

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT





Eric Stevenson, BAAQMD Daniel Johnson, GBUAPCD

Before We Start...





Types of Monitoring Objectives

- Ambient Air Quality Standards (regulatory)
- Emission point (source contribution)
- Exposure
- Research
- Localized impacts from pollution sources (gradients)







Agency Ambient Monitoring Design Objectives

- Provide air pollution information to the general public
- Determine compliance with air quality standards
- Support air pollution research studies





Determining Data Requirements

- Representative compounds of interest
- Spatial and temporal representativeness
- Data quality (accuracy, precision, bias, etc.)
 - Data quality needed to take action
 - Measurement timeframes appropriate for risks of exposure
 - Uniformity of measurements
- Locations chosen need to be representative based on monitoring goal



Location Requirements

- Locations that are representative of appropriate scale
- Locations that can represent populations/sources
- Data that represents actual concentrations over time (meteorology and topography)
- Documentation that demonstrates uniform and appropriate data quality



B.A.A.Q.M.D. "Full Station" Air Monitoring Site



Monitoring Design Site Types

- Highest concentration
- Typical concentrations in areas of high population density
- Source impacts
- Background
- Transport
- Visibility and other welfare impacts
- Validation/relationship to other measurements





Scales of Representativeness

- Micro 100 meters or less
- Middle 100 meters to 0.5 km
- Neighborhood 0.5 km to 4 km



Micro Scale Site Usually Source Oriented

to 100 m

Up

Google earth

High Calle Street

Concentration/Source

100 m 50 0.5 <u>lem</u>

moncts

© 2013 Google

Google earth



Additional Scales of Representativeness

- Urban 4 to 50 km (Usually population oriented sites)
- Regional 10 to 100s of km (Usually transport sites) PAMS
- National and Global >100s of km (Usually background sites)





Other Considerations

- Consistent procedures and equipment used for project
- Consistent data management and appropriate chain of custody
- Overall considerations of data defensibility and appropriate amount of data to meet desired conclusions of monitoring goal



Instrumentation Considerations

- Measurement error
- Stability
- Calibration / QC / QA
- Data reporting capabilities
- Power / Security / Safety
- Interferences
- Ease of operation
- Reliability
- Cost / Resource needs





Instrumentation Selection

Regulatory Monitors

- Federal Reference Method
 - Operation and performance defined in CFR
- Federal Equivalent Method
 - Meets performance criteria in CFR vs. FRM
- Approved Regional Method
 - With EPA approval

Screening & Research Monitors

- Lower precision & accuracy
- Confidence improved by colocation

Personal & Industrial Monitors

• Portable; lower cost





Conclusion



Keep asking these questions to define your monitoring objectives and maximize your data quality!

Eric Stevenson, BAAQMD Daniel Johnson, GBUAPCD

