1 2 3 4		FILED AUG 1 2 2010 HEARING BOARD BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT L'EA HALDER CIERK HORK TO BOARD BAY AREA AIR OWARY	
5 6		Mahikjement Ostrock	
7			
8	BEFORE THE HEARING BOAI	RD OF THE	
9	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGE	MENT DISTRICT	
10			
11	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER of the BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,)) DOCKET NO. 3582	
12))	
13	Complainant,))	
14	vs,) ORDER FOR) ABATEMENT	
15 16 17	SPG GROUP INC., a California corporation, and <i>d/b/a</i> TOSCO FACILITY #11142 <i>a/k/a</i> DIABLO GAS AND MART; SAEED GHAFOORI, individually, <i>a/k/a</i> PAUL GHAFOORI, and <i>d/b/a</i> TOSCO FACILITY #11142 <i>a/k/a</i>))))	
18 19	DIABLO GAS AND MART; and a GASOLINE DISPENSING FACILITY LOCATED AT 198 DIABLO ROAD, DANVILLE, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, Site No. C9294, ,	/))	
19 20	Respondents.)	
21)	
22	On or about June 14, 2010, the Air Pollution Control	Officer ("APCO") of the Bay Area	
23	Air Quality Management District ("District"), Complainant in the above-entitled matter, filed		
24	with this Hearing Board an Accusation and Request for Order for Abatement ("Accusation")		
25	against SPG Group, Inc., a California corporation, and d/b/a	Tosco Facility #11142 a/k/a Diablo	
26	Gas and Mart; Saeed Ghafoori, individually, a/k/a Paul Ghafoori, and d/b/a Tosco Facility		
27	#11142 $a/k/a$ Diablo Gas and Mart; a gasoline dispensing facility located at 198 Diablo Road,		
28	ORDER FOR ABATEMENT		

1	Danville, Contra Costa County, California, Site No. C9294 (all of whom are hereafter referred t
2	as "Respondents"); and Does 1 through 10, inclusive, requesting that the Hearing Board order
3	that they cease and desist the transfer of gasoline from the underground gasoline storage tanks t
4	motor vehicles at their gasoline dispensing facility, which is located at 198 Diablo Road,
5	Danville, Contra Costa County, California, Site No. C9294, also known as Diablo Gas and Mar
· 6	("Facility"), thirty days from the July 29, 2010 hearing, until Respondents install enhanced vap
7	recovery ("EVR") Phase II system equipment certified by the California Air Resources Board
8	("ARB") in accordance with the requirements of District Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1.
9	The APCO requested and the Hearing Board approved that Docket Number 3581 and
10	Docket Number 3582 be consolidated for hearing purposes only.
11	Prior to the hearing, Respondents filed a Notice of Defense, in which Respondents
12	admitted all allegations set forth in the Accusation.
13	Mr. Paul Ghafoori appeared for Respondents Saeed Ghafoori, SPG Group, Inc., Tosco
14	Facility #11142, and Diablo Gas and Mart.
15	Susan Adams, Assistant Counsel, Randi Wallach, Assistant Counsel, and Elaine Yu, leg
16	intern, appeared for the Air Pollution Control Officer.
17	The Clerk of the Hearing Board provided notice of the hearing on the Accusation in
18	accordance with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 40823. The Hearing Board
19	heard the request for an Abatement Order on July 29, 2010.
20	Mr. Christopher Coehlo, Mr. Bradley Kino, Mr. Scott Owen, and Ms. Randi Wallach
21	testified for the APCO.
22	Mr. Paul Ghafoori testified for the Respondents.
23	The Hearing Board provided the public with an opportunity to testify at the hearing, as
24	required by the Health and Safety Code. No members of the public testified. The Hearing Boar
25	heard evidence, testimony and oral argument from the APCO and Respondents. The Hearing
26	Board granted the District's Request for Official Notice in Support of Accusation and Request
27	
28	2
	ORDER FOR ABATEMENT

for Order for Abatement. The Hearing Board admitted the District's Exhibit Nos. 1 through 7. The Hearing Board also admitted Respondents' Exhibit Nos. 1 through 7.

The Hearing Board closed the hearing after receiving evidence, testimony and argument, and took the matter under submission for decision. After consideration of the evidence, the Hearing Board found the following findings of fact and conclusions of law, and voted to issue an Order for Abatement as set forth below:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

FINDINGS OF FACT

Paul Ghafoori, an individual, admitted that he is the President of SPG Group, Inc.,
 a California corporation, which owns and/or operates the gasoline dispensing facility ("GDF")
 that is located at 198 Diablo Road, Danville, Contra Costa County, California, Site No. C9294
 and is operating as Tosco Facility #11142 and Diablo Gas and Mart. Paul Ghafoori, Saeed
 Ghafoori, and SPG Group, Inc., are referred to hereafter collectively as the "Owner." The
 Owner began GDF operations at the Facility in or about December 2007.

The Facility is subject to the jurisdiction of the District. The Facility contains
 three stationary underground gasoline storage tanks. The Facility's annual gasoline throughput
 for the time period of August 2007 through August 2008 was approximately 625,000 gallons.
 The Facility's annual gasoline throughput for the time period of June 2008 through May 2009
 was approximately 496,921 gallons.

19 3. Volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") are organic compounds that evaporate 20 quickly into the atmosphere. VOCs, reacting with oxides of nitrogen in sunlight, create ground 21 level ozone. Ground level ozone is the primary component of photochemical smog, which is a 22 significant air quality problem in the Bay Area. Ozone aggravates respiratory diseases, reduces 23 visibility, causes eye irritation, and damages vegetation. One of the common sources of VOCs is 24 gasoline vapors. Gasoline vapor, which contains hydrocarbons, is an air contaminant. Gasoline 25 contains benzene, a known carcinogen. In the Bay Area, gasoline dispensing facilities are a 26 major source of VOC emissions.

27

28

4.

A GDF "vapor recovery system" collects gasoline vapors that are discharged

1 during gasoline transfer operations and processes the vapors to prevent their release into the 2 atmosphere.

5. A GDF vapor recovery system comprises a "Phase I" system, which controls 3 4 gasoline vapors during the transfer of gasoline from gasoline cargo tanks to a GDF's stationary storage tank, and a "Phase II" system, which controls gasoline vapors during transfer of gasoline between the GDF's stationary storage tank and a motor vehicle.

5

6

7

8

9

28

6. District Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1 prohibits a person from transferring gasoline between a GDF's stationary storage tank and a motor vehicle without an ARB-certified Phase II system in place and in operation.

7. State law requires that stations in existence as of April 1, 2005 with underground 10 11 stationary gasoline storage tanks had to install an enhanced vapor recovery ("EVR") Phase II system as of April 1, 2009. As of April 1, 2009, only EVR Phase II equipment was ARB-12 certified, and any Phase II system that was not ARB-certified as EVR Phase II was de-certified. 13

8. The District is authorized to tag "out of order" components at a GDF that are not 14 15 certified by ARB. Until such components are replaced, repaired or adjusted and the District has 16 reinspected the components (or authorized use of the components pending reinspection), no one 17 may operate them.

18 9. The District conducted an extensive public outreach program to GDF owners and operators about the EVR Phase II upgrade requirements and District Regulation 8-7-302.1 19 20 through public workshops, delivery of District compliance advisories, and on-site compliance assistance visits at GDFs. 21

10. 22 Respondents admit that at least as of some time in 2008, Respondents were fully aware of the requirement to have installed the Phase II EVR system as of April 1, 2009. 23

24 11. On numerous occasions, the District inspected the Facility to remind Respondents 25 of the April 1, 2009 deadline and to monitor the progress of the EVR Phase II system upgrade, if any. The District's inspector conducted a compliance assistance visit at the Facility on August 26 21, 2008, confirmed that Respondents had not installed the EVR Phase II system, and left his 27

1 business card, and ARB Compliance Advisory Nos. 372 and 373. During another compliance 2 assistance visit at the Facility on March 17, 2009, the District's inspector confirmed that 3 Respondents still had not installed the EVR Phase II system, and left his business card, ARB Compliance Advisory No. 385, and the District's legal department's contact information about 4 5 entering into a Compliance and Settlement Agreement. Thereafter, the District visited the 6 Facility at least five more times, each time confirming that Respondents continued to conduct 7 motor vehicle refueling operations without ARB-certified Phase II EVR equipment. The 8 inspector last visited the Facility on July 27, 2010.

9 12. On at least two occasions, the District inspector spoke with Respondent Paul
10 Ghafoori over the telephone regarding the April 1, 2009 compliance deadline for upgrading to
11 ARB-certified Phase II EVR equipment.

12 13. Respondents did not curtail or cease dispensing gasoline at the Facility on or after
13 April 1, 2009.

14 14. On June 30, 2009, Respondent Paul Ghafoori, acting in his capacity as President
15 of SPG Group, Inc., entered into a Compliance and Settlement Agreement with the District that
16 extended the compliance deadline for upgrading to ARB-certified Phase II EVR equipment to
17 September 1, 2009.

18

19

20

21

22

15. On July 7, 2009, the District issued Respondents Notice of Violation ("NOV") No. A50856 for conducting gasoline dispensing operations with an uncertified EVR Phase II system as of April 1, 2009, in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1.

16. On September 1, 2009, the Facility had not completed the required EVR Phase II upgrade and was operating in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1.

23 17. Respondents did not contact the District to request any further extension of the
24 September 1, 2009 Phase II EVR compliance deadline.

25 ||

18. The District inspector photographed the Facility in operation on July 27, 2010.
19. Due to the Respondents' failure to install the required ARB-certified EVR Phase
II equipment, the Respondents emit approximately 300 pounds of excess VOCs annually

27 28

assuming a throughput of 500,000 gallons per year; and 375 pounds of excess VOCs annually assuming a throughput of 625,000 gallons per year.

20. As of July 29, 2010, the Facility had not completed the required EVR Phase II upgrade and was operating in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 40750, 40752 and 42451(a), the APCO, who is appointed by the District Board of Directors, is authorized to enforce all rules and regulations adopted or prescribed by the District Board and is authorized to seek an Order for Abatement from the District's Hearing Board to stop violations of a District rule or regulation prohibiting or limiting the discharge of an air contaminant into the air.

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 42451(a), the Hearing Board may issue an Order for Abatement if it finds that a person is operating a gasoline dispensing facility in violation of a District rule or regulation that prohibits or limits the discharge of an air contaminant into the air.

Cause for determination that Respondents are in violation of District Regulation 8-7-302.1 is established by Findings of Fact Nos. 1, 2, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20.

Cause for issuance of an order that Respondents abate this violation is established by Findings of Fact Nos. 1 through 20, inclusive.

ORDER

Cause being found therefore, pursuant to Sections 42451(a) and 42452 of the California Health and Safety Code, THE HEARING BOARD of the BAY AREA AIR **QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT hereby ORDERS:**

23 1. That the APCO's Request for a Order for Abatement shall be and hereby is 24 GRANTED as follows: Respondents Paul Ghafoori, Saeed Ghafoori and SPG Group, Inc., as 25 well as Tosco Facility #11142, Diablo Gas and Mart and any other gasoline dispensing facility 26 doing business at 198 Diablo Road, Danville, Contra Costa County, California and their agents, employees, successors and assigns are hereby ordered to cease gasoline dispensing operations at

27 28 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	the Facility on Saturday, August 28, 2010, which is thirty (30) days from the July 29, 2010		
2	hearing, until:		
3	a. Respondents come into compliance with Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1		
4	by installing an ARB-certified EVR Phase II system that complies with the		
5	system manufacturer's specifications and with the terms and conditions of the		
6	District authority to construct the EVR Phase II system at the Facility; and		

- b. Respondents submit the EVR Phase II upgrade "start-up notification" to Respondents' District permit engineer, as required by the EVR Phase II system's authority to construct, with a copy submitted simultaneously to this Hearing Board and to the District Legal Division, attention Brian C. Bunger, via facsimile or certified mail;
- 2. That this Order for Abatement shall become effective immediately.

3. That the Hearing Board shall retain jurisdiction over this matter until Respondents come into compliance with the EVR Phase II requirements of Regulation 8, Rule 7, Section 302.1 and submit "start-up notification" in accordance with the requirements set forth in

Paragraph 1 of this Order for Abatement.

Moved by: Terry A. Trumbull, Esq.

Seconded by: Julio Magalhães, Ph.D.

None

AYES: Gilbert G. Bendix, P.E., Julio Magalhães, Ph.D., Terry A. Trumbull, Esq., and Thomas M. Dailey, M.D.

NOES:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ABSTAINED: None

Thomas M

2-9-10

Date

ORDER FOR ABATEMENT