatory alternatives?

Under a civil rights analysis pursuant to Title VI and EPA’s implementing regulations, recipients are obligated to adopt a comparably effective less discriminatory alternative to address an unjustified disparate impact on the basis of race, color, or national origin (including LEP status). If a permitting program’s decision is likely to have an adverse and disproportionate effect on the basis of race, color, or national origin (including LEP status), then the program should consider broadly the availability of less discriminatory alternatives. This might include the range of mitigation measures discussed below or working with the permit applicant for alternative siting. However, as discussed in FAQ #13, if there are no mitigation measures that can address the unjustified disparate impacts, denial of the permit may be the only means of avoiding a Title VI violation. This will be a fact-specific determination.

EJ principles and practices call for consideration of whether mitigation measures will reduce or eliminate unfair treatment. Whether mitigation will effectively address adverse and disproportionate impacts will depend on the unique circumstances of each permit, the community in which the pollution source is or will be located, and other factors.

Some proactive mitigation measures that a state or other recipient might explore include the following:

Permit terms:

• Enforceable requirements for continuous compliance monitoring equipment (e.g., opacity cameras) to ensure proper operation of control devices, compliance with permitted limits, and adherence to industry best practices.

³⁷ ECRCO's Toolkit Chapter I and FAQs at p. 15, supra note 13.

³⁸ See generally ECRCO’s Toolkit Chapter I and FAQs at 14-15, supra note 13 (discussing disparate impact- municipal solid waste landfill permit example).
- Enhancements to compliance assurance provisions, including additional continuous or periodic monitoring, recordkeeping, or reporting requirements.
- Establishment of a public-facing website with all relevant compliance information about the facility and real-time data measurements.
- Additional pollution controls or more stringent limits.
- Inclusion of enforceable work practices, operating plans, and/or best practices for minimizing emissions and/or discharges (e.g., a fugitive emission plan).
- Incorporating modeling assumptions as legally and practically enforceable limits or work practices (e.g., hours of operation).
- Expansion of buffers or modification of operational hours.

The use of non-environmental authorities:

- Use public health authority to implement a mobile health monitoring program in the affected community.
- Use transportation authority to develop new traffic plan to reduce diesel emissions in the affected community.
- Use public health authority to establish a citizen hotline with a 24-hour response time.

Other potential commitments:

- Third-party monitoring of community complaints.
- Support for public transparency of monitoring information, including community-driven monitoring.
- Other enforceable agreements (e.g., community benefit agreements).

### 15 When and how should permitting programs conduct community engagement?

Community engagement should occur as soon as possible and should go far beyond simply posting public notices. With respect to permitting actions that could result in significant health, environmental and quality of life impacts, the stakes are often that much higher for communities with EJ concerns. The goal of community engagement is to ensure that the people most affected by the permit have input into the decisions that will impact their lives. Community engagement is an active process that requires permitting programs to be proactive in outreach to the public. While some of these activities are required to satisfy statutory obligations and comply with environmental justice directives, going beyond such requirements when called for is good government practice. Among other things, it builds ongoing
relationships with community leaders necessary for a deeper level of engagement. Robust community engagement is crucial for making informed permitting decisions that meaningfully consider the site-specific circumstances of the permitting action.\textsuperscript{39}

In addition, it is important that states and other recipients ensure that community engagement and other public participation actions be conducted consistent with the federal civil rights law, which require that no person shall be excluded on the basis of race, color, national origin, or other prohibited grounds from participation in any program or activity receiving EPA financial assistance.\textsuperscript{40} Meaningful involvement consists of informing, consulting, and working with potentially affected communities at various stages of the environmental decision-making process to address their questions and concerns.\textsuperscript{41} This includes:

- Ensuring that public involvement processes are available to all persons regardless of race, color, national origin (including LEP status), disability, sex, and age, or prior exercise of rights or opposition to actions protected by 40 C.F.R. Parts 5 and 7 and the federal non-discrimination laws;
- Ensuring that the factors used to determine the appropriate time, place, location, duration, and security at public meetings are developed and applied in a non-discriminatory manner; and
- Ensuring that public participation processes specifically address the needs of persons with limited English proficiency, persons with disabilities, and persons of different ages. Best practice and environmental justice policy would also call for ensuring that processes are accessible to persons without access to digital communication, and other members of the recipient’s communities who may have limited access to information.

Best practice to demonstrate compliance is to have in place a public involvement plan, yet EPA recognizes that a recipient’s staff size, available resources, and the nature of its programs and activities may dictate the type and scope of written public involvement policies and procedures. EPA guidance identifies as a best practice that all government entities – for example, state, regional, county, and local government entities – have written and published public involvement procedures that are consistent with the federal civil rights laws and EPA’s Public Participation Guidance.\textsuperscript{42}

By implementing the following steps, states and other recipients will be in a better position to provide opportunities for effective public participation that is meaningfully accessible to all persons regardless of race, color, national origin (including LEP status), disability, age, and sex each time they engage in a process involving public participation:

- Develop a description of the relevant/affected community (including demographics, history, and background, such as: percentage of the area that includes people of color, has less than a high

\textsuperscript{39} “Community engagement,” “public involvement,” and “public participation” are used interchangeably in this document.


\textsuperscript{41} “Meaningful involvement” and “meaningful participation” are used interchangeably for purposes of this document.

\textsuperscript{42} See EPA, supra note 12.
school education, has members of households who speak a language other than English and/or speak English less than very well, has a history of filing complaints, has an inability to access traditional communication channels, internet, etc.); 43

- Provide a contact list for relevant staff members on the recipient’s website, including phone numbers and email addresses, to allow the public to communicate via phone or internet;
- Develop a list of past and present community civil rights concerns (including any complaints filed under the federal non-discrimination laws), and actions undertaken in response to such concerns;
- Develop and implement a detailed plan of action (including outreach activities) the recipient will take to address concerns raised by the public;
- Develop and implement a contingency plan for unexpected events that impact public meetings or other public participation avenues;
- Identify location(s) where public meetings will be held (considering the availability and schedules of public transportation), and ensure that public meetings are held at times and in locations that allow for meaningful involvement by individuals with LEP and individuals with disabilities;
- Develop and maintain a plan for providing reasonable modifications and auxiliary aids and services at no cost for individuals with disabilities and language assistance services for limited English proficient persons, including translation of documents and/or interpreters for meetings;
- Develop and maintain a list of appropriate local media contacts (based on the cultural and linguistic needs of the community);
- Develop guidance to help ensure the meaningful involvement of individuals with limited English proficiency and individuals with disabilities at any in-person public meetings and when in-person meetings are not possible due to national, state, or local emergencies; and
- In addition, develop public involvement plans with public input. The plans should be prominently highlighted online for the benefit of interested residents and should explain how interested residents can participate in the permitting process under various environmental laws.
- The public involvement plan and other plans to provide meaningful access should also be made available for the public in areas that would be easily accessible to the community (e.g., libraries, community centers, etc.).

Also, to be most effective, recipients’ public involvement plans should incorporate the following elements:

- How the recipient will meaningfully engage the public prior to and during significant activities (e.g., how the public can request a public hearing and criteria for determining whether public hearings will be held);

- How the recipient will effectively communicate and engage with the public regarding its programs, activities, and services (e.g., public notice procedures for submitting public comment during permit comment periods); and

- What methods the recipient will implement to ensure the public can access publicly available information and documents regarding its programs, activities, and services.

16 How does tribal consultation differ from community engagement?

Tribal consultation is a process of meaningful communication and coordination between EPA and tribal officials prior to EPA taking actions or implementing decisions that may affect tribes. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments (November 6, 2000) describes important elements of the federal government’s consultation with federally recognized tribes and calls for federal agencies to have an accountable process to ensure meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of certain regulatory actions and policies that have tribal implications. EPA’s tribal consultation policy calls for EPA to consult on a government-to-government basis with federally recognized tribes on a broad range of EPA actions and decisions that may affect tribal interests. Tribal consultation is an important element of fulfilling the federal government’s trust responsibility that arises from treaties, statutes, executive orders, and the historical relations between the United States and tribes. Conducting government-to-government tribal consultation is separate and distinct from EPA’s obligations to involve the public as required by environmental laws. Conducting community engagement, including with tribal and indigenous communities, cannot replace tribal consultation, and tribal consultation cannot replace community engagement.

Apart from EPA consultation with tribes, it is also appropriate for States to consider tribal interests in their permitting processes by reaching out to and coordinating with affected tribal governments to ensure their views are obtained and appropriately factored into permitting decisions.

---

EPA has many tools to help permitting programs engage in public outreach. The following additional resources and references on Community Engagement and Tribal Consultation may be helpful:

Environmental Justice

- Regional Environmental Justice Implementation Plans: [https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/environmental-justice-your-community](https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/environmental-justice-your-community)
In addition, the National Environmental Justice Advisory Council (NEJAC) provides independent advice and recommendations to the EPA Administrator on a broad range of issues related to environmental justice. NEJAC produced three recommendations related to EJ in permitting:


Civil Rights


Tribal Consultation

• EPA Plan for Implementing Policies & Directives of E.O. 13175 (2021):

18 How do I get additional information or provide feedback on the FAQs?

Please email EJ.permitting@epa.gov with any questions or feedback.
MEMORANDUM

TO: CalEPA Staff

FROM: Jared Blumenfeld
Secretary for Environmental Protection
California Environmental Protection Agency

DATE: February 14, 2020

SUBJECT: Environmental Enforcement

Enforcement is an essential part of CalEPA’s mission. A robust, equitable and forward leaning enforcement and compliance program enables us to protect California’s residents and its natural resources from environmental degradation caused by those who violate environmental laws. Although California has an abundance of enforcement tools, the State faces persistent environmental challenges, including the disparate impact of pollution on environmental justice communities.

CalEPA’s Office of the Secretary is responsible for developing a program to ensure that our boards, departments and offices (BDOs) take “consistent, effective and coordinated enforcement and compliance actions to protect public health and the environment.” (Gov. Code § 12812.2 (a)(1).) While we share the responsibility for environmental enforcement and compliance with our federal, local and tribal partners, the public expects the State of California to take the lead in assuring that environmental laws are enforced. To do that, we must maximize state resources to achieve the most strategic outcomes in the most efficient ways possible.

Effective use of our enforcement tools not only assures that individual violators become compliant with regulatory requirements; it also serves as a deterrent to those similarly situated and thus has a multiplier effect. Strong enforcement also respects and honors the hard work by the public, non-governmental organizations, and legislators who have enacted environmental laws. Without effective enforcement, these laws risk losing meaning. By removing a potentially unfair business advantage, effective enforcement also levels the economic playing field and promotes a competitive market for the regulated community.

This memo sets forth the basic elements of a proactive state environmental enforcement program, with recognition that some of these elements already exist within the BDOs. This memo also provides a framework to strengthen CalEPA’s coordination and oversight of enforcement work at the boards and the departments with the goal of achieving a high level of environmental compliance throughout the state.
1. **Leadership**

It is critical to establish a clear and consistent enforcement message, philosophy and policies across the CalEPA boards and departments.

CalEPA’s enforcement authority is defined by: constitutional, jurisdictional, statutory, and regulatory authority; internal policy and guidance documents; and, common law. Within that legal framework, CalEPA holds discretion in the allocation of enforcement resources and prioritization of enforcement goals. CalEPA seeks to apply that discretion to achieve a robust deterrent-based enforcement and compliance program that the general public and businesses trust. At core, we need a clear and consistent enforcement message, philosophy and policy that is implemented across the boards and departments. I will be asking the CalEPA General Counsel’s Office to work with the BDOs to help develop and implement a consistent enforcement philosophy, as well as consistent messages and programs across all the BDOs. We will also engage in more data-driven monitoring of board and department enforcement efforts to make certain that enforcement efforts are yielding tangible and significant pollution-reduction results.

2. **Planning, Prioritization and Effective Resource Utilization**

It is critical that CalEPA strategically deploy limited resources to address the most pressing environmental enforcement and compliance priorities, violations and emerging issues.

A successful enforcement and compliance program requires strategic vision, targeting and resource allocation decisions, and effective adaptability, within the bounds of CalEPA’s jurisdictional authority. The program must implement core enforcement functions as well as incorporate new initiatives designed to promote CalEPA’s enforcement goals. Enhanced CalEPA enforcement leadership will facilitate effective deployment of limited resources and will include strategic sharing of resources, including personnel and equipment, to address ongoing and evolving enforcement challenges.

In the spirit of a “one CalEPA” culture,” and to ensure that CalEPA as a whole is effectively allocating its enforcement resources, CalEPA will track the enforcement work of the boards and departments. This will include a regular review of:

- Bi-annual sectoral prioritization (e.g., facilities using large quantities of flammable materials);
- Geographic targeting focused on environmental risk;
- The number and type of active enforcement investigations and cases;
- The amount of pollution/risk reduction as a result of enforcement efforts;
- The penalties assessed on violators through enforcement;
- Injunctive relief to remediate violations and SEPs incorporated into settlements;
- Results-focused, inter-agency coordination of investigation/inspection/enforcement;
- Compliance rates of regulated industries; and
- Most common types of violations observed.
3. **Local Government Enforcement Oversight and Coordination**

Environmental enforcement programs must promote strong local action, through work-sharing, resource utilization and effective oversight.

Local partners are critical to the success of California’s enforcement and compliance programs. CalEPA’s oversight responsibilities require that we establish clear guidelines, goals, deadlines, and consequences for all local environmental programs subject to state oversight, including but not limited to the CUPAs, the County Agricultural Commissioners, Air Quality Control Districts, and local solid waste enforcement agencies. Auditing of local agencies (such as DTSC and Water Board’s periodic auditing of CUPAs) should be coordinated, consistent and complete.

To be successful, we will need to assess the strengths and weaknesses of local enforcement programs and work with partners to deploy their resources effectively. CalEPA staff should also make efforts to effectively coordinate with local agencies outside of the CalEPA’s purview. For example, to the extent possible, the BDOs should coordinate investigation, inspection, enforcement and compliance assistance efforts with cities, counties, and District Attorneys. Close ties with local programs will also assist in collection of information and data that will assist in determining where to target state resources. Where oversight is indirect, and where appropriate, CalEPA will leverage its expertise to provide informal and formal input in local enforcement efforts. CalEPA also reserves the right to formally participate in the public processes provided by local agencies during permitting and enforcement activities.

4. **Multi-Media and Cross-Program Enforcement**

Effective enforcement looks across our statutory and organizational “stove-pipes” to successfully meet our goals to protect public health and the environment.

The CalEPA boards and departments, and CalEPA itself, have responsibility to assure compliance with a multitude of state and federal environmental laws. CalEPA is positioned to explore opportunities to effectively and efficiently conduct enforcement efforts that address a broad range of potential environmental violations. Multimedia enforcement, pursuing a single facility for violations occurring in more than one media and under more than one statutory scheme, can address violations more efficiently for both the state and for facilities than serial inspections/actions by several boards and departments. Furthermore, cross-program strategies to address environmental problems in disadvantaged communities are increasingly important as CalEPA pursues efforts to assure environmental justice.

5. **Well-Trained Enforcement and Compliance Assistance Personnel**

An effective environmental enforcement and compliance program requires well-trained personnel and state of the art enforcement tools.

It is critical to a strong enforcement program to attract and retain a strong and well-trained workforce of inspectors, case developers and other enforcement personnel who can meaningfully assist regulated entities in achieving compliance and readily pursue enforcement opportunities. Enforcement requires not only a high degree of technical knowledge and deep knowledge of the relevant regulations, but also the skills to interact appropriately with the
regulated community and, in particular, those who violate the law. We also want to build excellence by providing the support, encouragement, mentoring and training to assure that each member of the enforcement workforce has the necessary tools to inspect, develop and prosecute the type and number of complicated cases we want to bring. That effort includes exploring technological tools that integrate data analysis across agencies.

Well-trained enforcement personnel also understand how to meaningfully and transparently interact with impacted communities. Apart from being responsive to community complaints, CalEPA will consider pathways for incorporating community based science, traditional ecological knowledge and participatory research in data gathering efforts. BDOs should also develop protocols around communicating inspection and sampling results, along with enforcement outcomes, with affected communities.

6. **State and Federal Enforcement Partners**

**Strong partnerships with other state and federal agencies are essential**

Enforcement cases often involve partnerships with other entities, including the California Attorney General in their independent capacity, U.S. EPA, the U.S. DOJ, the Resources Agency, CalGEM, the State Lands Commission and others. The success of our state enforcement programs hinge on developing and maintaining excellent working relationships with these other state and federal enforcement entities. Having a central point of contact at CalEPA to coordinate enforcement work with other state and federal entities will enhance CalEPA’s ability to lead state-wide. In addition, it is important that our state partners have a clear point of contact to raise enforcement related issues and a clear counterpart at the agency level with whom to coordinate on broad issues such as evaluation of legislative cross-media enforcement proposals and response to judicial decisions that have cross-media enforcement effects.

7. **Tribal Enforcement Partners**

**Building strong government-to-government relations with California Native American Tribes**

California has the second largest number of federally-recognized tribes in the nation, and, according to the 2010 US Census, the largest Native American population in the United States. All California Native American Tribes, whether officially recognized by the federal government or not, have environmental, economic and public health concerns that are at times different from and at times similar to concerns of non-Tribe California residents. Enforcement agencies need to establish strong government-to-government relations with California Native American Tribes and effective partnerships, including enforcement action partnerships, to ensure protection of members of Native American Tribes and Tribal resources from environmental harms.
8. **Enforcement and Program Integration**

A strong enforcement and compliance program requires coordination between permitting, policy and enforcement to assure that priorities are in alignment.

Program functions such as rulemaking and permitting must be carried out with a view toward the ultimate enforcement of the rules that are adopted and conditions included in permits. That requires coordination between enforcement staff and program staff in the update of current regulations and the development of new rules and permit conditions. CalEPA will develop agency-wide protocols for that coordination, including protocols for consideration in each permit decision and rulemaking decision of how compliance with new rule requirements and permit conditions will be monitored and enforced.

9. **Enforcement and Communications Coordination**

The deterrent effect of enforcement is lost if the regulated community never learns of enforcement actions by the CalEPA boards and departments. An effective enforcement program requires dedicated attention to the most effective methods of making certain that the regulated community and affected community members learn of all enforcement activity. This can be through traditional media for major enforcement actions, but can also be through trade publications and other resources for more routine enforcement actions. CalEPA will develop agency-wide protocols for coordination between enforcement with communications operations, with requirements that notice of all enforcement action be disseminated effectively to the regulated community.

10. **Equity in Enforcement**

The State recognizes historical and ongoing inequity in the distribution of environmental burdens and benefits among Californians. In an effort to remedy those inequities, CalEPA BDOs should develop policies and metrics to ensure equitable deployment of enforcement and compliance resources. A key goal of CalEPA’s enforcement program is to prioritize the deployment of enforcement resources to communities with highest pollution burdens and environmental risks. This means that BDO enforcement chiefs should work closely with the EJ Task Force to ensure coordination and integration of the Task Force’s work into each BDO’s enforcement agenda. Likewise, BDOs should integrate community based enforcement leads, such as tips and complaints from the Identifying Violations Affecting Neighborhoods (IVAN) networks, into enforcement efforts.

To measure progress in enforcement equity, CalEPA should develop protocols to compare enforcement results, such as pounds of pollution reduced and penalties assessed, to data focused on environmental risk. Environmental risk may be measured through data-driven tools such as CalEnviroScreen.

**Conclusion**

I want to recognize the excellent enforcement work that has already taken place in CalEPA’s boards and departments.
This plan to enhance CalEPA’s enforcement coordination and oversight, including ensuring that all board and department enforcement efforts incorporate the elements set forth above, will require work by all of us. With this in mind, the Office of the Secretary’s Assistant General Counsel for Enforcement (Enforcement AGC) will oversee and coordinate the development of a cross-BDO enforcement program that meets the goals set forth in this memo and provide regular updates on progress. The Enforcement AGC will work with the BDOs to first develop and document a baseline understanding of CalEPA’s current enforcement activities. The Enforcement AGC will then work with the BDOs to develop policies and provide guidance aimed at building the enforcement capacities and cultures outlined above. CalEPA-wide enforcement staff meetings will be held quarterly.

I am looking forward to doing the required work together to produce the very best environmental results we can. Thank you.
CHAPTER 92

AN ACT concerning the disproportionate environmental and public health impacts of pollution on overburdened communities, and supplementing Title 13 of the Revised Statutes.

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

C.13:1D-157 Findings, declarations relative to impact of pollution on overburdened communities.

1. The Legislature finds and declares that all New Jersey residents, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, color, or national origin, have a right to live, work, and recreate in a clean and healthy environment; that, historically, New Jersey’s low-income communities and communities of color have been subject to a disproportionately high number of environmental and public health stressors, including pollution from numerous industrial, commercial, and governmental facilities located in those communities; that, as a result, residents in the State’s overburdened communities have suffered from increased adverse health effects including, but not limited to, asthma, cancer, elevated blood lead levels, cardiovascular disease, and developmental disorders; that children are especially vulnerable to the adverse health effects caused by exposure to pollution, and that such health effects may severely limit a child’s potential for future success; that the adverse effects caused by pollution impede the growth, stability, and long-term well-being of individuals and families living in overburdened communities; that the legacy of siting sources of pollution in overburdened communities continues to pose a threat to the health, well-being, and economic success of the State’s most vulnerable residents; and that it is past time for the State to correct this historical injustice.

The Legislature further finds and declares that no community should bear a disproportionate share of the adverse environmental and public health consequences that accompany the State’s economic growth; that the State’s overburdened communities must have a meaningful opportunity to participate in any decision to allow in such communities certain types of facilities which, by the nature of their activity, have the potential to increase environmental and public health stressors; and that it is in the public interest for the State, where appropriate, to limit the future placement and expansion of such facilities in overburdened communities.

C.13:1D-158 Definitions relative to impact of pollution on overburdened communities.

2. As used in this act:

“Department” means the Department of Environmental Protection.

“Environmental or public health stressors” means sources of environmental pollution, including, but not limited to, concentrated areas of air pollution, mobile sources of air pollution, contaminated sites, transfer stations or other solid waste facilities, recycling facilities, scrap yards, and point-sources of water pollution including, but not limited to, water pollution from facilities or combined sewer overflows; or conditions that may cause potential public health impacts, including, but not limited to, asthma, cancer, elevated blood lead levels, cardiovascular disease, and developmental problems in the overburdened community.
“Facility” means any: (1) major source of air pollution; (2) resource recovery facility or incinerator; (3) sludge processing facility, combustor, or incinerator; (4) sewage treatment plant with a capacity of more than 50 million gallons per day; (5) transfer station or other solid waste facility, or recycling facility intending to receive at least 100 tons of recyclable material per day; (6) scrap metal facility; (7) landfill, including, but not limited to, a landfill that accepts ash, construction or demolition debris, or solid waste; or (8) medical waste incinerator; except that “facility” shall not include a facility as defined in section 3 of P.L.1989, c.34 (C.13:1E-48.3) that accepts regulated medical waste for disposal, including a medical waste incinerator, that is attendant to a hospital or university and intended to process self-generated regulated medical waste.

“Limited English proficiency” means that a household does not have an adult that speaks English “very well” according to the United States Census Bureau.

“Low-income household” means a household that is at or below twice the poverty threshold as that threshold is determined annually by the United States Census Bureau.

“Major source” means a major source of air pollution as defined by the federal “Clean Air Act,” 42 U.S.C. s.7401 et seq., or in rules and regulations adopted by the department pursuant to the “Air Pollution Control Act,” P.L.1954, c.212 (C.26:2C-1 et seq.) or which directly emits, or has the potential to emit, one hundred tons per year or more of any air pollutant, or other applicable criteria set forth in the federal “Clean Air Act,” 42 U.S.C. s.7401 et seq.

“Overburdened community” means any census block group, as determined in accordance with the most recent United States Census, in which: (1) at least 35 percent of the households qualify as low-income households; (2) at least 40 percent of the residents identify as minority or as members of a State recognized tribal community; or (3) at least 40 percent of the households have limited English proficiency.

required for a minor modification of a facility’s major source permit for activities or improvements that do not increase emissions.

C.13:1D-159 List of overburdened communities on website.

3. No later than 120 days after the effective date of this act, the department shall publish and maintain on its Internet website a list of overburdened communities in the State. The department shall update the list of overburdened communities at least once every two years. The department shall notify a municipality if any part of the municipality has been designated an overburdened community pursuant to this act.

C.13:1D-160 Requirements for permit applicants.

4. a. Beginning immediately upon the adoption of the rules and regulations required pursuant to section 5 of this act, the department shall not consider complete for review any application for a permit for a new facility or for the expansion of an existing facility, or any application for the renewal of an existing facility’s major source permit, if the facility is located, or proposed to be located, in whole or in part, in an overburdened community, unless the permit applicant first:

(1) Prepares an environmental justice impact statement that assesses the potential environmental and public health stressors associated with the proposed new or expanded facility, or with the existing major source, as applicable, including any adverse environmental or public health stressors that cannot be avoided if the permit is granted, and the environmental or public health stressors already borne by the overburdened community as a result of existing conditions located in or affecting the overburdened community;

(2) Transmits the environmental justice impact statement required to be prepared pursuant to paragraph (1) of this subsection, at least 60 days in advance of the public hearing required pursuant to paragraph (3) of this subsection, to the department and to the governing body and the clerk of the municipality in which the overburdened community is located. Upon receipt, the department shall publish the environmental justice impact statement on its Internet website; and

(3) Organizes and conducts a public hearing in the overburdened community. The permit applicant shall publish a notice of the public hearing in at least two newspapers circulating within the overburdened community, including one local non-English language newspaper, if applicable, not less than 60 days prior to the public hearing. The permit applicant shall provide a copy of the notice to the department, and the department shall publish the notice on its Internet website and in the monthly bulletin published pursuant to section 6 of P.L.1975, c.232 (C.13:1D-34). The notice of the public hearing shall provide the date, time, and location of the public hearing, a description of the proposed new or expanded facility or existing major source, as applicable, a map indicating the location of the facility, a brief summary of the environmental justice impact statement, information on how an interested person may review a copy of the complete environmental justice impact statement, an address for the submittal of written comments to the permit applicant, and any other information deemed appropriate by the department. At least 60 days prior to the public hearing, the permit applicant shall send a copy of the notice to the department and to the
governing body and the clerk of the municipality in which the overburdened community is located. The applicant shall invite the municipality to participate in the public hearing. At the public hearing, the permit applicant shall provide clear, accurate, and complete information about the proposed new or expanded facility, or existing major source, as applicable, and the potential environmental and public health stressors associated with the facility. The permit applicant shall accept written and oral comments from any interested party, and provided an opportunity for meaningful public participation at the public hearing. The permit applicant shall transcribe the public hearing and, no later than 10 days after the public hearing, submit the transcript along with any written comments received, to the department. Following the public hearing, the department shall consider the testimony presented and any written comments received, and evaluate the issuance of, or conditions to, the permit, as necessary in order to avoid or reduce the adverse environmental or public health stressors affecting the overburdened community.

The department may require the applicant to consolidate the public hearing held pursuant to this paragraph with any other public hearing held or required by the department regarding the permit application, provided the public hearing meets the other requirements of this paragraph. The department shall consider a request by a permit applicant to consolidate required public hearings and, if the request is granted by the department, the consolidation shall not preclude an application from being deemed complete for review pursuant to subsection a. of this section.

b. Notwithstanding the provisions of P.L.1975, c.232 (C.13:1D-29 et seq.) or any other law, or rule or regulation adopted pursuant thereto, to the contrary, the department shall not issue a decision on an application for a permit for a new facility or for the expansion of an existing facility, or on an application for the renewal of an existing facility’s major source permit, if such facility is located, or proposed to be located, in whole or in part in an overburdened community until at least 45 days after the public hearing held pursuant to paragraph (3) of subsection a. of this subsection.

c. Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law, or rule or regulation adopted pursuant thereto, to the contrary, the department shall, after review of the environmental justice impact statement prepared pursuant to paragraph (1) of subsection a. of this section and any other relevant information, including testimony and written comments received at the public hearing, deny a permit for a new facility upon a finding that approval of the permit, as proposed, would, together with other environmental or public health stressors affecting the overburdened community, cause or contribute to adverse cumulative environmental or public health stressors in the overburdened community that are higher than those borne by other communities within the State, county, or other geographic unit of analysis as determined by the department pursuant to rule, regulation, or guidance adopted or issued pursuant to section 5 of this act, except that where the department determines that a new facility will serve a compelling public interest in the community where it is to be located, the department may grant a permit that imposes conditions on the construction and operation of the facility to protect public health.

d. Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law, or rule or regulation adopted pursuant thereto, to the contrary, the department may, after review of the environmental
justice impact statement prepared pursuant to paragraph (1) of subsection a. of this section and any other relevant information, including testimony and written comments received at the public hearing, apply conditions to a permit for the expansion of an existing facility, or the renewal of an existing facility’s major source permit, concerning the construction and operation of the facility to protect public health, upon a finding that approval of a permit or permit renewal, as proposed, would, together with other environmental or public health stressors affecting the overburdened community, cause or contribute to adverse cumulative environmental or public health stressors in the overburdened community that are higher than those borne by other communities within the State, county, or other geographic unit of analysis as determined by the department pursuant to rule, regulation, or guidance adopted or issued pursuant to section 5 of this act.

e. If a permit applicant is applying for more than one permit for a proposed new or expanded facility, the permit applicant shall only be required to comply with the provisions of this section once, unless the department, in its discretion, determines that more than one public hearing is necessary due to the complexity of the permit applications necessary for the proposed new or expanded facility. Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the authority of the department to hold or require additional public hearings, as may be required by any other law, rule, or regulation.

f. Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the right of an applicant to continue facility operations during the process of permit renewal to the extent such right is conveyed by applicable law, rule, or regulation, including the application shield provisions of the rules and regulations adopted pursuant to the “Air Pollution Control Act (1954),” P.L.1954, c.212 (C.26:2C-1 et seq.).

g. In addition to any other fee authorized by law, rule, or regulation, the department shall assess each permit applicant a reasonable fee in order to cover the department’s costs associated with the implementation of this act, including costs to provide technical assistance to permit applicants and overburdened communities as needed to comply with this act.

C.13:1D-161 Rules, regulations.

5. a. The department shall adopt, pursuant to the “Administrative Procedure Act,” P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.) rules and regulations to implement the provisions of this act.

b. The department may issue a technical guidance for compliance with this act, which the department shall publish on its Internet website.

6. This act shall take effect immediately.

Approved September 18, 2020.

Section 13:1D-157 - Findings, declarations relative to impact of pollution on overburdened communities

The Legislature finds and declares that all New Jersey residents, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, color, or national origin, have a right to live, work, and recreate in a clean and healthy environment; that, historically, New Jersey's low-income communities and communities of color have been subject to a disproportionately high number of environmental and public health stressors, including pollution from numerous industrial, commercial, and governmental facilities located in those communities; that, as a result, residents in the State's overburdened communities have suffered from increased adverse health effects including, but not limited to, asthma, cancer, elevated blood lead levels, cardiovascular disease, and developmental disorders; that children are especially vulnerable to the adverse health effects caused by exposure to pollution, and that such health effects may severely limit a child's potential for future success; that the adverse effects caused by pollution impede the growth, stability, and long-term well-being of individuals and families living in overburdened communities; that the legacy of siting sources of pollution in overburdened communities continues to pose a threat to the health, well-being, and economic success of the State's most vulnerable residents; and that it is past time for the State to correct this historical injustice.

The Legislature further finds and declares that no community should bear a disproportionate share of the adverse environmental and public health consequences that accompany the State's economic growth; that the State's overburdened communities must have a meaningful opportunity to participate in any decision to allow in such communities certain types of facilities which, by the nature of their activity, have the potential to increase environmental and public health stressors; and that it is in the public interest for the State, where appropriate, to limit the future placement and expansion of such facilities in overburdened communities.

N.J.S. § 13:1D-157

Added by L. 2020, c. 92, s. 1, eff. 9/18/2020.
Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act

An Overview

On July 18, 2019, The New York State Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act (CLCPA) was enacted into law. The CLCPA (Chapter 106 of 2019) establishes aggressive, legally binding targets to completely transition New York’s economy off fossil fuels. This new law has significant implications for every sector, and will spur innovation, create jobs, and make New York a true global climate leader.

To get from where we are today to a fossil-fuel free New York by 2050, the law creates a framework of emissions reduction targets, action plans, a blueprint for an equitable transition across all sectors of the economy, and important government reporting and accountability measures.

Key Provisions

Emission Reduction Targets

The goal of the CLCPA is to eliminate 100% of the climate pollution caused by humans, calling for an 85% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions by 2050, with an interim target of 40% by 2030.

The legislation allows for the remaining 15% of emissions beyond the 85% reduction mandate to be achieved by a very limited offset program that only some select industries can participate in. The CLCPA also provides for an electricity-sector mandate, requiring 70% of all of New York’s electricity to come from renewable sources by 2030 and 100% zero emission generation by 2040. The electric generation sector is not one that can participate in the offset program.

Action Plan

To meet the objectives set out in the CLCPA, all of state government will need to reorient its many decision making processes. This will be achieved mainly through the development and implementation of a Climate Action Plan.

The Climate Action Plan will be created by a Climate Action Council, which will consist of 22 members from key state agencies and independent legislative appointments. The Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) and New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA) will co-lead the council.

The Council’s work will be informed by several newly created working groups and expert advisory panels, including a Climate Justice Working Group, Environmental Justice Advisory Group, and Just Transition Working Group. The Council will be expected to develop a plan that provides detailed recommendations for action in the areas of transportation, energy intensive and trade-exposed industries, land use and local government, energy efficiency and housing, power generation, and agriculture and forestry.

continued on next page
Climate Leadership and Community Protection Act

An Overview ...continued

The plan will guide the adoption of rules and regulations to ensure compliance with state-wide emissions limits and implementation of the recommendations contained within the final plan. The initial draft Action Plan is due by January 1, 2022 with the final report due by January 1, 2023.

Just Transition

Disadvantaged communities are suffering the effects of climate change and pollution today. The CLCPA acknowledges the need to assist in a 'Just Transition' off fossil fuels and significantly incorporates the principles of equity and environmental justice in the investment and decision-making framework. The CLCPA establishes the Climate Justice Working Group, the Environmental Justice Advisory Group, and the Just Transition Working Group, which will all play different roles in the just transition off of fossil fuels.

The Climate Justice Working Group will help identify disadvantaged communities who under the legislation will receive at least 35% of the benefits of clean energy and energy efficiency initiatives implemented by the state. The Environmental Justice Advisory Group will advise state agencies to embed the concept of equity in state agency decision-making and the Just Transition Working Group will prepare a comprehensive workforce development plan for the Climate Action Council.

Reporting and Accountability

In order to measure progress, the CLCPA contains a number of accountability measures, including:

- A comprehensive report on statewide greenhouse gas emissions, including emissions associated with imported electricity and fossil fuels. (Annual, DEC)

- Period reports on the progress toward meeting greenhouse gas limits and recommending modifications to regulations to ensure the state meets climate and equity goals. (Once every four years, DEC)

- Mandates for all of state government to apply a climate and equity screen to every decision they make, from launching new programs to issuing a permit and authorizes state agencies and authorities to promulgate regulations to implement the policies of the CLCPA.

- Requirements for DEC to monitor and enforce compliance with its greenhouse gas emission reduction regulations.

- Increased legal standing for the public to challenge agency decisions.
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AN ACT to amend the environmental conservation law, the public service law, the public authorities law, the labor law and the community risk and resiliency act, in relation to establishing the New York state climate leadership and community protection act

The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

1  Section 1. Legislative findings and declaration. The legislature here-
2  by enacts the "New York state climate leadership and community
3  protection act" and finds and declares that:

      EXPLANATION--Matter in italics (underscored) is new; matter in brackets [-] is old law to be omitted.
1. Climate change is adversely affecting economic well-being, public health, natural resources, and the environment of New York. The adverse impacts of climate change include:

a. an increase in the severity and frequency of extreme weather events, such as storms, flooding, and heat waves, which can cause direct injury or death, property damage, and ecological damage (e.g., through the release of hazardous substances into the environment);

b. rising sea levels, which exacerbate damage from storm surges and flooding, contribute to coastal erosion and saltwater intrusion, and inundate low-lying areas, leading to the displacement of or damage to coastal habitat, property, and infrastructure;

c. a decline in freshwater and saltwater fish populations;

d. increased average temperatures, which increase the demand for air conditioning and refrigeration among residents and businesses;

e. exacerbation of air pollution; and

f. an increase in the incidences of infectious diseases, asthma attacks, heart attacks, and other negative health outcomes. These impacts are having a detrimental effect on some of New York's largest industries, including agriculture, commercial shipping, forestry, tourism, and recreational and commercial fishing. These impacts also place additional strain on the physical infrastructure that delivers critical services to the citizens of New York, including the state's energy, transportation, stormwater, and wastewater infrastructure.

2. a. The severity of current climate change and the threat of additional and more severe change will be affected by the actions undertaken by New York and other jurisdictions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. According to the U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP) and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions will be required by mid-century in order to limit global warming to no more than 2°C and ideally 1.5°C, and thus minimize the risk of severe impacts from climate change. Specifically, industrialized countries must reduce their greenhouse gas emissions by at least 80% below 1990 levels by 2050 in order to stabilize carbon dioxide equivalent concentrations at 450 parts per million—the level required to stay within the 2°C target.

b. On December 12, 2015, one hundred ninety-five countries at the 21st Conference of the parties of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change adopted an agreement addressing greenhouse gas emissions mitigation, adaptation, and finance starting in the year 2020, known as the Paris Agreement. The Paris Agreement was adopted on November 4, 2016, and is the largest concerted global effort to combat climate change to date.

3. Action undertaken by New York to reduce greenhouse emissions will have an impact on global greenhouse gas emissions and the rate of climate change. In addition, such action will encourage other jurisdictions to implement complementary greenhouse gas reduction strategies and provide an example of how such strategies can be implemented. It will also advance the development of green technologies and sustainable practices within the private sector, which can have far-reaching impacts such as a reduction in the cost of renewable energy components, and the creation of jobs and tax revenues in New York.

4. It shall therefore be a goal of the state of New York to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from all anthropogenic sources 100% over 1990 levels by the year 2050, with an incremental target of at least a 40 percent reduction in climate pollution by the year 2030, in line with
USGCRP and IPCC projections of what is necessary to avoid the most severe impacts of climate change.

5. Although substantial emissions reductions are necessary to avoid the most severe impacts of climate change, complementary adaptation measures will also be needed to address those risks that cannot be avoided. Some of the impacts of climate change are already observable in New York state and the northeastern United States. Annual average temperatures are on the rise, winter snow cover is decreasing, heat waves and precipitation are intensifying, and sea levels along New York's coastline are approximately one foot higher than they were in 1900. New York has also experienced an increasing number of extreme and unusual weather events, like Hurricanes Irene and Lee and the unprecedented Superstorm Sandy in 2012, which caused at least 53 deaths and $32 billion in damage in New York state.

6. New York should therefore minimize the risks associated with climate change through a combination of measures to reduce statewide greenhouse gas emissions and improve the resiliency of the state with respect to the impacts and risks of climate change that cannot be avoided.

7. Climate change especially heightens the vulnerability of disadvantaged communities, which bear environmental and socioeconomic burdens as well as legacies of racial and ethnic discrimination. Actions undertaken by New York state to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions should prioritize the safety and health of disadvantaged communities, control potential regressive impacts of future climate change mitigation and adaptation policies on these communities, and prioritize the allocation of public investments in these areas.

8. Creating good jobs and a thriving economy is a core concern of New York state. Shaping the ongoing transition in our energy sector to ensure that it creates good jobs and protects workers and communities that may lose employment in the current transition must be key concerns of our climate policy. Setting clear standards for job quality and training standards encourages not only high-quality work but positive economic impacts.

9. Workers are at the front lines of climate change. Construction workers and building service workers were some of the first workers dedicated to cleaning up damage inflicted by recent storms. These workers were often operating in unsafe and toxic environments, cleaning up mold, and working in unstable buildings. In order to protect the health and welfare of these workers, it is in the interest of the state of New York to establish safe and healthy working conditions and proper training for workers involved in climate change related activities. In addition, much of the infrastructure work preparing our state for additional climate change events must happen quickly and efficiently. It is in the interest of the state to ensure labor harmony and promote efficient performance of work on climate change related work sites by requiring workers to be well-trained and adequately compensated.

10. Ensuring career opportunities are created and shared geographically and demographically is necessary to ensure increased access to good jobs for marginalized communities while making the same neighborhoods more resilient. Climate change has a disproportionate impact on low-income people, women, and workers. It is in the interest of the state of New York to protect and promote the interests of these groups against the impacts of climate change and severe weather events and to advance our equity goals by ensuring quality employment opportunities in safe working environments.
11. The complexity of the ongoing energy transition, the uneven
distribution of economic opportunity, and the disproportionate cumula-
tive economic and environmental burdens on communities mean that there
is a strong state interest in setting a floor statewide for labor stand-
ards, but allowing and encouraging individual agencies and local govern-
ments to raise standards.
12. By exercising a global leadership role on greenhouse gas miti-
gation and climate change adaptation, New York will position its econo-
my, technology centers, financial institutions, and businesses to bene-
fit from national and international efforts to address climate change.
New York state has already demonstrated leadership in this area by
undertaking efforts such as:
   a. executive order no. 24 (2009), establishing a goal to reduce green-
house gas emissions 80% by the year 2050, creating a climate action
council, and calling for preparation of a climate action plan;
   b. chapter 433 of the laws of 2009, establishing a state energy plan-
ning board and requiring the board to adopt a state energy plan;
   c. chapter 388 of the laws of 2011, directing the department of envi-
ronmental conservation to promulgate rules and regulations limiting
emissions of carbon dioxide by newly constructed major generating facil-
ities;
   d. the adoption of a state energy plan establishing clean energy goals
for the year 2030 aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emission levels by
40% from 1990 levels, producing 70% of electricity from renewable sourc-
es, increasing energy efficiency from 2012 levels by 23% and the addi-
tional expressed goal of reducing 100% of the electricity sector's
greenhouse gas emissions by 2040;
   e. collaboration with other states on the Regional Greenhouse Gas
Initiative, and the development of a regional low carbon fuel standard;
   f. creation of new offices and task forces to address climate change,
including the New York state office of climate change, the renewable
energy task force, and the sea level rise task force; and
   g. the enactment of the Community Risk and Resiliency Act (CRRA),
which requires agencies to consider sea level rise and other climate-re-
lated events when implementing certain state programs.
This legislation will build upon these past developments by creating a
comprehensive regulatory program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions that
corresponds with the targets established in executive order no. 24, the
state energy plan, and USGCRP and IPCC projections.
§ 2. The environmental conservation law is amended by adding a new
article 75 to read as follows:

**ARTICLE 75**

**CLIMATE CHANGE**

Section 75-0101. Definitions.
75-0103. New York state climate action council.
75-0105. Statewide greenhouse gas emissions report.
75-0107. Statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits.
75-0109. Promulgation of regulations to achieve statewide green-
house gas emissions reductions.
75-0111. Climate justice working group.
75-0113. Value of carbon.
75-0115. Community air monitoring program.
75-0117. Investment of funds.
75-0119. Implementation reporting.

§ 75-0101. Definitions.
For the purposes of this article the following terms shall have the following meanings:

1. "Allowance" means an authorization to emit, during a specified year, up to one ton of carbon dioxide equivalent.
2. "Carbon dioxide equivalent" means the amount of carbon dioxide by mass that would produce the same global warming impact as a given mass of another greenhouse gas over an integrated twenty-year time frame after emission.
4. "Council" means the New York State climate action council established pursuant to section 75-0103 of this article.
5. "Disadvantaged communities" means communities that bear burdens of negative public health effects, environmental pollution, impacts of climate change, and possess certain socioeconomic criteria, or comprise high-concentrations of low- and moderate-income households, as identified pursuant to section 75-0111 of this article.
6. "Emissions reduction measures" means programs, measures and standards, authorized pursuant to this chapter, applicable to sources or categories of sources, that are designed to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases.
7. "Greenhouse gas" means carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and any other substance emitted into the air that may be reasonably anticipated to cause or contribute to anthropogenic climate change.
8. "Greenhouse gas emission limit" means the maximum allowable level of statewide greenhouse gas emissions in a specified year, expressed in tons of carbon dioxide equivalent, as determined by the department pursuant to this article.
9. "Greenhouse gas emission offset" means a deduction representing one metric ton of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions, reduced, avoided, or sequestered by a greenhouse gas emission offset project from a measured baseline of emissions pursuant to the statewide greenhouse gas emissions report.
10. "Greenhouse gas emission offset projects" means one or more projects, including:
    a. Natural carbon sinks including but not limited to afforestation, reforestation, or wetlands restoration;
    b. Greening infrastructure;
    c. Restoration and sustainable management of natural and urban forests or working lands, grasslands, coastal wetlands and sub-tidal habitats;
    d. Efforts to reduce hydrofluorocarbon refrigerant, sulfur hexafluoride, and other ozone-depleting substance releases;
    e. Anaerobic digesters, where energy produced is directed toward localized use;
    f. Carbon capture and sequestration;
    g. Ecosystem restoration; and
    h. Other types of projects recommended by the council in consultation with the climate justice working group that provide public health and environmental benefits, and do not create burdens in disadvantaged communities.
11. "Greenhouse gas emission source" or "source" means any anthropogenic source or category of anthropogenic sources of greenhouse gas emissions, determined by the department:
    a. whose participation in the program will enable the department to effectively reduce greenhouse gas emissions; and.
b. that are capable of being monitored for compliance.

12. "Leakage" means a reduction in emissions of greenhouse gases within the state that is offset by an increase in emissions of greenhouse gases outside of the state.

13. "Statewide greenhouse gas emissions" means the total annual emissions of greenhouse gases produced within the state from anthropogenic sources and greenhouse gases produced outside of the state that are associated with the generation of electricity imported into the state and the extraction and transmission of fossil fuels imported into the state. Statewide emissions shall be expressed in tons of carbon dioxide equivalents.

14. "Statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit" or "statewide emissions limit" means the maximum allowable level of statewide greenhouse gas emissions in a specified year, as determined by the department pursuant to this article.

15. "Environmental justice advisory group" shall mean the permanent environmental justice advisory group established by a chapter of the laws of two thousand nineteen amending the environmental conservation law relating to establishing a permanent environmental justice advisory group and an environmental justice interagency coordinating council, as proposed in legislative bills numbers S. 2385 and A. 1564.

§ 75-0103. New York state climate action council.

1. There is hereby established the New York state climate action council ("council") which shall consist of the following twenty-two members:

a. the commissioners of transportation, health, economic development, agriculture and markets, housing and community renewal, environmental conservation, labor, the chairperson of the public service commission, the presidents of the New York state energy research and development authority; New York power authority; Long Island power authority; the secretary of state, or their designees.

b. two non-agency expert members appointed by the governor;

c. three members to be appointed by the temporary president of the senate;

d. three members to be appointed by the speaker of the assembly;

e. one member to be appointed by the minority leader of the senate;

f. one member to be appointed by the minority leader of the assembly.

2. The at large members shall include at all times individuals with expertise in issues relating to climate change mitigation and/or adaptation, such as environmental justice, labor, public health and regulated industries.

3. Council members shall receive no compensation for their services but shall be reimbursed for actual and necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

4. The co-chairpersons of the council shall be the commissioner of environmental conservation and the president of the New York state energy research and development authority or their designees.

5. Each member of the council shall be entitled to one vote. The council's approval and adoption of the final scoping plan pursuant to this section, and any subsequent interim updates thereto, shall require a supermajority of the council. No action may be taken by the council unless there is a quorum, which shall at all times be a majority of the members of the council.

6. Any vacancies on the council shall be filled in the manner provided for the initial appointment.
7. The council shall convene advisory panels requiring special expertise and, at a minimum, shall establish advisory panels on transportation, energy intensive and trade-exposed industries, land-use and local government, energy efficiency and housing, power generation, and agriculture and forestry. The purpose of the advisory panels shall be to provide recommendations to the council on specific topics, in its preparation of the scoping plan, and interim updates to the scoping plan, and in fulfilling the council's ongoing duties.

a. Each advisory panel shall be chaired by the relevant agency head or his or her designee. The council may convene and dissolve additional advisory panels, in its sole discretion, and pursuant to the requirements herein.

b. Advisory panels shall be comprised of no more than five voting members. The council shall elect advisory panel members, and such membership shall at all times represent individuals with direct involvement or expertise in matters to be addressed by the advisory panels pursuant to this section.

c. Advisory panels shall work directly with the council on the preparation of the scoping plan pursuant to this section. Each advisory panel shall coordinate with the environmental justice advisory group and climate justice working group.

d. All agencies of the state or subdivisions thereof may, at the request of any such advisory panel or the council, provide the advisory panel with such facilities, assistance, and data as will enable advisory panels to carry out their powers and duties.

8. The council shall convene a just transition working group. The working group shall be chaired by the commissioner of labor and the president of the New York state energy research and development authority and shall consist of no less than thirteen, but no more than seventeen members and shall include the commissioners of housing and community renewal, the chair of the department of public service, representatives of environmental justice communities and representatives of labor organizations, clean energy developers and at least five representatives of distinct energy-intensive industries. The just transition working group shall:

a. advise the council on issues and opportunities for workforce development and training related to energy efficiency measures, renewable energy and other clean energy technologies, with specific focus on training and workforce opportunities for disadvantaged communities, and segments of the population that may be underrepresented in the clean energy workforce such as veterans, women and formerly incarcerated persons;

b. identify energy-intensive industries and related trades and identify sector specific impacts of the state's current workforce and avenues to maximize the skills and expertise of New York state workers in the new energy economy;

c. identify sites of electric generating facilities that may be closed as a result of a transition to a clean energy sector and the issues and opportunities presented by reuse of those sites;

d. with respect to potential for greenhouse gas emission limits developed by the department of environmental conservation pursuant to this article, advise the council on the potential impacts of carbon leakage risk on New York state industries and local host communities, including the impact of any potential carbon reduction measures on the competitiveness of New York state business and industry;
e. advise the council and conduct stakeholder outreach on any other workforce matters directed by the council; and
f. at a time determined by the council, prepare and publish recommendations to the council on how to address: issues and opportunities related to the energy-intensive and trade-exposed entities; workforce development for trade-exposed entities, disadvantaged communities and underrepresented segments of the population; measures to minimize the carbon leakage risk and minimize anti-competitiveness impacts of any potential carbon policies and energy sector mandates.
g. The just transition working group is hereby authorized and directed to conduct a study of and report on:
   i. The number of jobs created to counter climate change, which shall include but not be limited to the energy sector, building sector, transportation sector, and working lands sector;
   ii. The projection of the inventory of jobs needed and the skills and training required to meet the demand of jobs to counter climate change; and
   iii. Workforce disruption due to community transitions from a low carbon economy.
9. The department and the New York state energy research and development authority shall provide the council with such facilities, assistance and data as will enable the council to carry out its powers and duties. Additionally, all other agencies of the state or subdivisions thereof may, at the request of the co-chairpersons, provide the council with such facilities, assistance, and data as will enable the council to carry out its powers and duties.
10. The council shall consult with the climate justice working group established in section 75-011 of this article, the department of state utility intervention unit, and the federally designated electric bulk system operator.
11. The council shall on or before two years of the effective date of this article, prepare and approve a scoping plan outlining the recommendations for attaining the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits in accordance with the schedule established in section 75-0107 of this article, and for the reduction of emissions beyond eighty-five percent, net zero emissions in all sectors of the economy, which shall inform the state energy planning board’s adoption of a state energy plan in accordance with section 6-104 of the energy law. The first state energy plan issued subsequent to completion of the scoping plan required by this section shall incorporate the recommendations of the council.
12. The draft scoping plan shall be developed in consultation with the environmental justice advisory group, and the climate justice working group established pursuant to section 75-0111 of this article and other stakeholders.
   a. The council shall hold at least six regional public comment hearings on the draft scoping plan, including three meetings in the upstate region and three meetings in the downstate region, and shall allow at least one hundred twenty days for the submission of public comment.
   b. The council shall provide meaningful opportunities for public comment from all segments of the population that will be impacted by the plan, including persons living in disadvantaged communities as identified pursuant to section 75-0111 of this article.
   c. On or before three years of the effective date of this article, the council shall submit the final scoping plan to the governor, the speaker of the assembly and the temporary president of the senate and post such plan on its website.
13. The scoping plan shall identify and make recommendations on regulatory measures and other state actions that will ensure the attainment of the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits established pursuant to section 75-0107 of this article. The measures and actions considered in such scoping plan shall at a minimum include:
   a. Performance-based standards for sources of greenhouse gas emissions, including but not limited to sources in the transportation, building, industrial, commercial, and agricultural sectors.
   b. Measures to reduce emissions from the electricity sector by displacing fossil-fuel fired electricity with renewable electricity or energy efficiency.
   c. Land-use and transportation planning measures aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions from motor vehicles.
   d. Measures to achieve long-term carbon sequestration and/or promote best management practices in land use, agriculture and forestry.
   e. Measures to achieve six gigawatts of distributed solar energy capacity installed in the state by two thousand twenty-five, nine gigawatts of offshore wind capacity installed by two thousand thirty-five, a statewide energy efficiency goal of one hundred eighty-five trillion British thermal units energy reduction from the two thousand twenty-five forecast; and three gigawatts of statewide energy storage capacity by two thousand thirty.
   f. Measures to promote the beneficial electrification of personal and freight transport and other strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the transportation sector.
   g. Measures to achieve reductions in energy use in existing residential or commercial buildings, including the beneficial electrification of water and space heating in buildings, establishing appliance efficiency standards, strengthening building energy codes, requiring annual building energy benchmarking, disclosing energy efficiency in home sales, and expanding the ability of state facilities to utilize performance contracting.
   h. Recommendations to aid in the transition of the state workforce and the rapidly emerging clean energy industry.
   i. Measures to achieve healthy forests that support clean air and water, biodiversity, and sequester carbon.
   j. Measures to limit the use of chemicals, substances or products that contribute to global climate change when released to the atmosphere, but are not intended for end-use combustion.
   k. Mechanisms to limit emission leakage as defined in subdivision eleven of section 75-0101 of this article.
   l. Verifiable, enforceable and voluntary emissions reduction measures.
14. In developing such plan the council shall:
   a. Consider all relevant information pertaining to greenhouse gas emissions reduction programs in states in the United States Climate Alliance, as well as other states, regions, localities, and nations.
   b. Evaluate, using the best available economic models, emission estimation techniques and other scientific methods, the total potential costs and potential economic and non-economic benefits of the plan for reducing greenhouse gases, and make such evaluation publicly available.
In conducting this evaluation, the council shall quantify:
   i. The economic and social benefits of greenhouse gas emissions reductions, taking into account the value of carbon, established by the department pursuant to section 75-0113 of this article, any other tools that the council deems useful and pertinent for this analysis, and any environmental, economic and public health co-benefits (such as the
reduction of co-pollutants and the diversification of energy sources); and

ii. The costs of implementing proposed emissions reduction measures, and the emissions reductions that the council anticipates achieving through these measures.

c. Take into account the relative contribution of each source or source category to statewide greenhouse gas emissions, and the potential for adverse effects on small businesses, and recommend a de minimis threshold of greenhouse gas emissions below which emission reduction requirements will not apply.

d. Identify measures to maximize reductions of both greenhouse gas emissions and co-pollutants in disadvantaged communities as identified pursuant to section 75-0111 of this article.

15. The council shall update its plan for achieving the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits at least once every five years and shall make such updates available to the governor, the speaker of the assembly and the temporary president of the senate and post such updates on its website.

16. The council shall identify existing climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts at the federal, state, and local levels and may make recommendations regarding how such policies may improve the state's efforts.

17. The council shall maintain a website that includes public access to the scoping plan and greenhouse gas limit information.

§ 75-0105. Statewide greenhouse gas emissions report.

1. No later than two years after the effective date of this article, and each year thereafter, the department shall issue a report on statewide greenhouse gas emissions, expressed in tons of carbon dioxide equivalents, from all greenhouse gas emission sources in the state, including the relative contribution of each type of greenhouse gas and each type of source to the statewide total.

2. The statewide greenhouse gas emissions report shall be a comprehensive evaluation, informed by a variety of data, including but not limited to:

   a. Information relating to the use of fossil fuels by sector, including for electricity generation, transportation, heating, and other combustion purposes;

   b. Information relating to fugitive and vented emissions from systems associated with the production, processing, transport, distribution, storage, and consumption of fossil fuels, including natural gas;

   c. Information relating to emissions from non-fossil fuel sources, including, but not limited to, garbage incinerators, biomass combustion, landfills and landfill gas generators, and anaerobic digesters;

   d. Information relating to emissions associated with manufacturing, chemical production, cement plants, and other processes that produce non-combustion emissions; and

   e. Information from sources that may be required to participate in the registration and reporting system pursuant to subdivision four of this section.

3. The statewide greenhouse gas emissions report shall also include an estimate of greenhouse gas emissions associated with the generation of imported electricity and with the extraction and transmission of fossil fuels imported into the state which shall be counted as part of the statewide total.

4. Within one year after the effective date of this article, the department shall consider establishing a mandatory registry and report-
ing system from individual sources to obtain data on greenhouse gas emissions exceeding a particular threshold. If established, such registry and reporting system shall apply a consistent reporting threshold to ensure the unbiased collection of data.

5. The statewide greenhouse gas emissions report shall also include an estimate of what the statewide greenhouse gas emissions level was in 1990.

6. The statewide greenhouse gas emissions report shall utilize best available science and methods of analysis, including the comparison and reconciliation of emission estimates from all sources, fuel consumption, field data, and peer-reviewed research.

7. The statewide greenhouse gas emissions report shall clearly explain the methodology and analysis used in the department’s determination of greenhouse gas emissions and shall include a detailed explanation of any changes in methodology or analysis, adjustments made to prior estimates, as needed, and any other information necessary to establish a scientifically credible account of change.

8. The department shall hold at least two public hearings to seek public input regarding the methodology and analysis used in the determination of statewide greenhouse gas emissions, and periodically thereafter.

§ 75-0107. Statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits.

1. No later than one year after the effective date of this article, the department shall, pursuant to rules and regulations promulgated after at least one public hearing, establish a statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit as a percentage of 1990 emissions, as estimated pursuant to section 75-0105 of this article, as follows:

   a. 2030: 60% of 1990 emissions.
   
   b. 2050: 15% of 1990 emissions.

2. Greenhouse gas emission limits shall be measured in units of carbon dioxide equivalents and identified for each individual type of greenhouse gas.

3. In order to ensure the most accurate determination feasible, the department shall utilize the best available scientific, technological, and economic information on greenhouse gas emissions and consult with the council, stakeholders, and the public in order to ensure that all emissions are accurately reflected in its determination of 1990 emissions levels.

4. In order to comply with the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits promulgated pursuant to this section, a source may utilize the alternative compliance mechanism established pursuant to subdivision four of section 75-0109 of this article. The use of such mechanism shall be in accordance with the provisions of that subdivision.

§ 75-0109. Promulgation of regulations to achieve statewide greenhouse gas emissions reductions.

1. No later than four years after the effective date of this article, the department, after public workshops and consultation with the council, the environmental justice advisory group, and the climate justice working group established pursuant to section 75-0111 of this article, representatives of regulated entities, community organizations, environmental groups, health professionals, labor unions, municipal corporations, trade associations and other stakeholders, shall, after no less than two public hearings, promulgate rules and regulations to ensure compliance with the statewide emissions reduction limits and work with other state agencies and authorities to promulgate regulations required
by section eight of the chapter of the laws of two thousand nineteen
that added this article.

2. The regulations promulgated by the department pursuant to this
section shall:
   a. Ensure that the aggregate emissions of greenhouse gases from green-
   house gas emission sources will not exceed the statewide greenhouse gas
   emissions limits established in section 75-0107 of this article.
   b. Include legally enforceable emissions limits, performance stand-
   ards, or measures or other requirements to control emissions from green-
   house gas emission sources, with the exception of agricultural emissions
   from livestock.
   c. Reflect, in substantial part, the findings of the scoping plan
   prepared pursuant to section 75-0103 of this article.
   d. Include measures to reduce emissions from greenhouse gas emission
   sources that have a cumulatively significant impact on statewide green-
   house gas emissions, such as internal combustion vehicles that burn
   gasoline or diesel fuel and boilers or furnaces that burn oil or natural
   gas.

3. In promulgating these regulations, the department shall:
   a. Design and implement all regulations in a manner that seeks to be
   equitable, to minimize costs and to maximize the total benefits to New
   York, and encourages early action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
   b. Ensure that greenhouse gas emissions reductions achieved are real,
   permanent, quantifiable, verifiable, and enforceable by the department.
   c. Ensure that activities undertaken to comply with the regulations do
   not result in a net increase in co-pollutant emissions or otherwise
   disproportionately burden disadvantaged communities as identified pursu-
   ant to section 75-0111 of this article.
   d. Prioritize measures to maximize net reductions of greenhouse gas
   emissions and co-pollutants in disadvantaged communities as identified
   pursuant to section 75-0111 of this article and encourage early action
   to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and co-pollutants.
   e. Incorporate measures to minimize leakage.

4. a. The department may establish an alternative compliance mechanism
   to be used by sources subject to greenhouse gas emissions limits to
   achieve net zero emissions.
   b. The use of such mechanism shall account for not greater than
   fifteen percent of statewide greenhouse gas emissions estimated as a
   percentage of nineteen ninety emissions pursuant to section 75-0105 of
   this article, provided that the use of this mechanism must offset a
   quantity greater than or equal to the greenhouse gases emitted. The
   offset of greenhouse gas emissions shall not result in disadvantaged
   communities having to bear a disproportionate burden of environmental
   impacts.
   c. The department shall verify that greenhouse gas emission offset
   projects authorized pursuant to this subdivision represent greenhouse
   gas equivalent emission reductions or carbon sequestration that are
   real, additional, verifiable, enforceable, and permanent.
   d. Any greenhouse gas emissions offset project shall comply with all
   of the requirements of this subdivision.
   e. The department shall establish an application process that, at a
   minimum, requires a source to sufficiently demonstrate that compliance
   with the greenhouse gas emissions limits is not technologically feasi-
   ble, and that the source has reduced emissions to the maximum extent
   practicable. After an initial four year period, the department shall
   review the participation of a source in this mechanism, and make a
determination as to the source’s continued need for an alternative
compliance, considering the extent to which the source is utilizing the
best available technology standards.

f. Sources in the electric generation sector shall not be eligible to
participate in such mechanism.

g. The following types of projects shall be prohibited:

i. Waste-to-energy projects, including incineration and pyrolysis; and

ii. Biofuels used for energy or transportation purposes.

h. Any greenhouse gas emission offset project approved by the depart-
ment shall:

i. Be designed to provide a discernable benefit to the environment
rather than to the source;

ii. Be located in the same county, and within twenty-five linear
miles, of the source of emissions, to the extent practicable;

iii. Enhance the conditions of the ecosystem or geographic area
adversely affected; and

iv. Substantially reduce or prevent the generation or release of
pollutants through source reduction.

i. A greenhouse gas emission offset project shall not be approved by
the department where the project:

i. Is required pursuant to any local, state or federal law, regu-
lation, or administrative or judicial order;

ii. Contains measures which the source would have undertaken anyway
within the next five years;

iii. Contributes to environmental research at a college or university;
or

iv. Is a study or assessment without a commitment to implement the
results.

j. In approving greenhouse gas emission offset projects, the depart-
ment shall prioritize projects that maximize public health and environ-
mental benefits within the state and especially localized benefits in
disadvantaged communities, defined pursuant to section 75-0111 of this
article.

k. The department shall establish a public registry of greenhouse gas
emission offset projects approved pursuant to this subdivision.

l. Prior to the inclusion of any alternative compliance mechanism in
the regulations, to the extent feasible and in the furtherance of
achieving the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit, the department
shall do all of the following:

i. Consult with the council, the environmental justice advisory group,
and the climate justice working group;

ii. Consider the potential for direct, indirect, and cumulative emis-
sion impacts from this mechanism, including localized impacts in disad-
vantaged communities as identified pursuant to section 75-0111 of this
article;

iii. Design the alternative compliance mechanism to prevent any
increase in the emissions of co-pollutants; and

iv. Maximize additional environmental, public health, and economic
benefits for the state and for disadvantaged communities identified
pursuant to section 75-0111 of this article, as appropriate.

§ 75-0111. Climate justice working group.

1. There is hereby created within the department, no later than six
months after the effective date of this article, a “climate justice
working group”. Such working group will be comprised of representatives
from: environmental justice communities, the department, the department
of health, the New York state energy and research development authority, and the department of labor.

a. Environmental justice community representatives shall be members of communities of color, low-income communities, and communities bearing disproportionate pollution and climate change burdens, or shall be representatives of community-based organizations with experience and a history of advocacy on environmental justice issues, and shall include at least three representatives from New York city communities, three representatives from rural communities, and three representatives from upstate urban communities.

b. The working group, in consultation with the department, the departments of health and labor, the New York state energy and research development authority, and the environmental justice advisory group, will establish criteria to identify disadvantaged communities for the purposes of co-pollutant reductions, greenhouse gas emissions reductions, regulatory impact statements, and the allocation of investments related to this article.

c. Disadvantaged communities shall be identified based on geographic, public health, environmental hazard, and socioeconomic criteria, which shall include but are not limited to:

i. areas burdened by cumulative environmental pollution and other hazards that can lead to negative public health effects;

ii. areas with concentrations of people that are of low income, high unemployment, high rent burdens, low levels of home ownership, low levels of educational attainment, or members of groups that have historically experienced discrimination on the basis of race or ethnicity; and

iii. areas vulnerable to the impacts of climate change such as flooding, storm surges, and urban heat island effects.

2. Before finalizing the criteria for identifying disadvantaged communities and identifying disadvantaged communities pursuant to subdivision one of this section, the department shall publish draft criteria and a draft list of disadvantaged communities and make such information available on its website.

a. The council shall hold at least six regional public hearings on the draft criteria and the draft list of disadvantaged communities, including three meetings in the upstate region and three meetings in the downstate region, and shall allow at least one hundred twenty days for the submission of public comment.

b. The council shall also ensure that there are meaningful opportunities for public comment for all segments of the population that will be impacted by the criteria, including persons living in areas that may be identified as disadvantaged communities under the proposed criteria.

3. The group will meet no less than annually to review the criteria and methods used to identify disadvantaged communities and may modify such methods to incorporate new data and scientific findings. The climate justice working group shall review identities of disadvantaged communities and modify such identities as needed.

§ 75-0113. Value of carbon.

1. No later than one year after the effective date of this article, the department, in consultation with the New York state energy research and development authority, shall establish a social cost of carbon for use by state agencies, expressed in terms of dollars per ton of carbon dioxide equivalent.

2. The social cost of carbon shall serve as a monetary estimate of the value of not emitting a ton of greenhouse gas emissions. As determined by the department, the social cost of carbon may be based on marginal
greenhouse gas abatement costs or on the global economic, environmental, and social impacts of emitting a marginal ton of greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere, utilizing a range of appropriate discount rates, including a rate of zero.

3. In developing the social cost of carbon, the department shall consider prior or existing estimates of the social cost of carbon issued or adopted by the federal government, appropriate international bodies, or other appropriate and reputable scientific organizations.

§ 75-0115. Community air monitoring program.

1. For purposes of this section, the following definitions and related provisions shall apply:

a. "Community air monitoring system" means advanced sensing monitoring equipment that measures and records air pollutant concentrations in the ambient air at or near sensitive receptor locations in disadvantaged communities.

b. "Disadvantaged community" means a community identified as disadvantaged pursuant to the criteria set forth in section 75-0111 of this article.

c. "Sensitive receptors" includes hospitals, schools and day care centers, and such other locations as the department may determine.

2. a. On or before October first, two thousand twenty-two, the department shall prepare, in consultation with the climate justice working group, a program demonstrating community air monitoring systems.

b. The program shall identify the highest priority locations in disadvantaged communities around the state to deploy community air monitoring systems, which shall be communities with potentially high exposure burdens for toxic air contaminants and criteria air pollutants. The program shall be undertaken in no less than four communities statewide with regional consideration.

c. The department shall publish the air quality data produced by the community air monitoring systems deployed pursuant to this section on its website as it becomes available.

3. On or before June first, two thousand twenty-four, the department shall prepare, in consultation with the climate justice working group, a strategy to reduce emissions of toxic air contaminants and criteria air pollutants in disadvantaged communities affected by a high cumulative exposure burden. The strategy shall include criteria for the development of community emission reduction programs. The criteria presented in the strategy shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. an assessment and identification of communities with high cumulative exposure burdens for toxic air contaminants and criteria air pollutants.

b. a methodology for assessing and identifying the contributing sources or categories of sources, including, but not limited to, stationary and mobile sources, and an estimate of their relative contribution to elevated exposure to air pollution in impacted communities identified pursuant to paragraph a of this subdivision.

c. an assessment of the existing and available measures for reducing emissions from the contributing sources or categories of sources identified pursuant to paragraph b of this subdivision.

4. a. Based on the assessment and identification of disadvantaged communities with high cumulative exposure burdens for toxic air contaminants and criteria air pollutants completed pursuant to paragraph a of subdivision three of this section, the department shall select disadvantaged communities around the state for preparation of community emis-
signs reduction programs. The department may select additional locations annually thereafter, as appropriate.

b. The department shall have the authority to adopt regulations establishing programs to achieve emissions reductions for the locations selected using the most cost-effective measures identified pursuant to paragraph c of subdivision three of this section.

§ 75-0117. Investment of funds.
State agencies, authorities and entities, in consultation with the environmental justice working group and the climate action council, shall, to the extent practicable, invest or direct available and relevant programmatic resources in a manner designed to achieve a goal for disadvantaged communities to receive forty percent of overall benefits of spending on clean energy and energy efficiency programs, projects or investments in the areas of housing, workforce development, pollution reduction, low income energy assistance, energy, transportation and economic development, provided however, that disadvantaged communities shall receive no less than thirty-five percent of the overall benefits of spending on clean energy and energy efficiency programs, projects or investments and provided further that this section shall not alter funds already contracted or committed as of the effective date of this section.

§ 75-0119. Implementation reporting.
1. The department in consultation with the council shall, not less than every four years, publish a report which shall include recommendations regarding the implementation of greenhouse gas reduction measures.
2. The report shall, at minimum, include:
   a. Whether the state is on track to meet the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits established in section 75-0107 of this article.
   b. An assessment of existing regulations and whether modifications are needed to ensure fulfillment of the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits.
   c. An overview of social benefits from the regulations or other measures, including reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and copollutants, diversification of energy sources, and other benefits to the economy, environment, and public health.
   d. An overview of compliance costs for regulated entities and for the department and other state agencies.
   e. Whether regulations or other greenhouse gas reduction measures undertaken are equitable, minimize costs and maximize the total benefits to the state, and encourage early action.
   f. Whether activities undertaken to comply with state regulations disproportionately burden disadvantaged communities as identified pursuant to section 75-0111 of this article.
   g. An assessment of local benefits and impacts of any reductions in co-pollutants related to reductions in statewide and local greenhouse gas emissions.
   h. An assessment of disadvantaged communities' access to or community ownership of the services and commodities identified in section six of the chapter of the laws of two thousand nineteen which added this article.
   i. Whether entities that have voluntarily reduced their greenhouse gas emissions prior to the implementation of this article receive appropriate credit for early voluntary reductions.
   j. Recommendations for future regulatory and policy action.
3. In preparing this report, the department shall, at a minimum, consult with the council, and the climate justice working group established in section 75-0111 of this article.

4. The report shall be published and posted on the department's website.

§ 3. Paragraphs f and g of subdivision 1 of section 54-1523 of the environmental conservation law, as added by section 5 of part U of chapter 58 of the laws of 2016, are amended and a new paragraph h is added to read as follows:

f. enabling communities to become certified under the climate smart communities program, including by developing natural resources inventories, right sizing of municipal fleets and developing climate adaptation strategies;

§ 4. The public service law is amended by adding a new section 66-p to read as follows:

§ 66-p. Establishment of a renewable energy program. 1. As used in this section:

(a) "jurisdictional load serving entity" means any entity subject to the jurisdiction of the commission that secures energy to serve the electrical energy requirements of end-use customers in New York state;

(b) "renewable energy systems" means systems that generate electricity or thermal energy through use of the following technologies: solar thermal, photovoltaics, on land and offshore wind, hydroelectric, geothermal electric, geothermal ground source heat, tidal energy, wave energy, ocean thermal, and fuel cells which do not utilize a fossil fuel resource in the process of generating electricity.

2. No later than June thirtieth, two thousand twenty-one, the commission shall establish a program to require that: (a) a minimum of seventy percent of the state wide electric generation secured by jurisdictional load serving entities to meet the electrical energy requirements of all end-use customers in New York state in two thousand thirty shall be generated by renewable energy systems; and (b) that by the year two thousand forty (collectively, the "targets") the statewide electrical demand system will be zero emissions. In establishing such program, the commission shall consider and where applicable formulate the program to address impacts of the program on safe and adequate electric service in the state under reasonably foreseeable conditions. The commission may, in designing the program, modify the obligations of jurisdictional load serving entities and/or the targets upon consideration of the factors described in this subdivision.

3. No later than July first, two thousand twenty-four and every two years thereafter, the commission shall, after notice and provision for the opportunity to comment, issue a comprehensive review of the program established pursuant to this section. The commission shall determine, among other matters: (a) progress in meeting the overall targets for deployment of renewable energy systems and zero emission sources, including factors that will or are likely to frustrate progress toward the targets; (b) distribution of systems by size and load zone; and (c) annual funding commitments and expenditures.
4. The commission may temporarily suspend or modify the obligations under such program provided that the commission, after conducting a hearing as provided in section twenty of this chapter, makes a finding that the program impedes the provision of safe and adequate electric service; the program is likely to impair existing obligations and agreements; and/or that there is a significant increase in arrears or service disconnections that the commission determines is related to the program.

5. No later than July first, two thousand twenty-four, the commission shall establish programs to require the procurement by the state's load serving entities of at least nine gigawatts of offshore wind electricity generation by two thousand thirty-five and six gigawatts of photovoltaic solar generation by two thousand twenty-five, and to support three gigawatts of statewide energy storage capacity by two thousand thirty.

6. In any proceeding commenced by the commission with a goal of achieving one hundred eighty-five trillion British thermal units of end-use energy savings below the two thousand twenty-five energy-use forecast, the commission will include mechanisms to ensure that, where practicable, at least twenty percent of investments in residential energy efficiency, including multi-family housing, can be invested in a manner which will benefit disadvantaged communities, as defined in article seventy-five of the environmental conservation law, including low to moderate income consumers.

7. In the implementation of this section, the commission shall design programs in a manner to provide substantial benefits for disadvantaged communities, as defined in article seventy-five of the environmental conservation law, including low to moderate income consumers, at a reasonable cost while ensuring safe and reliable electric service. Specifically, the commission shall:

(a) To the extent practicable, specify that a minimum percentage of energy storage projects should deliver clean energy benefits into NYISO zones that serve disadvantaged communities, as defined in article seventy-five of the environmental conservation law, including low to moderate income consumers, and that energy storage projects be deployed to reduce the usage of combustion-powered peaking facilities located in or near disadvantaged communities;

(b) In pursuing the state’s solar deployment goals, the New York state energy research and development authority shall consider enhanced incentive payments for solar and community distributed generation projects, focusing in particular but not limited to those serving disadvantaged communities, as defined in article seventy-five of the environmental conservation law, which result in energy cost savings or demonstrate community ownership models; and,

(c) In the allocation of ratepayer funds for clean energy, direct the New York state energy research and development authority and investor owned utilities to develop and report metrics for energy savings and clean energy market penetration in the low and moderate income market and in disadvantaged communities, as defined in article seventy-five of the environmental conservation law and post such information on the authority's website.

§ 5. This act shall be subject to current prevailing wage law.

§ 6. Report on barriers to, and opportunities for, community ownership of services and commodities in disadvantaged communities. 1. On or before two years of the effective date of this act, the department of environmental conservation, in cooperation with the New York state energy research and development authority and the New York power authority, with input from relevant state agencies, the environmental justice advi-
sory group as defined in section 75-0101 of the environmental conserva-
tion law, the climate justice working group as defined in section
75-0111 of the environmental conservation law and Climate Action Council
established in article 75 of the environmental conservation law, and
following at least two public hearings, shall prepare a report on barri-
ers to, and opportunities for, access to or community ownership of the
following services and commodities in disadvantaged communities as iden-
tified in article 75 of the environmental conservation law:
  a. Distributed renewable energy generation.
b. Energy efficiency and weatherization investments.
c. Zero-emission and low-emission transportation options.
d. Adaptation measures to improve the resilience of homes and local
infrastructures to the impacts of climate change including but not limit-
ed to microgrids.
e. Other services and infrastructure that can reduce the risks associ-
ated with climate-related hazards, including but not limited to:
   i. Shelters and cool rooms during extreme heat events;
   ii. Shelters during flooding events; and
   iii. Medical treatment for asthma and other conditions that could be
      exacerbated by climate-related events.
  2. The report, which shall be submitted to the governor, the speaker
of the assembly and the temporary president of the senate and posted on
the department of environmental conservation website, shall include
recommendations on how to increase access to the services and commod-
ities.
  3. The department of environmental conservation shall amend the scoping
plan for statewide greenhouse gas emissions reductions in accordance
with the recommendations included in the report.
§ 7. Climate change actions by state agencies. 1. All state agencies
shall assess and implement strategies to reduce their greenhouse gas
emissions.
  2. In considering and issuing permits, licenses, and other administra-
tive approvals and decisions, including but not limited to the execution
of grants, loans, and contracts, all state agencies, offices, authori-
ties, and divisions shall consider whether such decisions are inconsist-
ent with or will interfere with the attainment of the statewide green-
house gas emissions limits established in article 75 of the environ-
mental conservation law. Where such decisions are deemed to be
inconsistent with or will interfere with the attainment of the statewide
greenhouse gas emissions limits, each agency, office, authority, or
division shall provide a detailed statement of justification as to why
such limits/criteria may not be met, and identify alternatives or green-
house gas mitigation measures to be required where such project is
located.
  3. In considering and issuing permits, licenses, and other administra-
tive approvals and decisions, including but not limited to the execution
of grants, loans, and contracts, pursuant to article 75 of the environ-
mental conservation law, all state agencies, offices, authorities, and
divisions shall not disproportionately burden disadvantaged communities
as identified pursuant to subdivision 5 of section 75-0101 of the envi-
ronmental conservation law. All state agencies, offices, authorities,
and divisions shall also prioritize reductions of greenhouse gas emis-
sions and co-pollutants in disadvantaged communities as identified
pursuant to such subdivision 5 of section 75-0101 of the environmental
conservation law.
§ 8. Authorization for other state agencies to promulgate greenhouse
gas emissions regulations. 1. The public service commission, the New
York state energy research and development authority, the department of
health, the department of transportation, the department of state, the
department of economic development, the department of agriculture and
markets, the department of financial services, the office of general
services, the division of housing and community renewal, the public
utility authorities established pursuant to titles 1, 1-A, 1-B, 11,
11-A, 11-B, 11-C and 11-D of article 5 of the public authorities law and
any other state agency shall promulgate regulations to contribute to
achieving the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limits established in
article 75 of the environmental conservation law. Provided, however, any
such regulations shall not limit the department of environmental conser-
vation's authority to regulate and control greenhouse gas emissions
pursuant to article 75 of the environmental conservation law.
§ 9. Chapter 355 of the laws of 2014, constituting the community risk
and resiliency act, is amended by adding two new sections 17-a and 17-b
to read as follows:
§ 17-a. The department of environmental conservation shall take
actions to promote adaptation and resilience, including:
(a) actions to help state agencies and other entities assess the
reasonably foreseeable risks of climate change on any proposed projects,
taking into account issues such as: sea level rise, tropical and extra-
tropical cyclones, storm surges, flooding, wind, changes in average and
peak temperatures, changes in average and peak precipitation, public
health impacts, and impacts on species and other natural resources.
(b) identifying the most significant climate-related risks, taking
into account the probability of occurrence, the magnitude of the poten-
tial harm, and the uncertainty of the risk.
(c) measures that could mitigate significant climate-related risks, as
well as a cost-benefit analysis and implementation of such measures.
§ 17-b. Major permits for the regulatory programs of subdivision three
of section 70-0107 of the environmental conservation law shall require
applicants to demonstrate that future physical climate risk has been
considered. In reviewing such information the department may require the
applicant to mitigate significant risks to public infrastructure and/or
services, private property not owned by the applicant, adverse impacts
on disadvantaged communities, and/or natural resources in the vicinity
of the project.
§ 10. Nothing in this act shall limit the existing authority of a
state entity to adopt and implement greenhouse gas emissions reduction
measures.
§ 11. Nothing in this act shall relieve any person, entity, or public
agency of compliance with other applicable federal, state, or local laws
or regulations, including state air and water quality requirements, and
other requirements for protecting public health or the environment.
§ 12. Review under this act may be had in a proceeding under article
78 of the civil practice law and rules at the instance of any person
aggrieved.
§ 13. Severability. If any word, phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph,
section, or part of this act shall be adjudged by any court of competent
jurisdiction to be invalid, such judgement shall not affect, impair, or
invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation
to the word, phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph, section, or part ther-
eof directly involved in the controversy in which such judgement shall
have been rendered.
§ 14. This act shall take effect on the same date and in the same manner as a chapter of the laws of 2019, amending the environmental conservation law, relating to establishing a permanent environmental justice advisory group and an environmental justice interagency coordinating council, as proposed in legislative bills numbers S. 2385 and A. 1564, takes effect; provided further, the provisions of section 75-0115 of the environmental conservation law as added by section two of this act shall take effect October 1, 2022.
Act No. 154 (S.148). Conservation and development; government; environmental justice

An act relating to environmental justice in Vermont

This act establishes an environmental justice policy for the State of Vermont and requires the State agencies to incorporate environmental justice into their work, rules, and procedures. It establishes the Environmental Justice Advisory Council and the Interagency Environmental Justice Committee to advise the State on environmental justice issues. It also requires the creation of an environmental justice mapping tool.

Effective Date: May 31, 2022
No. 154. An act relating to environmental justice in Vermont.

(S.148)

It is hereby enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Vermont:

Sec. 1. FINDINGS

The General Assembly finds that:

(1) According to American Journal of Public Health studies published in 2014 and 2018 and affirmed by decades of research, Black, Indigenous, and Persons of Color (BIPOC) and individuals with low income are disproportionately exposed to environmental hazards and unsafe housing, facing higher levels of air and water pollution, mold, lead, and pests.

(2) The cumulative impacts of environmental harms disproportionately and adversely impact the health of BIPOC and communities with low income, with climate change functioning as a threat multiplier. These disproportionate adverse impacts are exacerbated by lack of access to affordable energy, healthy food, green spaces, and other environmental benefits.

(3) Since 1994, Executive Order 12898 has required federal agencies to make achieving environmental justice part of their mission by identifying and addressing disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and populations with low incomes in the United States.

(4) According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 30 percent of Vermont towns with high town household poverty have limited
access to grocery stores. In addition, a study conducted at the University of Vermont showed that in Vermont, BIPOC individuals were twice as likely to have trouble affording fresh food and to go hungry in a month than white individuals.

(5) Inadequate transportation impedes job access, narrowing the scope of jobs available to individuals with low income and potentially impacting job performance.

(6) In 2020, the Center for American Progress found that 76 percent of BIPOC individuals in Vermont live in “nature deprived” census tracts with a higher proportion of natural areas lost to human activities than the Vermont median. In contrast, 27 percent of white individuals live in these areas.

(7) The U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention states that systemic health and social inequities disproportionately increases the risk of racial and ethnic minority groups becoming infected by and dying from COVID-19.

(8) According to the Vermont Department of Health, inequities in access to and quality of health care, employment, and housing have contributed to disproportionately high rates of COVID-19 among BIPOC Vermonters.

(9) An analysis by University of Vermont researchers found that mobile homes are more likely than permanent structures to be located in a flood hazard area. During Tropical Storm Irene, mobile parks and over 561 mobile homes in Vermont were damaged or destroyed. Mobile homes make up 7.2
percent of all housing units in Vermont and were approximately 40 percent of sites affected by Tropical Storm Irene.

(10) A University of Vermont study reports that BIPOC individuals were seven times more likely to have gone without heat in the past year, over two times more likely to have trouble affording electricity, and seven times less likely to own a solar panel than white Vermonteres.

(11) The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency recognized Vermont’s deficiencies in addressing environmental justice concerns related to legacy mining and mobile home park habitability, providing grants for these projects in 1998 and 2005.

(12) Vermont State agencies receiving federal funds are subject to the antidiscrimination requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

(13) In response to the documented inadequacy of state and federal environmental and land use laws to protect vulnerable communities, increasing numbers of states have adopted formal environmental justice laws and policies.

(14) At least 17 states have developed mapping tools to identify environmentally overburdened communities and environmental health disparities.

(15) The State of Vermont does not currently have a State-managed mapping tool that clearly identifies environmentally overburdened communities.
(16) The 1991 Principles of Environmental Justice adopted by The First National People of Color Environmental Leadership Summit demand the right of all individuals to participate as equal partners at every level of decision making, including needs assessment, planning, implementation, enforcement, and evaluation.

(17) Article VII of the Vermont Constitution establishes the government as a vehicle for the common benefit, protection, and security of Vermonters and not for the particular emolument or advantage of any single set of persons who are only a part of that community. This, coupled with Article I’s guarantee of equal rights to enjoying life, liberty, and safety, and Article IV’s assurance of timely justice for all, encourages political officials to identify how particular communities may be unequally burdened or receive unequal protection under the law due to race, income, or geographic location.

(18) Lack of a clear environmental justice policy has resulted in a piecemeal approach to understanding and addressing environmental justice in Vermont and creates a barrier to establishing clear definitions, metrics, and strategies to ensure meaningful engagement and more equitable distribution of environmental benefits and burdens.

(19) It is the State of Vermont’s responsibility to pursue environmental justice for its residents and to ensure that its agencies do not contribute to unfair distribution of environmental benefits to or environmental burdens on low-income, limited-English proficient, and BIPOC communities.
Sec. 2. 3 V.S.A. chapter 72 is added to read:

CHAPTER 72. ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

§ 6001. PURPOSE

The purpose of this chapter is to identify, reduce, and eliminate environmental health disparities to improve the health and well-being of all Vermont residents.

§ 6002. DEFINITIONS

As used in this chapter:

(1) “Environmental benefits” means the assets and services that enhance the capability of communities and individuals to function and flourish in society. Examples of environmental benefits include access to a healthy environment and clean natural resources, including air, water, land, green spaces, constructed playgrounds, and other outdoor recreational facilities and venues; affordable clean renewable energy sources; public transportation; fulfilling and dignified green jobs; healthy homes and buildings; health care; nutritious food; Indigenous food and cultural resources; environmental enforcement; and training and funding disbursed or administered by governmental agencies.

(2) “Environmental burdens” means any significant impact to clean air, water, and land, including any destruction, damage, or impairment of natural resources resulting from intentional or reasonably foreseeable causes. Examples of environmental burdens include climate change impacts; air and
water pollution; improper sewage disposal; improper handling of solid wastes and other noxious substances; excessive noise; activities that limit access to green spaces, nutritious food, Indigenous food or cultural resources, or constructed outdoor playgrounds and other recreational facilities and venues; inadequate remediation of pollution; reduction of groundwater levels; increased flooding or stormwater flows; home and building health hazards, including lead paint, lead plumbing, asbestos, and mold; and damage to inland waterways and waterbodies, wetlands, forests, green spaces, or constructed playgrounds or other outdoor recreational facilities and venues from private, industrial, commercial, and government operations or other activities that contaminate or alter the quality of the environment and pose a risk to public health.

(3) “Environmental justice” means all individuals are afforded equitable access to and distribution of environmental benefits; equitable distribution of environmental burdens; and fair and equitable treatment and meaningful participation in decision-making processes, including the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. Environmental justice recognizes the particular needs of individuals of every race, color, income, class, ability status, gender identity, sexual orientation, national origin, ethnicity or ancestry, religious belief, or English language proficiency level. Environmental justice redresses structural and institutional racism, colonialism, and other systems of oppression that result in
the marginalization, degradation, disinvestment, and neglect of Black, Indigenous, and Persons of Color. Environmental justice requires providing a proportional amount of resources for community revitalization, ecological restoration, resilience planning, and a just recovery to communities most affected by environmental burdens and natural disasters.

(4) “Environmental justice focus population” means any census block group in which:

(A) the annual median household income is not more than 80 percent of the State median household income;

(B) Persons of Color and Indigenous Peoples comprise at least six percent or more of the population; or

(C) at least one percent or more of households have limited English proficiency.

(5) “Limited English proficiency” means that a household does not have a member 14 years or older who speaks English “very well” as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau.

(6) “Meaningful participation” means that all individuals have the opportunity to participate in energy, climate change, and environmental decision making. Examples include needs assessments, planning, implementation, permitting, compliance and enforcement, and evaluation. Meaningful participation also integrates diverse knowledge systems, histories, traditions, languages, and cultures of Indigenous communities in decision-
making processes. It requires that communities are enabled and administratively assisted to participate fully through education and training. Meaningful participation requires the State to operate in a transparent manner with regard to opportunities for community input and also encourages the development of environmental, energy, and climate change stewardship.

§ 6003. ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE STATE POLICY

It is the policy of the State of Vermont that no segment of the population of the State should, because of its racial, cultural, or economic makeup, bear a disproportionate share of environmental burdens or be denied an equitable share of environmental benefits. It is further the policy of the State of Vermont to provide the opportunity for the meaningful participation of all individuals, with particular attention to environmental justice focus populations, in the development, implementation, or enforcement of any law, regulation, or policy.

§ 6004. IMPLEMENTATION OF STATE POLICY

(a) As used in this chapter, “covered agencies” means the following State agencies, departments, and bodies: the Agencies of Natural Resources, of Transportation, of Commerce and Community Development, of Agriculture, Food and Markets, and of Education; the Public Utility Commission; the Natural Resources Board; and the Departments of Health, of Public Safety, and of Public Service.
(b) The covered agencies shall consider cumulative environmental burdens, as defined by rule pursuant to subsection 6005(a) of this title, and access to environmental benefits when making decisions about the environment, energy, climate, and public health projects; facilities and infrastructure; and associated funding.

(c) Each of the covered agencies shall create and adopt on or before July 1, 2025 a community engagement plan that describes how the agency will engage with environmental justice focus populations as it evaluates new and existing activities and programs. Community engagement plans shall align with the core principles developed by the Interagency Environmental Justice Committee pursuant to subdivision 6006(c)(2)(B) of this title and take into consideration the recommendations of the Environmental Justice Advisory Council pursuant to subdivision 6006(c)(1)(B) of this title. Each plan shall describe how the agency plans to provide meaningful participation in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

(d) The covered agencies shall submit an annual summary beginning on January 15, 2024 and annually thereafter to the Environmental Justice Advisory Council, detailing all complaints alleging environmental justice issues or Title VI violations and any agency action taken to resolve the complaints. The Advisory Council shall provide any recommendations concerning those reports within 60 days after receipt of the complaint summaries. Agencies shall consider the recommendations of the Advisory Council.
Council pursuant to subdivision 6006(c)(1)(E) of this title and substantively respond in writing if an agency chooses not to implement any of the recommendations, within 90 days after receipt of the recommendations.

(e) The Agency of Natural Resources, in consultation with the Environmental Justice Advisory Council and the Interagency Environmental Justice Committee, shall review the definitions contained in section 6002 of this title at least every five years and recommend revisions to the General Assembly to ensure the definition achieves the Environmental Justice State Policy.

(f) The Agency of Natural Resources, in consultation with the Interagency Environmental Justice Committee and the Environmental Justice Advisory Council, shall issue guidance on how the covered agencies shall determine which investments provide environmental benefits to environmental justice focus populations on or before September 15, 2023. A draft version of the guidance shall be released for a 40-day public comment period before being finalized.

(g)(1) On or before February 15, 2024, the covered agencies shall, in accordance with the guidance document developed by the Agency of Natural Resources pursuant to subsection (f) of this section, review the past three years and generate baseline spending reports that include:
(A) where investments were made, if any, and which geographic areas, at the municipal level and census block group, where practicable, received environmental benefits from those investments; and

(B) a description and quantification of the environmental benefits as an outcome of the investment.

(2) The covered agencies shall publicly post the baseline spending reports on their respective websites.

(h) On or before July 1, 2024, it shall be the goal of the covered agencies to direct investments proportionately in environmental justice focus populations.

(i)(1) Beginning on January 15, 2026, and annually thereafter, the covered agencies shall either integrate the following information into existing annual spending reports or issue annual spending reports that include:

(A) where investments were made and which geographic areas, at the municipal level and census block group, where practicable, received environmental benefits from those investments; and

(B) the percentage of overall environmental benefits from those investments provided to environmental justice focus populations.

(2) The covered agencies shall publicly post the annual spending reports on their respective websites.

(j) Beginning on January 15, 2025, the covered agencies shall each issue and publicly post an annual report summarizing all actions taken to incorporate
environmental justice into its policies or determinations, rulemaking, permit proceedings, or project review.

§ 6005. RULEMAKING

(a) On or before July 1, 2025, the Agency of Natural Resources, in consultation with the Environmental Justice Advisory Council and the Interagency Environmental Justice Committee, shall adopt rules to:

(1) define cumulative environmental burdens;
(2) implement consideration of cumulative environmental burdens within the Agency of Natural Resources; and
(3) inform how the public and the covered agencies implement the consideration of cumulative environmental burdens and use the environmental justice mapping tool.

(b) On or before July 1, 2026 and as appropriate thereafter, the covered agencies, in consultation with the Environmental Justice Advisory Council, shall adopt or amend policies and procedures, plans, guidance, and rules, where applicable, to implement this chapter.

(c)(1) Prior to drafting new rules required by this chapter, agencies shall consult with the Environmental Justice Advisory Council to discuss the scope and proposed content of rules to be developed. Agencies shall also submit draft rulemaking concepts to the Advisory Council for review and comment. Any proposed rule and draft Administrative Procedure Act filing forms shall be provided to the Advisory Council not less than 45 days prior to submitting
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the proposed rule or rules to the Interagency Committee on Administrative Rules (ICAR).

(2) The Advisory Council shall vote and record individual members’ support or objection to any proposed rule before it is submitted to ICAR. The Advisory Council shall submit the results of their vote to both ICAR and the Legislative Committee on Administrative Rules (LCAR).

§ 6006. ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ADVISORY COUNCIL AND INTERAGENCY ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE

(a) Advisory Council and Interagency Committee.

(1) There is created:

(A) the Environmental Justice Advisory Council (Advisory Council) to provide independent advice and recommendations to State agencies and the General Assembly on matters relating to environmental justice, including the integration of environmental justice principles into State programs, policies, regulations, legislation, and activities; and

(B) the Interagency Environmental Justice Committee (Interagency Committee) to guide and coordinate State agency implementation of the Environmental Justice State Policy and provide recommendations to the General Assembly for amending the definitions and protections set forth in this chapter.

(2) Appointments to the groups created in this subsection shall be made on or before December 15, 2022.
(3) Both the Advisory Council and the Interagency Committee shall consider and incorporate the Guiding Principles for a Just Transition developed by the Just Transitions Subcommittee of the Vermont Climate Council in their work.

(b) Meetings. The Advisory Council and Interagency Committee shall each meet not more than eight times per year, with at least four meetings occurring jointly. Meetings may be held in person, remotely, or in a hybrid format to facilitate maximum participation and shall be recorded and publicly posted on the Secretary’s website.

(c) Duties.

(1) The Advisory Council shall:

(A) advise State agencies on environmental justice issues and on how to incorporate environmental justice into agency procedures and decision making as required under subsection 6004(b) of this title and evaluate the potential for environmental burdens or disproportionate impacts on environmental justice focus populations as a result of State actions and the potential for environmental benefits to environmental justice focus populations;

(B) advise State agencies in the development of community engagement plans;

(C) advise State agencies on the use of the environmental justice mapping tool established pursuant to section 6008 of this title and on the
enhancement of meaningful participation, reduction of environmental burdens, and equitable distribution of environmental benefits;

(D) review and provide feedback to the relevant State agency, pursuant to subsection 6005(c) of this title, on any proposed rules for implementing this chapter; and

(E) receive and review annual State agency summaries of complaints alleging environmental justice issues, including Title VI complaints, and suggest options or alternatives to State agencies for the resolution of systemic issues raised in or by the complaints.

(2) The Interagency Committee shall:

(A) consult with the Agency of Natural Resources in the development of the guidance document required by subsection 6004(g) of this title on how to determine which investments provide environmental benefits to environmental justice focus populations; and

(B) on or before July 1, 2023, develop, in consultation with the Agency of Natural Resources and the Environmental Justice Advisory Council, a set of core principles to guide and coordinate the development of the State agency community engagement plans required under subsection 6004(d) of this title.

(3) The Advisory Council and the Interagency Committee shall jointly:

(A) consider and recommend to the General Assembly, on or before December 1, 2023, amendments to the terminology, thresholds, and criteria of
the definition of environmental justice focus populations, including whether to include populations more likely to be at higher risk for poor health outcomes in response to environmental burdens; and

(B) examine existing data and studies on environmental justice and consult with State, federal, and local agencies and affected communities regarding the impact of current statutes, regulations, and policies on the achievement of environmental justice.

(d) Membership.

(1) Advisory Council. Each member of the Advisory Council shall be well informed regarding environmental justice principles and committed to achieving environmental justice in Vermont and working collaboratively with other members of the Council. To the greatest extent practicable, Advisory Council members shall represent diversity in race, ethnicity, age, gender, urban and rural areas, and different regions of the State. The Advisory Council shall consist of the following 11 members, with a goal to have more than 50 percent residing in environmental justice focus populations:

(A) the Director of Racial Equity or designee;

(B) the following members appointed by the Committee on Committees:

(i) one representative of municipal government;

(ii) one representative of a social justice organization;

(iii) one representative of mobile home park residents;
(C) the following members appointed by the Speaker of the House:

(i) one representative who resides in a census block group that is designated as an environmental justice focus population;

(ii) one representative of an organization working on food security issues;

(iii) one representative of immigrant communities in Vermont;

(iv) one representative of a statewide environmental organization;

(D) one representative of a State-recognized Native American Indian tribe, recommended and appointed by the Vermont Commission on Native American Affairs;

(E) the Executive Director of the Vermont Housing and Conservation Board or designee; and

(F) the Chair of the Natural Resources Conservation Council or designee.

(2) Interagency Committee. The Interagency Committee shall consist of the following 11 members:

(A) the Secretary of Education or designee;

(B) the Secretary of Natural Resources or designee;

(C) the Secretary of Transportation or designee;

(D) the Commissioner of Housing and Community Development or designee;

(E) the Secretary of Agriculture, Food and Markets or designee;
(F) the Commissioner of Health or designee;

(G) the Director of Emergency Management or designee;

(H) the Commissioner of Public Service or designee;

(I) the Director of Racial Equity or designee;

(J) the Chair of the Natural Resources Board or designee; and

(K) the Chair of the Public Utility Commission or designee.

(3) The Advisory Council and the Interagency Committee may each elect two co-chairs.

(4) After initial appointments, all appointed members of the Advisory Council shall serve six-year terms and serve until a successor is appointed. The initial terms shall be staggered so that one third of the appointed members shall serve a two-year term, another third of the appointed members shall serve a four-year term, and the remaining members shall be appointed to a six-year term.

(5) Vacancies of the Advisory Council shall be appointed in the same manner as original appointments.

(6) The Advisory Council shall have the administrative, technical, and legal assistance of the Agency of Natural Resources.

§ 6007. ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE MAPPING TOOL

(a) The Agency of Natural Resources shall create and maintain the State environmental justice mapping tool. The Agency, in consultation with the Environmental Justice Advisory Council and the Interagency Environmental
Justice Committee, shall determine indices and criteria to be included in the
State mapping tool to depict environmental justice focus populations and
measure environmental burdens at the smallest geographic level practicable.

(b) The Agency of Natural Resources may cooperate and contract with
other states or private organizations when developing the mapping tool. The
mapping tool may incorporate federal environmental justice mapping tools,
such as EJSCREEN, as well as existing State mapping tools such as the
Vermont Social Vulnerability Index.

(c) On or before January 1, 2025, the mapping tool shall be available for
use by the public as well as by the State government.

Sec. 3. SPENDING REPORT

On or before December 15, 2025, the Agency of Natural Resources shall
submit a report to the General Assembly describing whether the baseline
spending reports completed pursuant to 3 V.S.A. § 6004(g) of this section
indicate if any municipalities or portions of municipalities are routinely
underserved with respect to environmental benefits, taking into consideration
whether those areas receive, averaged across three years, a significantly lower
percentage of environmental benefits from State investments as compared to
other municipalities or portions of municipalities in the State. This report shall
include a recommendation as to whether a statutory definition of “underserved
community” and any other revisions to this chapter are necessary to best carry
out the Environmental Justice State Policy.
Sec. 4. APPROPRIATIONS

(a) There is appropriated the sum of $500,000.00 in fiscal year 2023 from the General Fund to the Agency of Natural Resources for the cost of developing the mapping tool required in 3 V.S.A. § 6007 and for conducting community outreach associated with the work of the Environmental Justice Advisory Council.

(b) There is appropriated the sum of $250,000.00 in fiscal year 2023 from the General Fund to the Agency of Natural Resources for the following positions:

(1) one full-time Civil Rights Compliance Director; and

(2) two new full-time positions to assist in the implementation of the Environmental Justice State Policy and support the Environmental Justice Advisory Council, one to be hired after July 1, 2022 and one to be hired after December 31, 2022.

Sec. 5. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on passage.

Date Governor signed bill: May 31, 2022
BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Community Advisory Council

From: Sharon Landers
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Date: September 8, 2022

Re: Revised Community Advisory Council Meeting Land Acknowledgement or Alternative Statement in Lieu of Pledge of Allegiance

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the revised Land Acknowledgement or alternative Mission and Equity statement, which will replace the Pledge of Allegiance at the beginning of each Council meeting.

BACKGROUND

During the June 30, 2022 Community Advisory Council (CAC) meeting, the CAC decided to table the Land Acknowledgement item and return with a revised statement at the next CAC meeting. A group of Council members volunteered to support Council member Ruano Hernandez in revising and updating the presentation and Land Acknowledgment. The presentation includes the Land Acknowledgment (Option 1) as previously proposed with edits provided by Council member Jefferson and Council member Molina. It also includes the alternative statement (Option 2) as proposed by Council member Szutu, which is distinct from the Land Acknowledgments proposed during the June 30th meeting.

DISCUSSION

The Land Acknowledgement (Option 1) will recognize and pay respect to the Indigenous People as traditional stewards of this land and the enduring relationship that exists between Indigenous Peoples and their traditional territories. This Land Acknowledgment also recognizes the challenges People of Color and other disadvantaged communities have endured in this country as a result of white supremacy. It celebrates the brilliance and leadership of People of Color in resistance, vision, wisdom, and love.

The alternative proposal (Option 2) is a Mission and Equity statement that focuses on the commitments and aims to bring the CAC together. Should the CAC adopt this statement, its principles could serve to guide the CAC’s discussion and work.
BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

Respectfully submitted,

Sharon L. Landers
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Prepared by: Lisa Flores
Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

None
AGENDA: 6.

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Community Advisory Council

From: Sharon L. Landers
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Date: September 8, 2022

Re: Air District’s Services to Address Community-Identified Air Quality Concerns – Overview of the Air Quality Complaint Program and Investigation Process

RECOMMENDED ACTION

None; receive and file.

BACKGROUND

The Air Quality Complaint Program is a core program of the Compliance & Enforcement Division that provides an avenue for members of the public to communicate air quality concerns to the Air District. The Air District investigates every air pollution complaint received to achieve early intervention in resolving air quality problems and identifying violations of State or Federal law or Air District regulations. Air pollution complaints are an important part of the daily work of Inspectors, and it is essential that complaint investigations are handled in an objective, efficient, and professional manner. The Air District investigates all air pollution complaints as an impartial party to determine facts and circumstances surrounding alleged air emission releases and takes appropriate enforcement actions for violations of air quality regulations. Mitigating and resolving community air pollution concerns through the Air Quality Complaint Program continues to be a top priority for the Air District.

DISCUSSION

The presentation will provide an overview of the Air Quality Complaint Program and highlight the different steps in the complaint investigation process to ensure compliance with air quality regulations. Staff will speak to the program goals and objectives, how the public may report a complaint via phone and online, and how Inspectors investigate a complaint at an alleged site/facility to determine and identify the potential source(s) of emissions. The presentation will explain the role of the Air District Inspector and the actions taken to document an alleged complaint, investigation findings and enforcement actions when non-compliance is discovered.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.
Respectfully submitted,

Sharon L. Landers  
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Prepared by: Ying Yu and Tracy Lee  
Reviewed by: Damian Breen and Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

None
BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
Memorandum

To: Members of the Community Advisory Council

From: Damian Breen
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Operations

Date: September 8, 2022

Re: Update on Community Air Quality Concerns at the Alice Griffith Housing Development in Bayview Hunters Point, San Francisco

RECOMMENDED ACTION

None; receive and file.

BACKGROUND

Residents of the Alice Griffith Housing Development and members of the community have expressed concerns about health and quality of living impacts from air pollution emitted by facilities and construction activities near this Bayview Hunters Point neighborhood. Material handling facilities to the residents’ east and past and present construction activities within and around the surrounding area contributed to particulate matter (PM) pollution including dust and PM2.5 and raised concerns of exposure to naturally occurring asbestos (NOA).

DISCUSSION

Staff will provide an update on the actions taken by Air District staff and other partnering agencies to address community air quality concerns at the Alice Griffith Housing Development in Bayview Hunters Point, San Francisco. The presentation will include a discussion of the Air District’s enforcement process, an overview of the regulated facilities adjacent to the housing development, a discussion of neighborhood air quality concerns, and an overview of the actions taken to address these air quality concerns over the past year.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.
Respectfully submitted,

Damian Breen  
Senior Deputy Executive Officer of Operations  
Prepared by: John Marvin  
Reviewed by: Jeff Gove  

ATTACHMENTS:  
None
To: Members of the Community Advisory Council

From: Sharon L. Landers  
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Date: September 8, 2022

Re: Approval of Panelists to Interview the Air District’s Air Pollution Control Officer Candidates

RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the list of Council members to participate in the Executive Officer / Air Pollution Control Officer interview panel.

BACKGROUND

The Air District Board of Directors invited the Community Advisory Council (CAC) to participate in the process to select the next Executive Officer / Air Pollution Control Officer (APCO). During the June 30, 2022 CAC meeting, the CAC created a list of desired qualifications for the APCO. During the Board’s July 20, 2022 meeting, Co-Chair Ms. Washington presented the CAC’s list of desired qualifications. The CAC Co-Chairs subsequently sent a letter to the Board on July 25, 2022 with the list of desired qualifications for the Board to consider including it in the APCO’s job listing.

The Board also invited up to seven CAC members to serve on a panel to interview the APCO candidates. The three CAC Co-Chairs and four additional Council members self-nominated to participate in the interview panel. Interested Council members self-nominated to be interviewers by submitting a short essay.

DISCUSSION

The CAC will vote to approve the list of Council members selected to participate in the CAC panel to interview candidates for the Air District’s APCO role.

BUDGET CONSIDERATION/FINANCIAL IMPACT

None. Stipends for the work of the CAC members selected to participate in the ad-hoc committee are included in the fiscal year ending 2022 and fiscal year ending 2023 budgets.
Respectfully submitted,

Sharon L. Landers  
Interim Executive Officer/APCO

Prepared by: Lisa Flores  
Reviewed by: Veronica Eady

ATTACHMENTS:

None