1 2 3 4 5 6	PUBLIC VERSION – WITHOUT TRADE SECRETS FILED JAN 24 2022 HEARING BOARD OF THE BAY AREA ARI QUALITY BAY AREA ARI QUALITY
7	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
8	
9	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the) DOCKET NO
10	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,) ACCUSATION AND [PROPOSED]
11	Complainant,) STIPULATED CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR ABATEMENT
12	v. () REGULATION 8, RULE 2
13	VALERO REFINING COMPANY - CALIFORNIA,
14	
15	Respondent.
16	/
17	The above-captioned matter is an "Accusation and Stipulation For Entry of [Proposed] Stipulated
18	Conditional Order For Abatement" (the "Stipulated Order" or "SOA") filed by Complainant, the Air
19	Pollution Control Officer ("APCO") of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District ("District",
20	"Air District" or "BAAQMD") against Valero Refining Company - California ("Valero" or
21	"Respondent"). The District is the regional agency with primary responsibility for the control of air
22	pollution from stationary sources in the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin. Respondent operates the
23	Valero Benicia Refinery at 3400 East Second Street, Benicia, California 94510, Facility No. B2626 (the
24	"Refinery" or "Facility"). The Facility's two Hydrogen Plants (H2U-A and H2U-B; Source (S-1010),
25	and the Naphtha Reformulation Unit (NRU; S-1004)), collectively referenced as the "Hydrogen
26	System," are process units which were part of original construction of the Refinery. Each process unit in
27	the Hydrogen System was designed to vent excess hydrogen to atmosphere through process vent ST-
28	302.

51 M

1 The Accusation and Stipulation requests that this Hearing Board enter a Conditional Order for 2 Abatement against Respondent that would require Respondent, prior to termination of this Conditional Order of Abatement, to cease venting of regulated air contaminants through the Refinery's 3 process vent ST-302 in a manner exceeding standards set forth in District Regulation 8-2. Because a 4 capital project to abate emissions from ST-302 ("the Vent Project") will require time to design, 5 engineer, permit, and construct, the Parties request entry of this Conditional Order for Abatement to 6 establish the requirements and schedule for the Vent Project and to provide conditions to minimize 7 8 emissions from ST-302 during normal operations pending completion of the Vent Project. Valero's Vent Project may install piping to direct flow of process gases that contain pollutants subject to 9 10 Regulation 8-2 to an existing flare, the North South flare, or may be a different control or abatement fix, in accordance with the requirements set forth in detail in this Stipulation and its Appendix 1. 11

12

WRITTEN EXPLANATION OF ACTION

Because the District and Valero (collectively, the "Parties") have stipulated to the entry of this Conditional Order, the Hearing Board must include a written explanation of its action in this Conditional Order, but it is not required to make a finding of violation to support the Conditional Order under Health and Safety Code Section 42451(b). To that end, the Hearing Board explains its action as follows.

18 The Parties have agreed to address the allegations identified in a Notice of Violation issued by the District (NOV A58465) and to provide the relief sought. The Parties expect to enter into a separate 19 Enforcement Penalty Agreement to address penalties for NOV A58465. The Parties recognize the 20 District's reservation of rights to enforce penalties or another abatement action for any violations by 21 Valero, either through the Parties' separate Enforcement Penalty Agreement or otherwise. The Parties 22 have agreed that Respondent owns and operates the Facility as specified in the Stipulation. 23 Respondent also agrees that notwithstanding interim measures taken since issuance of the Notice of 24 Violation (NOV A58465) to minimize emissions of regulated pollutants from process vent ST-302, 25 such emissions are continuing intermittently, and at times may exceed 15 lbs./day and contain more 26 than 300 parts per million ("ppm") total carbon on a dry basis, which the District alleges is in violation 27 of Regulation 8, Rule 2, Section 301. Subject to a temporary permit authorization issued by the District 28

in 2019, Valero undertook an interim project to minimize emissions of pollutants from ST-302 by 1 2 installing internal piping to maintain streams containing pollutants regulated under Reg. 8-2 in a lowerpressure area of the hydrogen grid. Although Valero believes this measure has been very effective in 3 substantially minimizing atmospheric emissions of regulated pollutants, the Parties stipulate that a more 4 complex capital project is needed for compliance of ST-302 with Regulation 8-2-301. This will require 5 time to design, engineer, permit, construct, and start up. As such, the Parties have agreed that 6 Respondent will complete a capital project to abate potential excess non-methane hydrocarbon 7 8 emissions from ST-302 in the manner and on the schedule set forth in Appendix 1 to this stipulation, which provides for completion of this Vent Project as soon as feasible but no later than the soonest 9 10 scheduled refinery-wide maintenance outage ("Turnaround") at the Refinery. The Parties have further agreed that meanwhile, Valero shall continue implementing interim measures to minimize emissions, 11 12 and shall report progress to the District as detailed in Appendix 1.

- 13The Hearing Board therefore believes that the Parties' agreed course of action is in the14public interest and that entry of this Conditional Order is appropriate under the circumstances.
- The Parties agree to enter this Stipulated Conditional Order of Abatement to provide for
 implementation of a capital project and other actions necessary to bring the Valero Benicia Refinery into
 compliance with current BAAQMD rules and regulations, and to provide interim measures for
 minimizing non-compliant atmospheric emissions in the meantime.
- 19

20 The Parties further agree as follows:

21

Injunctive Relief. Atmospheric Vent Control Project. Respondent shall cease operation of the
 the Refinery's process vent ST-302 in a manner exceeding standards set forth in District Regulation 8-2.
 Respondent shall comply with the terms of this Stipulated Order to design, engineer, permit, construct,
 and operate the Vent Project to control or abate atmospheric emissions from the hydrogen system at the
 Benicia Refinery that were vented through the Refinery's process vent ST-302 to a level not exceeding
 standards set forth in District Regulation 8-2.

28

Interim Mitigation Measures. During the term of this SOA, Valero shall continue to minimize
 the potential for pollutants to be emitted to atmosphere from ST-302 by continuing operation of internal
 piping installed to redirect hydrogen to a lower-pressure location less likely to result in atmospheric
 relief from ST-302.

5 Interim Milestones.

a) Monitoring of ST-302. From the Effective Date until approval and implementation of the 6 Sampling and Analysis Plan, Valero shall monitor ST-302 by continuously monitoring and recording 7 8 flow based on valve position and an external flowmeter and by obtaining weekly representative stream composition samples, from which Valero will calculate total carbon, methane, non-methane organic 9 compounds (NMOCs), BTEX, and any other TAC found by the current EPA analytical method 8260. 10 Valero may request to use another BAAQMD approved alternative analytical method. Within 30 days 11 from the Effective Date, Valero shall submit a Sampling and Analysis Plan, which receives BAAQMD 12 review and approval and includes sample locations, sampling frequency, methodologies, and any other 13 14 documents or materials needed for BAAQMD to assess the Plan. Within 30 days of approval of the 15 Sampling and Analysis Plan, Valero shall monitor as approved by BAAQMD. Once the Vent Project is completed and commences operations, Valero shall monitor in accordance with the requirements of the 16 applicable permit. Valero shall make all such monitoring records available to District upon request. 17 Submission of Permit Application. 18

19 b) <u>Permit Applications</u>. Valero shall submit a full, complete, and accurate permit application for the Vent Project that implements this Stipulated Order of Abatement within six (6) months of the 20 Effective Date, based on the Parties' current understanding that the Vent Project is unlikely to require 21 review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA); provided, however, that if the detailed 22 design engineering for the Vent Project indicates a need to develop new equipment or facilities or 23 significant modifications to existing facilities such that it would be considered a "project" under CEQA, 24 25 all required applications for the Vent Project shall be submitted within 24 months of the Effective Date. The District agrees to review Valero's BAAQMD application in due course in accordance with 26 Regulation 2, Rules 1 and 6. 27

28

c) <u>CEQA</u>. If the proposed Vent Project is determined to be a "project" for purposes of CEQA,

Valero shall promptly initiate the appropriate CEQA evaluation process with the City of Benicia as the
lead agency, which process is anticipated to take 18 months from the Effective Date. The District
further agrees to work cooperatively with Valero to provide information to the City of Benicia as
appropriate to secure issuance of the necessary CEQA approvals, Use Permit modifications, and any
other required external (i.e. outside of Valero) approvals, which Valero shall expeditiously obtain and
submit applications therefor within 4 months of the effective date of this Agreement.

Deadline; Construction and Commencement of Operations. Within 30 days of issuance of the d) 7 Authority to Construct, Valero shall undertake the process of commencing the Vent 8 Project. Commencement for purposes of this SOA shall include actual construction on-site, construction 9 off-site of project components, entering into contracts for long-lead items or contracts for project labor, 10 or formal approval of capital spending. The 30 day period in this section may be extended upon 11 12 approval of the District or Hearing Board. Periodic monthly updates of the status of the Vent Project 13 may be requested by the District. Valero shall complete construction in accordance with the Authority to Construct as soon as feasible but no later than by the end of the soonest scheduled refinery-wide 14 Turnaround, the date of which is in Appendix 2, unless the completion of construction is delayed 15 through no fault of Valero. 16

17 3. Confirmation of Successful Operation. For 24 months following completion of the Vent Project, Valero shall monitor any emissions from ST-302, including as provided in Sections 6.1 and 6.2, 18 to confirm that the Vent Project has attained its objective of preventing discharges exceeding the limits 19 of Regulation 8-2 and shall promptly make any operational or design adjustments necessary to ensure 20 successful operation of the Vent Project that do not require permit modifications. If any emissions from 21 ST-302 following or preceding completion of the Vent Project exceed the limits of Regulation 8-2, such 22 exceedances may be enforced by the District against Valero pursuant to the Parties' separate 23 Enforcement Penalty Agreement or otherwise. If necessary operational or design adjustments are 24 identified that would require permit modifications, Valero shall submit a permit application and shall 25 continue to operate, monitor, and report subject to the terms of this SOA pending successful completion 26 of the modifications and demonstration of compliance based on twenty-four months of operating in 27 compliance with the requirements of Regulation 8-2. The Vent Project shall abate the emissions from 28

ST-302 to levels below those in Regulation 8-2-301, and shall not just re-route the emissions from ST 302 to other emission points unless the other emission points are in compliance with Regulation 8-2-301
 and other applicable limits. If the Vent Project takes ST-302 completely out of service so that it does not
 vent, then the requirements of this Section 3 shall not apply.

5 4. Timely Responses. Valero shall provide data to the District within 10 business days of BAAQMD
6 requests for information for the proposed Vent Project. If multiple information requests are made in a
7 short period of time or the data requested is voluminous or difficult to obtain, the Parties shall work
8 together cooperatively to determine a reasonable time frame or approach for response.

9 5. Record keeping and Reporting. Valero shall maintain the records described in this SOA. Valero
10 shall retain these records for (a) at least five (5) years after the termination of this SOA, or (b) such period
11 of time as is otherwise required under applicable law, whichever is longer. All reports, records, and other
12 data submitted by Valero to the District shall be signed and certified by the Valero responsible official or
13 his designated representative. Valero shall send the records and reports to:

Bay Area Air Quality Management District
 Engineering Division

Pamela Leong, Director of Engineering

16 375 Beale Street, Suite 600

San Francisco, CA 94105 pleong@baagmd.gov

18

6. Ongoing Sampling, Monitoring, and Monthly Progress Reports.

6.1 Ongoing Sampling. During Normal Operations, and also during Startup and Shutdown, Valero shall 19 obtain weekly representative samples of the hydrogen stream that has the potential to be vented from 20 process vent ST-302 (the "Stream") and shall quantitatively measure, record, and report to BAAQMD the 21 22 Stream quantities, concentrations, and mass emissions of pollutants subject to regulation under Regulation 8-2, methane, NMOCs, POCs, BTEX, and any other TAC found by the current EPA analytical method 23 8260 (the "Stream Sampling Data"), or other BAAOMD approved alternative analytical method, starting 24 25 within 30 days of approval of Valero's Sampling and Analysis Plan by BAAQMD. Samples shall be collected at locations and using methodologies stated in Valero's Sampling and Analysis Plan approved 26 by the BAAQMD. Valero shall include the Stream Sampling Data, sampling locations, process and 27 instrumentation diagrams (P&IDs), location descriptions, locations shown on a map, and any relevant 28

contextual information in Valero's bi-monthly progress reports to BAAQMD for the duration of this SOA. 1 2 Any time Valero samples from a new location in a non-emergency situation, Valero shall obtain prior written approval from the District. This is intended to ensure that the sample obtained from the location 3 is representative of the emissions at the hydrogen plant. If a change in sampling location is done due to an 4 emergency, then Valero shall notify the District within 24 hours of the decision to change sample 5 locations, and shall describe in the next report to the District any variations in sampling locations, the 6 reason for changing sampling locations, and why Valero believes the sampling is representative, and 7 include with that report a copy of the MOC, if needed, with the approved change. 8

9 6.2 Upset Sampling. Valero shall take a representative upset sample of the Stream within 30 minutes of the process vent ST-302 Stream emissions beginning when operational data indicates that an operational 10 upset is occurring, if safe to do so. Whenever and every time an upset occurs, Valero shall continue to 11 take Stream samples every three hours thereafter, provided the sampling can be done safely until valve 12 P017 closes. If any sampling is not done due to safety concerns, then such concerns shall be fully 13 documented in detail and that description included in Valero's bi-monthly progress report to the District. 14 Valero shall report all such upset Stream Sampling Data, and any relevant contextual information relating 15 to this upset Stream Sampling Data and/or this upset Stream sampling program, in Valero's bi-monthly 16 progress reports to BAAQMD for the duration of this SOA. Valero shall give consideration to 17 implementing alternative sampling methods, such as an automatic sampling system. If a year after the 18 19 Effective Date of this SOA, the upset sampling shows substantially identical results, then Valero may request District approval of an end to the upset sampling requirements in this section. 20

6.3 Ongoing Bi-Monthly Progress Reports. Valero shall provide to the District within 10 business days
of the end of the first full calendar month following the Effective Date and every other month thereafter,
a bi-monthly progress report containing the following:

24 a) All Stream Sampling Data collected during the reporting period;

b) All relevant contextual information relating to the weekly, upset, and CM Stream Sampling Data and
programs;

c) A description of Valero's interim measures under this SOA to minimize any atmospheric emissions
from the Hydrogen System pending successful construction and operation of the Vent Project;

d) An update on the status of progress in implementing the Vent Project and this Stipulated Order of
Abatement, including the dates by which each action item was achieved and an explanation of why any
dates in the schedule of compliance were not, or will not, be met, and any preventive or corrective
measures adopted.

6 e) An update on any modifications to the Vent Project, including a discussion of why the modification is
6 necessary or appropriate and any efforts undertaken to minimize any delay in implementing the Vent
7 Project.

Termination; Term. This SOA shall be terminated upon approval by the District that the Vent 8 7. Project has been successfully completed and a District permit to operate for the Project has been issued. 9 Based on the Parties' current expectations regarding CEQA applicability to the Vent Project, anticipated 10 BAAQMD permit processing time, delivery of long-lead equipment, and other relevant information, it is 11 anticipated that termination will occur approximately thirty-six months from the Effective Date. The Term 12 of this SOA shall be the sooner of thirty-six (36) months, commencing on the Effective Date, which is the 13 date of the Hearing Board Chair's signature below, or approval by the District of the Vent Project and the 14 District's issuance of the permit to operate the Vent Project. The Term of this SOA may be extended by 15 approval of the Hearing Board, which approval can be requested by either of the Parties. The Parties agree 16 to the best of their knowledge that all the lettered paragraphs in Appendix 1 are true and correct and 17 Appendix 1 is incorporated herein by this reference as if set forth in full. 18

198.Notice. Any notice required or permitted hereunder shall be sent by email and also by one or more20of the following methods: US mail, FedEx, UPS, hand delivery, or other delivery service to all the

21 addresses shown below:

	If to Valero:	Valero Refining Company-California
22		Joshua Tulino
23		Vice President and General Manager
23		Kim Ronan
24		Director of HSE
		Valero Benicia Refinery
25		3400 East Second Street
		Benicia, CA 94510
26	1	
27		Joshua.Tulino@valero.com
- '		Kimberly.Ronan@valero.com
28		

1	And also:			
2	The Valero Companies Parker Wilson, Esq.			
3	Vice President of Environmental, Safety and			
4	Regulatory Affairs Law The Valero Companies			
5	One Valero Way San Antonio, TX 78249			
6	Parker. Wilson@valero.com			
7				
8	If to District: Bay Area Air Quality Management District			
9	Pamela Leong, Director			
10	Engineering Division 375 Beale Street, Suite 600			
11	San Francisco, CA 94105			
11	Pleong@baaqmd.gov			
12	And also			
14	Bay Area Air Quality Management District			
15	Jeff Gove, Director Compliance and Enforcement Division			
	375 Beale Street, Suite 600			
16	San Francisco, CA 94105			
17	Jgove@baaqmd.gov			
18 19	Note there is a 10 MB limit on the District's receipt of emails. Records and notices may also be sent to			
20	9. Effect of Shutdown. The permanent shutdown of, and surrender of all permits for, the hydrogen			
21				
22	plants, the naphtha reformer unit, and ST-302 and the surrender of all permits for those process units will			
23	be deemed to satisfy the requirements of this SOA.			
24	10. Default of Valero. If Valero fails to perform any of its material obligations, including without			
25	limitation its obligation to timely provide to the District records or other data under this SOA, the District			
26	shall notify Valero in writing that Valero is or may be in breach of the SOA, identifying the specific			
27	obligation under the SOA at issue and the factual basis for the District's concern. Valero may either cure			
28	the alleged breach promptly or may request a meeting to discuss the basis for the District's concern and			
	the proposed resolution. If the parties cannot reach an acceptable resolution following within 30 days of			
	9			

1

•

.

the District's original notice, the District may seek to terminate this SOA upon written notice to Valero
and seek any remedies and penalties to which it is entitled by law, including but not limited to a motion
to the Hearing Board to modify or terminate this SOA.

4 11. Survival of Expiration. Sections 3, 6, 6.1, 6.2, and 9 through 11 shall survive expiration of
5 this SOA unless and until they are included in substance in Valero's Benicia Refinery authority to
6 construct for the Vent Project, and/or permit to operate and Title V permit. Those Sections shall be
7 included by the District in Valero's Benicia Refinery permit to operate and Title V permit, and
8 Valero agrees not to contest inclusion of such requirements in the permits. The permits authorizing
9 construction and operation of the Vent Project shall include all the obligations of Sections 2, 4, and
10 9 through 11.

Separate Statements. Each Party may file with the Hearing Board its own Separate
 Statement to explain why it entered into this SOA and to provide additional relevant factual
 background. Each Party may file a Rebuttal to the other Party's Separate Statement. Nothing in
 either Party's Separate Statement or Rebuttal alters this SOA.

15

Conditional Order for Abatement

Cause being found therefore, pursuant to Sections 42451(b) and 42452 of the California
 Health and Safety Code, THE HEARING BOARD of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY
 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT hereby ORDERS:

1. That the APCO's and Respondent's Request for this Stipulated Conditional Order for 19 Abatement shall be and hereby is GRANTED as follows: Respondent Valero and its agents, employees, 20 successors and assigns are hereby ordered to cease operation of the Benicia Refinery's process vent ST-21 302, unless: As soon as feasible but no later than by the end of Valero's soonest refinery-wide 22 Turnaround, or such later date as the Hearing Board may approve upon a showing of good cause, Valero 23 shall design, receive a District authority to construct, construct, receive a District permit to operate, and 24 operate a capital project ("the Vent Project") to control or abate atmospheric emissions from the 25 Hydrogen System at the Valero Benicia Refinery through the Refinery's process vent ST-302 to a level 26 not exceeding standards set forth in District Regulation 8-2 and in satisfaction of all the requirements set 27 forth in detail in this Stipulated Order of Abatement and its Appendices 1 and 2. 28

2. That this Stipulated Order for Abatement shall become effective immediately.
 3. That the Hearing Board shall retain jurisdiction over this matter until Respondent comes into compliance with the requirements of Regulation 8, Rule 2, Section 301 in accordance with the requirements set forth in Paragraph 1 of this Stipulated Order for Abatement, during which period the parties may apply to alter or terminate this Order in accordance with the Rules of the Hearing Board.

For such other and further relief that this Board deems just and proper.

By:

SO AGREED, STIPULATED AND EXECUTED.

9 ADAN SCHWARTZ
10 ACTING DISTRICT COUNSEL BAY AREA AIR QUALITY
11 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT 375 Beale Street, Suite 600
12 San Francisco, CA 94105

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.

By

JOEL FREID ASSISTANT COUNSEL II COUNSEL FOR THE AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER

VALERO REFINING COMPANY – CALIFORNIA One Valero Way San Antonio, Texas 78249

JOSHUA TULINO VICE-PRESIDENT & GENERAL MANAGER

1/20/22 Date: 1/20/22 Date: Moved by: Seconded by: AYES: NOES: Date Valerie Armento, Chair

APPENDIX 1 TO THE [PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER OF ABATEMENT IN AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,

Complainant,

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

6 V.

VALERO REFINING COMPANY - CALIFORNIA,

Respondent.

A. The Valero Benicia Refinery was built by Humble Oil Company in 1968, which was
 succeeded by Standard Oil of New Jersey, Exxon Company USA, and then the ExxonMobil Refining
 and Supply Company, after which the Refinery was bought by Valero Refining Company – California
 on May 15, 2000.

B. The Refinery's two Hydrogen Plants (H2U-A and H2U-B; Source ("S") -1010, and the
Naphtha Reformulation Unit (NRU; S-1004)), collectively referenced as the "Hydrogen System," are
process units which were part of original construction. Each process unit in the Hydrogen System was
designed to vent excess hydrogen to atmosphere through process vent ST-302.

C. Samples of excess hydrogen released from process vent ST-302 contain Toxic Air 18 Contaminants ("TACs") and Volatile Organic Compounds ("VOCs") when an imbalance between 19 production and consumption occurs. Operating scenarios that may create imbalance include but are not 20 limited to: (a) startup and shutdown of process units that consume hydrogen, (b) startup and shutdown of 21 process units that produce hydrogen, (c) normal operating fluctuations resulting in excess hydrogen 22 production due to a change in demand by the process units that consume hydrogen or an increase in 23 production from the process units that produce hydrogen, and (d) process upset and malfunction 24 conditions. 25

D. However, as a result of an Air District investigation, Valero has now disclosed that these
streams are not pure hydrogen and while venting contain certain pollutants, Precursor Organic
Compounds (POCs), TACs listed in Regulation 2, Rule 5, and methane that are not authorized to be

12

emitted to atmosphere uncontrolled; therefore, the process vent's configuration is not acceptable.
 BAAQMD issued to Valero Notice of Violation No. ("NOV") A58465 on 3/21/2019 for violation of
 BAAQMD Regulation 8-2-301 which resulted in Valero filing a Title V deviation #1073.

E. The harm caused by this alleged violation, which led to NOV A58465, was the intermittent
excess release of POCs and TACs to the atmosphere. POCs are non-methane hydrocarbons that contribute
to the formation of ground-level ozone. In addition, a portion of the POCs emitted to atmosphere with this
violation contained benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene ("BTEX") compounds.

F. The Parties agree that any solution implemented to mitigate the alleged non-compliant Valero
atmospheric vent ST-302 must meet all requirements of all existing BAAQMD rules and regulations, and
State and Federal rules, laws, and regulations applicable to the facilities, systems or sources.

G. Although Valero has undertaken short-term measures to minimize emissions from ST-302,
which Valero believes have been very effective, a capital project to ensure consistent compliance of ST302 with Regulation 8-2-301 will require time to design, engineer, permit, construct, and start up to
achieve the solution needed to ensure compliance with applicable Air District rules and regulations.

H. Within 30 days of the Effective Date of this SOA, Valero shall submit to the District for
 approval a new Vent Project plan and proposed schedule.

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONDITIONAL ORDER OF ABATEMENT

1	APPENDIX 2 TO THE [PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER OF ABATEMENT IN				
2 3	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the				
4	Complainant,				
6	V. VALERO REFINING COMPANY - CALIFORNIA,				
8 9	Respondent.				
10 11	CONFIDENTIAL PROPRIETARY AND TRADE SECRET INFORMATION				
12 13	The estimated date of the soonest upcoming refinery-wide Turnaround at Valero Benicia Refinery is				
14					
15 16	The estimated date of the next soonest refinery-wide Turnaround at Valero Benicia Refinery is				
17 18					
18					
20 21					
22					
23 24					
25					
26 27					
28					
	14 [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONDITIONAL ORDER OF ABATEMENT				

1	FILED		
2	JAN 24 2022		
4	HEARING BOARD		
5	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT		
6 7	5 BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the) DOCKET NO. 3731 BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT)		
9	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
10	Complainant,		
11	vs.		
12	VALERO REFINING COMPANY - CALIFORNIA,		
13	Respondents.		
14	}		
15)		
16 17	I, Magnolia Vinluan-Chan, declare as follows:		
18	I am over the age of 18, not a party to this action and am employed in the City and County of San		
19	Francisco at 375 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.		
20	I served the following documents to the addressees listed below at the addresses specified:		
21			
22	• JANUARY 24, 2022 LETTER TO THE HONORABLE VALERIE ARMENTO		
23	ACCUSATION AND [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR ABATEMENT REGULATION 8, RULE 2 (public version w/o trade secrets)		
24	ACCUSATION AND [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR		
25	ABATEMENT REGULATION 8, RULE 2 (confidential version w/ trade secrets)		
26	STATEMENT TO RESPONDENT		
27	NOTICE OF DEFENSE		
28	CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 11507.5 – 11507.7		
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		

\boxtimes	By placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope to be sent by Certified,			
	Return Receipt mail with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Francisco, California addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.			
	By causing personal delivery of the above documents at the address(es) set forth below.			
\boxtimes	By causing the above documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic notification addresses(es) set forth below.			
	On January 24, 2022, I served the above-listed documents to the addressees at the addresses			
listed below:				
	Parker Wilson Julie Cress			
	Vice President of Environmental, Safety and Regulatory Affairs LawBaker Botts LLP 101 California Street, Suite 3600			
	The Valero CompaniesSan Francisco, CA 94111One Valero WayCounsel for Valero Refining Company -			
	San Antonio, TX 78429CaliforniaEmail: parker.wilson@valero.comEmail: julie.cress@bakerbotts.com			
(<i>Email only</i>) Email: <u>june cress(goakerootts.com</u>) (<i>Email only</i>) Tel: (415) 291-6242 Fax: (415) 291-6342				
Megan Bluntzer Managing Counsel				
The Valero Companies One Valero Way				
San Antonio, TX 78429 Email: <u>megan.bluntzer@valero.com</u>				
I am readily familiar with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's practice of				
collection and processing of the mail. Under that practice, the mail would be deposited with the U.S.				
	on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.			
I dealars under panelty of pariur, under the laws of the State of California that the above				
is true and correct.				
	Executed on January 24, 2022, at San Francisco, California.			
Executed on January 24, 2022, at San Francisco, California.				
	Magnolia Vinluan-Gran			
	2			
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
	Listed below: collection and Postal Service			