# BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

- 91			
10	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT	) DOCKET NO. 3760	
11	DISTRICT	) STIPULATED CONDITION	
11		) ORDER FOR ABATEMEN' )	l
12	Complainant,		
13	vs.	)	
14	OLAM WEST COAST, INC.,		
15	Respondent.	<u> </u>	
- 1		)	

The Air Pollution Control Officer (APCO) of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Air District), the Complainant in this action, sought a CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR ABATEMENT from this Hearing Board against Olam West Coast, Inc. (Respondent), requiring Respondent to cease operating six food dryers at the Facility located at 1350 Pacheco Pass Highway in Gilroy, California in violation of applicable permit limits by a date certain unless Respondent timely applies for and obtains a decision on its request for increased emissions limits in accordance with Air District Regulation 2, Rule 1, and in the interim, operate in accordance with prescribed terms and conditions.

## PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND AND HEARING

#### Procedural Background: Complainant's Accusation

16

17

18

21

23

24

25

26

27

Complainant filed an accusation on April 30, 2025, initiating this matter against Respondent (Accusation). The Clerk of the Hearing Board set a public hearing for July 15, 2025. The Clerk of the Hearing Board provided notice of the hearing on the Accusation in accordance with the requirements

10 11

12

13 14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23

25

26 27 of Health and Safety Code section 40823. The parties stipulate to this Conditional Order for Abatement and request that the Hearing Board enter the Order.

#### Public Hearing, July 15, 2025

The Hearing Board conducted a public hearing on July 15, 2025. Carrie Schilling appeared on behalf of the Complainant. Stephanie Sebor appeared on behalf of the Respondent. Testimony was taken.

#### WRITTEN FINDINGS IN SUPPORT OF ITS DECISION

Health and Safety Code Section 42451(a) provides that the Hearing Board may, upon a motion from the APCO, issue an order for abatement where it finds that a person is operating equipment in violation of an Air District permit. To that end, the Hearing Board finds as follows:

Respondent owns and operates an agricultural processing facility (Facility) at 1350 Pacheco Pass Highway in Gilroy, California, Air District Facility Identification No. 20330. Respondent operates six food dryers at the Facility on a seasonal basis from approximately April until November each year. The food dryers emit air pollutants, including nitrogen oxides (NOx) and carbon monoxide (CO), that the Air District regulates in order to protect air quality and public health. The Air District authorized Respondent to install and start operating the food dryers in 2018, and imposed permit conditions limiting emissions from the food dryers to 22.8 tons per year of NOx and 53.5 tons per year of CO through rate-based concentration limitations and a facility-wide NOx emission limitation. After Respondent began operating the food dryers, emissions testing indicated that the estimates on which these permit conditions were based were significantly inaccurate. The testing indicated that the food dryers actually emit up to 56.7 tons per year of NOx and 182.6 tons per year of CO. Respondent has operated and is continuing to operate its food dryers in violation of its NOx and CO permit conditions. Respondent agrees to use EPA Method 2F or Bay Area Air District-approved equivalent or alternative method approved by the APCO in writing as the source test methodology and is seeking to obtain revised permit conditions that will ensure that it operates in compliance with all applicable air quality regulations.

In light of the above, the parties requested that the Hearing Board issue a Stipulated Conditional Order for Abatement requiring Respondent to cease and desist from operating its food dryers in

violation of its permit conditions 26683 and 26684, and of Regulation 2-1-307 (prohibiting operation in violation of permit conditions), unless Respondent complies with the terms and conditions contained in this Stipulated Conditional Abatement Order.

The Hearing Board has determined that based on these findings, it is appropriate to enter a Stipulated Conditional Order for Abatement that prohibits Respondent from operating in violation of the permit conditions in its current Authority to Construct unless Respondent complies with the terms and conditions below, until it obtains a Permit to Operate with revised conditions, or for one (1) year from the date the Hearing Board files its findings and decision in this action, whichever is sooner. By no later than one (1) year from the date of the Hearing Board's decision, the Respondent must obtain an Air District Permit to Operate the Facility under revised permit conditions that will ensure compliance with all applicable air quality regulations, or the Facility must comply with all requirements in its current Authority to Construct unless and until a revised permit to operate is obtained.

### STIPULATED CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR ABATEMENT

Cause being found therefore, pursuant to Sections 42451(a) and 42452 of the California

Health and Safety Code, THE HEARING BOARD of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY

MANAGEMENT AIR DISTRICT hereby ORDERS:

This Conditional Order for Abatement (Stipulated) is not intended to be, nor will it act as, a variance.

- 1. That as of ten (10) days after the filing of the written findings and decision of the Hearing Board of the Bay Area Air Quality Management Air District (Effective Date), Respondent and its agents, employees, successors and assigns shall cease operating the food dryers in violation of the emission limits for NOx and CO contained in the current Authority to Construct unless Respondent complies with all of the following terms and conditions until Respondent obtains a Permit to Operate with revised conditions or one (1) year after the Effective Date, whichever is sooner:
  - a. The owner/operator of S-3, S-4, S-7, S-8, S-9, and S-10 shall operate these sources on PUC regulated natural gas fuel exclusively.

All citations to regulations are to the Regulations of the Air District, which are available at <a href="https://www.baaqmd.gov/en/rules-and-compliance/current-rules">www.baaqmd.gov/en/rules-and-compliance/current-rules</a>.

- b. The owner/operator shall not use more than 7,610,000 therms of natural gas at S-3, S-4, S-7, S-8, S-9, and S-10 combined in any consecutive twelve-month period.
- c. The owner/operator shall not operate S-3, S-4, S-7, S-8, S-9, and S-10 unless the emissions from these sources do not exceed the following emission rates:
  - i. NOx = 0.149 lbs/MMBtu
  - ii. CO = 0.480 lbs/MMBtu
- d. The owner/operator shall comply with all applicable testing, sampling port location and safe access requirements as specified in Volume IV of the Air District's Manual of Procedures. The owner/operator shall notify the Air District's Source Test Section, in writing, of the source test protocols, sampling port locations, layout, access and projected test dates at least thirty (30) days prior to testing. The owner/operator shall use the following test methods for each pollutant:
  - i. NOx: EPA Method 7E or equivalent approved by the APCO for the Bay Area Air District in writing;
  - ii. CO: EPA Method 10 or equivalent approved by the APCO for the Bay Area Air District in writing;
  - iii. Stack Gas Flow: EPA Method 2F or equivalent or alternative method approved by the APCO for the Bay Area Air District in writing.
- e. Within one hundred and twenty (120) days of starting operation in 2025, the owner/operator shall conduct an Air District approved source test of S-3, S-4, S-7, S-8, S-9, and S-10 consistent with Part 4 above to verify that it complies with the emission rates in Part 3 of this condition. All source test methods used shall be subject to the prior approval of the Source Test Section of the Air District Technical Division. The owner/operator shall notify the Manager of the Air District's Source Test Section at least seven (7) days prior to the tests, to provide the Air District staff the option of observing the testing. Within sixty (60) days of test completion, a comprehensive report of the test results shall be submitted to the Manager of the Air District's Source Test Section for review and disposition.

11

15

19

Moved By: Dr. Peter Chiu, M.D., P.E.

following records:

i. Monthly natural gas usages;

iii. Source test reports.

District upon request.

revised permit conditions.

Seconded By: Rajiv Dabir, P.E.

Board.

Myes: Dr. Peter Chiu, M.D., P.E.; Rajiv Dabir, P.E.; Wayne Farrens; Barbara Toole O'Neil,

26 MS, QEP, Ch.E., Vice Chair; and Valerie J. Armento, Esq., Chair

submission required by this Paragraph 3 on the APCO.

27 Noes: None

28 Absent: None

f. To determine compliance with the above parts, the owner/operator shall maintain the

ii. Records to demonstrate that the sources fire PUC regulated natural gas exclusively;

g. These records shall be kept for at least two (2) years and shall be made available to the Air

2. That by no later than one (1) year after the Effective Date, Respondent and its agents, employees,

successors and assigns shall cease and desist from operating its food dryers in violation of its

3. That by no later than one (1) year from the Effective Date, Respondent and its agents, employees,

Air District Permit to Operate the Facility under revised permit conditions that will ensure

compliance with all applicable air quality regulations, or (b) written affirmation executed by

Respondent that it has ceased operating six food dryers in violation of the current Authority to

Construct pursuant to Air District Regulation 2, Rule 1. Respondents shall serve a copy of the

4. That this Hearing Board shall retain jurisdiction over the order for abatement for two (2) years

from July 15, 2025, i.e., the date of the public hearing in this matter, during which period the

parties may apply to modify or terminate this Order in accordance with the Rules of the Hearing

successors and assigns shall submit to the Hearing Board either (a) a copy of a current and valid

permit conditions 26683 and 26684, and of Regulation 2-1-307, or obtain a Permit to Operate with

- 1		
1		
2		
3	Valerie J. armento	July 16, 2005
4	Valerie J. Armento, Esq., Chair	Date
5		
6	So Stipulated:	
7	So Supulateu:	
8	Carrie Schilling	16/07/25
9	ALEXANDER G. CROCKETT, ESQ. General Counsel	Date
10	Carrie Schilling	
11		
12	Executive Officer/APCO BAY AREA AIR QUALITY	
13	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	
14	Stephanie Sebor	16/07/25
15	Stephanie Sebor	Date
16	Jenner and Block, LLP Counsel for OLAM GILROY	
17	COMMING AND COMMING VENEZUE	
18		
19		9
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
_~		6
	STIPULATED C	ONDITIONAL ORDER OF ABATEMENT

1	BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE			
2	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT			
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
4	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of) Docket No.: 3760 the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY)			
5	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
6	Complainant, {			
7	VS.			
8	OLAM WEST COAST, INC.			
9	Respondents. JUL 21 2025			
10	HEARING BOARD BAY AREA AIR QUALITY			
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )  SS.			
12	City and County of San Francisco )			
13	I, Marcy Hiratzka, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury as follows: That I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the above-entitled action; that I served a true copy of the attached <b>Stipulated Conditional Order for Abatement</b> on:			
14				
15	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			
16	Stephanie B. Sebor, Esq.  Jenner & Block LLP  353 North Clark Street  Chicago, IL, 60654-3456  ssebor@jenner.com			
17				
18				
19				
20	by depositing same via email and in the United States certified mail, return receipt requested, on July 21, 2025 and on:			
21				
22	Carrie Schilling, Esq., Senior Assistant Counsel			
23	Bay Area Air Quality Management District cschilling@baaqmd.gov			
24				
25	via email July 21, 2025			
26	DATED: July 21, 2025			
27	Marcy Hiratzka Clerk of the Boards			