1 2 3 4 5	Lucas Williams, State Bar No. 264518 Jacob Janzen, State Bar No. 313474 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP, LLP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com jjanzen@lexlawgroup.com	San Francisco County Superior Court FEB 1 1 2025 CLERK OF THE COURT BY Deputy Clerk
6 7 8	Attorneys for Petitioner ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
11		
12	ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT, a non-profit corporation,	Case No. CPF-24-518629
13 14	Petitioner,	Assigned For All Purposes To The Honorable MICHELLE TONG
15	v.	
16	BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT,	_[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
17	,	
18	Respondent.	,
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT –	EDP v BAAQMD

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment are Petitioner Environmental Democracy Project (EDP) and Respondent Bay Are Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD). EDP and BAAQMD are at times referred to herein individually as a "Party" and jointly as the "Parties."
- the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act of 1987, Health & Safety Code sections 44300-44394 (the Hot Spots Act or Act). Specifically, EDP alleges that BAAQMD violates the Hot Spots Act by failing to prepare and publicize annual reports that identify industrial facilities that pose cancer risks and other threats to public health, or hold public hearings on those annual reports, as required by Health & Safety Code § 44363. EDP seeks a peremptory writ and injunction directing and requiring BAAQMD to comply with the annual reporting requirements of the Act, and a declaration that BAAQMD is in violation of its mandatory duties under the Act. BAAQMD disputes and denies EDP's allegations.
- 1.3 On March 4, 2024, counsel for Environmental Democracy Project ("EDP"), a California non-profit corporation, contacted counsel for BAAQMD to inform BAAQMD that EDP intended to file suit against BAAQMD based on their alleged Hot Spot Act violations.
 - 1.4 On July 15, 2024, EDP filed the Petition in this action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of Hot Spots Act violations contained in the operative petition and personal jurisdiction over BAAQMD as to the acts alleged in the Petition; (ii) venue is proper in the County of San Francisco; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and

is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Annual Report" shall mean a prepared and published report that: (i) is based on the most recent finalized toxic emissions inventory data developed by BAAQMD; and (ii) satisfies each and every requirement set forth in Health & Safety Code § 44363(a). For the avoidance of doubt, the Parties recognize and acknowledge that it can take over twelve (12) months following the end of a calendar year for BAAQMD to finalize toxic emissions inventory data for that calendar year.
- 2.2 "Distribute" shall mean to disseminate the Annual Report to county boards of supervisors, city councils, and local health officials as set forth in Health & Safety Code § 44363(b).
- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 2.4 "Facility Map" shall mean a map on BAAQMD's website that will show each (i) facility name, (ii) facility ID number, (iii) prioritization score, (iv) prioritization rank, and (v) annual emissions rate for each toxic air pollutant emitted, as listed in the toxic emissions inventory data underlying the Annual Report. This information will be readily visible to users simply by hovering their cursor over and/or clicking on a facility location on the Facility Map, which will cause the information for that facility to pop up and become visible and/or cause a menu to pop up from which the user may choose to view the information for that facility.
- 2.5 "Hearing(s)" shall mean one or more public hearings to present the Annual Report and discuss its content and significance as set forth in Health & Safety Code § 44363(b).
- 2.6 "Petition" shall mean the petition filed by EDP on July 15, 2024, in this action.
 - 2.7 "Report Date" shall mean October 4, 2024, and July 1 of each year thereafter.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2

No later than the Report Date each year, BAAQMD shall do all of the 3.1 following:

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3.1.1 BAAQMD shall prepare and publish an Annual Report;

3.1.2 BAAQMD shall Distribute the Annual Report;

3.1.3 BAAQMD shall hold one or more Hearing(s) on the Annual Report; and

3.1.4 BAAQMD shall publish the toxic emissions inventory data underlying the Annual Report on the Facility Map on BAAQMD's website.

ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties' sole remedy to enforce alleged violations of this Consent Judgment shall be an action to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment pursuant to this section.

4.2 A Party intending to bring a motion or application to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment must provide notice of such intent to the alleged offending Party, pursuant to Section 8 below, no later than fourteen (14) days prior to filing and serving the motion or application. Said notice must contain information to alert the alleged offending Party to the nature of the alleged violation. The non-moving Party may, within seven (7) days of receipt of the moving Party's notice, propose in writing a reasonable period of time, not to exceed sixty (60) days, in which to cure the alleged violation. In that event, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith to discuss and agree to a reasonable period of time for the non-moving Party to cure the alleged violation. If the Parties agree in writing to a reasonable period of time in which the non-moving party can cure the alleged violation, the moving Party shall not file any motion or application if the non-moving party cures the alleged violation within that period of time.

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to BAAOMD' attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement, and securing entry of this Consent Judgment. 5.2 BAAQMD shall also make a total payment of \$435 to Lexington Law Group, LLP within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date to reimburse Lexington Law Group, LLP for BAAQMD' appearance fees in this matter.

LLP, on behalf of EDP, within fourteen (14) days of the Effective Date to reimburse EDP and its

attorneys for a portion of their reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any

BAAOMD shall make a total payment of \$35,754.00 to Lexington Law Group,

- 5.3 BAAQMD shall wire the payments to Lexington Law Group pursuant to the wire instructions provided to BAAQMD by counsel for EDP. EDP shall notify BAAQMD promptly upon Lexington Law Group's receipt of the payments pursuant to Section 8 below, which notice shall specify the date the payments were received.
- 5.4 Any failure by BAAQMD to comply with the payment terms above shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the due date that BAAQMD has not complied, which amount shall be recoverable by EDP, together with its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4.
- 5.5 BAAQMD's payment of fees and costs pursuant to this Section 5 is not, and shall not be construed as, an admission that EDP is entitled to such fees and costs. Payment of such fees and costs shall be in full satisfaction of any and all claims EDP or its attorneys may have against BAAQMD for payment of fees and costs associated with this matter under Chapter 6 of Title 14 of Part 2 of the California Code of Civil Procedure (commending with Section 1021), Division 17 of Title 3 of the California Rules of Court (commencing with Section 3.1700), or any other provision of law, except to the extent applicable in the event of enforcement of this Consent Judgment as explicitly provided for under Section 4.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of all Parties without approval of the Court or upon motion of a Party as provided by law.
- Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- BAAQMD of any and all claims that EDP could bring with respect to BAAQMD's Hot Spots Act violations, including without limitation (1) any alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 44363; and (2) any of EDP's allegations made in the Petition. In consideration of the promises and agreements contained herein, EDP, on behalf of itself and its past, current, and future officers, directors, agents, attorneys, representatives, successors, and/or assignees, hereby (i) waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and (ii) releases all claims of any nature whatsoever that were brought or could have been brought, against BAAQMD and/or its officers, directors, and agents (collectively "Releasees") with regard to or concerning alleged violation(s) of Health & Safety Code § 44363 and each of the Releasees' alleged violation(s) of Health & Safety Code § 44363, to the extent such violation occurred prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 It is the Parties' understanding and intent that the injunctive relief of Section 3 of this Consent Judgment satisfies the requirements of Health and Safety Code § 44363. As such, EDP shall not bring any judicial or administrative action against Releasees, or any of them, for violation of § 44363 as it is drafted as of the Effective Date, provided that the Air District is in material compliance with this Consent Judgment. EDP shall bring any claim that the Air District is not in material compliance with this Consent Judgment as provided for under Section 4.
- 7.3 Within five (5) business days of receipt of BAAQMD's settlement payments in compliance with Section 5 above, EDP shall dismiss the Petition with prejudice and with each Party to bear its own costs except as provided in this Consent Judgment.
 - 7.4 Nothing in this Section affects EDP's right to commence or prosecute an

action under the Hot Spots Act against any person other than BAAQMD or the other Rele		
8. NOTICE		
8.1 When EDP is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgm	ent, the	
notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
Lucas Williams		
Lexington Law Group, LLP 503 Divisadero Street		
San Francisco, CA 94117 lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com		
8.2 When BAAQMD is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
Philip M. Fine		
Executive Officer/APCO		
Bay Area Air Quality Management District		
San Francisco, CA 94105		
gnudd@baaqmd.gov		
and		
Alexander Crockett, Esq.		
General Counsel		
Bay Area Air Quality Management District San Francisco, CA 94105		
acrockett@baaqmd.gov		
8.3 Any Party may change the person and address to whom the notice is t	be sent	
by sending the other Party notice of such change by first class and electronic mail.		
9. COURT APPROVAL		
9.1 The Parties agree to seek Court approval of this Consent Judgment.		
9.2 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Cou	rt. If this	
Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall n	ever be	
introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.		
10. SEVERABILITY		
In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are	held by a	
court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely	affected.	
-7-		

 $CONSENT\ JUDGMENT-EDP\ v\ BAAQMD$

11. GOVERNING LAW

2

11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior

merged herein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties

except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied,

other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment, have been made by any Party

hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,

contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the

Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,

modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in

shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically

writing by the Party to be bound thereby or entered by the Court. No waiver of any of the

provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the

other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing

discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and

4

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

waiver.

13.1

20

13. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

21 22

the successors or assigns of any of them.

23

14. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

2425

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon EDP and BAAQMD and

26

15. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

27

28

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into

1	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that	
2	Party. The undersigned have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this	
3	Consent Judgment.	
4	16. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
5	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude EDP from resolving any	
6	claim against an entity other than BAAQMD on terms that are different than those contained in	
7	this Consent Judgment.	
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9 10	Dated: July 12, 2024 ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT	
11	N. n2	
12	27.1.0-	
13	Tanya Boyce Chief Executive Officer	
14	Dated:July 12, 2024 BAY AREA AIR QUALITY	
15	MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	
16	Chille The Control of	
17 18		
19	Philip M. Fine Executive Officer/APCO	
20	APPROVED AS TO FORM BY:	
21		
22	Um Liber	
23	Alexander Crockett	
24	General Counsel	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
26	Dated: 2 11 25, 2025	
27	Judge of the Superior Court	
28	-9-	

CONSENT JUDGMENT – EDP v BAAQMD