| 1 | | FILED | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | | JUN 21 2010 | |
| 3 | | HEARING BOARD BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT | |
| 4 | | Lisa Harper Clerk, Hearing Board Bay Area Air Quality | |
| 5 | | Management District | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE | | |
| 8 | BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, |)) DOCKET NO. 3578 | |
| 11 | |) | |
| 12 | Complainant, |)) CONDITIONAL ORDER | |
| 13 | vs, |) FOR ABATEMENT | |
| 14 | SHA CAPITAL INC., a California corporation, d/b/a AUTOPIA EXPRESS; SATWANT SINGH, a/k/a SAT |)) | |
| 15 | SINGH, individually; MICHAEL LODWIG, individually; a GASOLINE DISPENSING FACILITY | ý) | |
| 16 | LOCATED AT 3950 ALHAMBRA AVENUE, MARTINEZ, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, | ý) | |
| 17 | CALIFORNIA, a/k/a MARTINEZ GAS & CAR WASH, Site No. C9730; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, |) | |
| 18 | Respondents. |) | |
| 19 | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |) | |
| 20 | | , | |
| 21 | On or about May 14, 2010, the Air Pollution Control | Officer ("APCO") of the Bay Area | |
| 22 | Air Quality Management District ("District"), Complainant in the above-entitled matter, filed | | |
| 23 | with this Hearing Board an Accusation and Request for Order for Abatement ("Accusation") | | |
| 24 | against SHA Capital, Inc., a California corporation, <i>d/b/a</i> Autopia Express; Satwant Singh, <i>a/k/a</i> | | |
| 25 | Sat Singh, individually; Michael Lodwig, individually; a gasoline dispensing facility located at | | |
| 26 | 3950 Alhambra Avenue, Martinez, Contra Costa County, California, Site No. 9730; and Does 1 | | |
| 27 | through 10, inclusive (hereinafter "Respondents"), to cease and desist transferring gasoline at its | | |
| 28 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR ABA | I EMIEN I | |
| | | | |

gasoline dispensing facility located at 3950 Alhambra Avenue, Martinez, Contra Costa County, 1 2 California, Site No. C9730, also known as Martinez Gas & Car Wash ("Facility"), from the 3 Facility's underground gasoline storage tanks to motor vehicles thirty days from the date of hearing in this matter until Respondents install enhanced vapor recovery ("EVR") Phase II 4 system equipment certified by the California Air Resources Board ("ARB") in accordance with 5 the requirements of District Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1. 6 7 Mr. Satwant Singh and Mr. Michael Lodwig appeared for Respondents. 8 Susan Adams, Assistant Counsel, appeared for the Air Pollution Control Officer. The Clerk of the Hearing Board provided notice of the hearing on the Accusation in 9 accordance with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 40823. The Hearing Board 10

11 heard the request for an Order for Abatement on June 10, 2010.

Mr. Christopher Coelho and Mr. Scott Owen testified for the APCO. Mr. Satwant Singh
and Mr. Michael Lodwig testified for the Respondents.

The Hearing Board provided the public with an opportunity to testify at the hearing, as
required by the Health and Safety Code. No members of the public testified. The Hearing Board
heard evidence, testimony and oral argument from the APCO and Respondents.

The Hearing Board closed the hearing after receiving evidence, testimony and argument,
and took the matter under submission for decision. After consideration of the evidence, the
Hearing Board found the following findings of fact and conclusions of law, and voted to issue a
Conditional Order for Abatement as set forth below:

21

FINDINGS OF FACT

SHA Capital, Inc., a California corporation, which does business as Martinez
 Gas & Car Wash and Autopia Express; Satwant Singh, *a/k/a* Sat Singh, individually; and
 Michael Lodwig, individually, own and/or operate the Facility (hereinafter "Owner").

25 2. The Facility is subject to the jurisdiction of the District. The Facility contains
26 two underground gasoline stationary storage tanks. The Facility's annual gasoline throughput
27 for the calendar year 2008 was approximately 756,000 gallons.

28

2

1 3. Volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") are organic compounds that evaporate 2 quickly into the atmosphere. VOCs, reacting with oxides of nitrogen in sunlight, create ground 3 level ozone. Ground level ozone is the primary component of photochemical smog, which is a 4 significant air quality problem in the Bay Area. Ozone aggravates respiratory diseases, reduces 5 visibility, causes eye irritation, and damages vegetation. One of the common sources of VOCs is 6 gasoline vapors. Gasoline vapor, which contains hydrocarbons, is an air contaminant. Gasoline 7 contains benzene, a known carcinogen. In the Bay Area, gasoline dispensing facilities are a 8 major source of VOC emissions.

9 4. A Gas Dispensing Facility ("GDF") "vapor recovery system" collects gasoline
10 vapors that are discharged during gasoline transfer operations and processes the vapors to
11 prevent their release into the atmosphere.

12 5. A GDF vapor recovery system comprises a "Phase I" system, which controls
13 gasoline vapors during the transfer of gasoline from gasoline cargo tanks to a GDF's stationary
14 storage tank, and a "Phase II" system, which controls gasoline vapors during transfer of gasoline
15 between the GDF's stationary storage tank and a motor vehicle.

6. District Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1 prohibits a person from transferring
gasoline between a GDF's stationary storage tank and a motor vehicle without an ARB-certified
Phase II system in place and in operation.

State law requires that stations in existence as of April 1, 2005 with underground
 stationary gasoline storage tanks had to install an enhanced vapor recovery ("EVR") Phase II
 system as of April 1, 2009. As of April 1, 2009, only EVR Phase II equipment was ARB certified, and any Phase II system that was not ARB-certified as EVR Phase II was de-certified.

8. The District is authorized to tag "out of order" components at a GDF that are not
certified by ARB. Until such components are replaced, repaired or adjusted and the District has
reinspected the components (or authorized use of the components pending reinspection), no one
may operate them.

The District conducted an extensive public outreach program to GDF owners and

28

27

9.

operators about the EVR Phase II upgrade requirements and District Regulation 8-7-302.1
 through public workshops, delivery of District compliance advisories, and on-site compliance
 assistance visits at GDFs.

10. 4 On numerous occasions, the District inspected the Facility to remind 5 Respondents of the April 1, 2009 deadline and to monitor the progress of the EVR Phase II 6 system upgrade. Prior to April 1, 2009, the District's inspector conducted two compliance 7 verification inspections, which included review of Facility records, including throughput records, 8 and inspections of GDF equipment for compliance with District rules and regulations. During 9 one of those inspections, the inspector left ARB Vapor Recovery Advisory Numbers 372, 373, and 374 at the Facility with the station manager. Between August 12, 2009 and June 8, 2010, the 10 District's inspector conducted 7 compliance assistance visits, confirming each time that 11 12 Respondents continued to conduct motor vehicle refueling operations without having installed all 13 of the ARB-certified EVR Phase II system upgrade at the Facility. The District noted that during one of the compliance assistance visits, the District identified one EVR Phase II hose installed at 14 the Facility. 15

16 11. Respondents were aware of the requirement to have installed the EVR Phase II
17 system as of April 1, 2009, but stated that they were seeking a grant or loan of funds from the
18 State Water Resources Control Board's Replacing, Removing or Upgrading Underground
19 Storage Tanks ("RUST") Program to cover the costs to install the ARB-certified EVR Phase II
20 system.

12. Respondents did not curtail or cease dispensing gasoline at the Facility on or 21 22 after April 1, 2009. Respondents did not enter into a compliance and settlement agreement with 23 the District that enabled Respondents to continue gasoline dispensing operations from April 1, 2009 until September 1, 2009 or later while completing work to install the EVR Phase II system. 24 25 13. On October 1, 2009, the District issued Respondents Notice of Violation ("NOV") No. A50957 for conducting gasoline dispensing operations without ARB-certified 26 27 EVR Phase II system as of April 1, 2009, in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1. 4

CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR ABATEMENT

28

1 14. The District inspector photographed the Facility in operation and dispensing 2 gasoline on June 8, 2010 without all required ARB-certified EVR Phase II system installed at the 3 Facility. 15. 4 Due to the Respondents failure to install the ARB-certified EVR Phase II 5 equipment, Respondents emit approximately 454 pounds of excess VOCs annually. 16. 6 Respondents admitted that they had not completed the required EVR Phase II 7 upgrade at the Facility and were operating in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1. 8 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 40750, 40752 and 42451(a), the APCO, who
is appointed by the District Board of Directors, is authorized to enforce all rules and regulations
adopted or prescribed by the District Board and is authorized to seek an Order for Abatement
from the District's Hearing Board to stop violations of a District rule or regulation prohibiting or
limiting the discharge of an air contaminant into the air.

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 42451(a), the Hearing Board may issue an
Order for Abatement if it finds that a person is operating a gasoline dispensing facility in
violation of a District rule or regulation that prohibits or limits the discharge of an air
contaminant into the air.

Cause for determination that Respondents are in violation of District Regulation 8-7302.1 is established by Findings of Fact Nos. 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, and 16.

Cause for issuance of an order that Respondents abate this violation is established by
Findings of Fact Nos. 1 through 16, inclusive.

22 23 24

ORDER

Cause being found therefore, pursuant to Sections 42451(a) and 42452 of the
California Health and Safety Code, THE HEARING BOARD of the BAY AREA AIR
QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT hereby ORDERS:

26 1. That the APCO's Request for a Conditional Order for Abatement ("Order") shall
 27 be and hereby is GRANTED as follows: Respondents are hereby ordered to cease gasoline
 28 5

| 1 | dispensing operations at the Facility sixty (60) days from the date of the hearing on this | matter, |
|----|--|------------|
| 2 | until: | |
| 3 | a. Respondents come into compliance with Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section | on 302.1 |
| 4 | by installing an ARB-certified EVR Phase II system that complies w | vith the |
| 5 | system manufacturer's specifications and with the terms and condition | ons of the |
| 6 | District authority to construct the EVR Phase II system at the Facility | y; and |
| 7 | b. Respondents submit the EVR Phase II upgrade "start-up notification | " to |
| 8 | Respondents' District permit engineer, as required by the EVR Phase | e II |
| 9 | system's authority to construct, with a copy submitted simultaneousl | y to this |
| 10 | Hearing Board and to the District Legal Division, attention Brian C. | Bunger, |
| 11 | via facsimile or certified mail; | |
| 12 | 2. That this Conditional Order for Abatement shall become effective imme | diately; |
| 13 | and | |
| 14 | 3. That Respondents submit "start-up notification" in accordance with the | |

Julio Magalhães, Ph.D., Terry A. Trumbull, Esq.

requirements set forth in Paragraph 1of this Conditional Order for Abatement.

Valerie Armento, Esq.

Gilbert G. Bendix, P.E.

Dailey, M.D.

21 **ABSTAINED:**

Moved by:

AYES:

NOES:

Seconded by:

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Thomas M. Dailey, M.D.,

None

6.21-10 Date

Valerie Armento, Esq., Gilbert G. Bendix, P.E., and Thomas M.

CONDITIONAL ORDER FOR ABATEMENT

6